

3-9-2020

Simonne: Hi everyone, we are going to get started, starting with the minutes

Majority in favor

9 abstentions

Reports:

Maddie: Attendance reminder

Delaney: None

Ethan: Raised \$300 from Penny Wars

Georgia: Adam wants to know more about the therapy dog proposal

Maddy C: None

Wendy: None

Tony D: None

Joann: None

Laura: Voting is tomorrow

Simonne: Will be discussing a new bylaws proposal today, will give Tony the floor

John R: Can only people named Tony make a bylaws proposal

Dave: It's in the bylaws

Tony: 2 of these are in semantic nature, will finish handing these out, the first one is about class forums, grade reps are currently responsible for forums, have to take notes, give summaries, report CC business, we have one current and one former communicator, it has really been their job, felt like the communicator should be added in because they are taking the initiative on these forums

Simonne: Any clarifying questions?

Tara: Does the communicator attend all forums or just their grades one

Simonne: All of them

Laura: Are both responsible together, what does this change

Tony D: More updating to what we actually do, the communicator is the one who is in charge of the mic, delegates sections off to people, has been the communicator as the main point person with the grade representatives by their side

Lisa: This does not make it mandatory for the communicator to attend, who would be their backup

Tony D: This does not get rid of the grade rep piece, only adds

Ethan: Well done, think it is good

Rick: Ditto

Sammy: Thinks this is a fairly good change

Motion to table-seconded

Laura: Would it be helpful to do or is this just adding an extra loophole for the grade reps to go through if the communicator is not there

Simonne: Will vote on ending discussion

Tony D: So that is something we can change next week, or we can vote to keep talking

Majority in favor

3 opposed

1 abstention

Simonne: Discussion is tabled

Tony: This one is even less of a change, says that the floor should be open for clarifying questions, the executive council may make a time limit, thinks this is the moderator as it is part of their job, changed it to being the moderator or person serving as the moderator to do this

Daniel: Could you say the meetings, more word efficient

Rick: Not as clear as this wording is

Daniel: I am now in support of this

John: Is there any other time where we would have another moderator, when is there a moderator without a meeting

Rick: I really like this change as we have had meetings like today where it is free flow, some where the public comes and the moderator has to set some limits, puts in the hands of the person in charge

Motion to table-second

Majority in favor

1 abstention

Simonne: Discussion is tabled

Tony D: This one already came up today, if you read through the bylaws you will never see anything about friendly amendments, if we do them then they should be in our bylaws, proposed adding the following, did research, a member can propose a friendly amendment, if no objection is made to the amendment then the moderator will add it to the proposal, this only works if nobody objects

Maddy C: Wondering if we could elaborate what a friendly amendment is, was what Daniel did one

Tony: Yes

Rick: The person who makes the proposal should agree to the proposal then the moderator after

Daniel: Doesn't it say everyone has to agree including them

John R: The same opinion as Rick, a friendly amendment is one that is accepted by the maker of the proposal, what you are proposing here is different than the regular

Dave: Like the idea, worried it would require someone to step out of their comfort zone by voting it done, wonders about how we can make all voices heard without getting far out there

Daniel: Both the creator and the moderator should agree, both of them should agree as they are included in everyone, clarifies a unanimous change, what Dave said that is really what we do here by putting ourselves out there, does not think it is unreasonable to say when the person chose to be on the council

John R: It does not change the purpose of the amendment

Tony D: To go towards John's point, went to Robert's Rules.com, they said that the maker accepting is wrong, but rather must be accepted by everyone

Daniel: What John said, how it does not change the purpose, the problem with that is that semantics can be argued, think it is a lot clearer by using this definition

Motion to table-seconded

John R- Would like to make an amendment saying John is never wrong

Simonne: We will vote on this motion

Majority in favor

1 opposed

1 abstention

Simonne: We can do committee time, or close the meeting, unless Callie has any reports

Callie: Only a couple grade reps came up to the stage, would appreciate if they could do that tomorrow and remind classmates to sit with advisory

John R: Are we still having a regular meeting next week during Wintercession

Simonne: Yes, we will
Motion to close-seconded