1	Minutes
2	Deliberative Session Meeting for the Amherst School District
3	February 6, 2023
4	Souhegan High School Theater
5	Amherst, New Hampshire
6	
7	Attendance:
8 9 10 11 12	<u>Administration</u> : Steven Chamberlin- Interim Superintendent, Amy Facey- SAU #39 Business Administrator, Assistant Superintendent- Christine Landwehrle, Meg Beauchamp- Director of Student Services, Brian Miller- SAU #39 Director of Technology, Kathleen Murphy- Principal of Clark/Wilkins School, Anna Parrill- Assistant Principal Clark/Wilkins School, and Kristen Gauthier- Principal of Amherst Middle School.
13 14	Amherst School Board Members: Ton Gauthier- Chair, Victoria Parisi- Vice Chair, Jason White- Secretary, Terri Behm and Joshua Conklin.
15	School District Moderator: Nate Jensen
16	School District Clerk and Treasurer: Catherine Jo Butler
17 18 19	Amherst Ways and Means Committee: Chair- Dwayne Purvis, Vice Chair- Jeff Candito, Secretary-Greg Fritz, Mike Akillian, Rick Barnes, Steve Frades, Mozammel Husainy and Marilyn Gibson (alternate).
20 21	Attorney for the District: Alison Minutelli Esq. Wadleigh Starr & Peters PLLC, 95 Market St, Manchester, NH 03101
22	Meeting Minutes: Danae A. Marotta
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Public: (speakers or commenters): Greg Fritz, 2 Deer Hollow Road, Amherst NH, Ken Miller, 2 Old Coach Lane, Amherst NH, Jeff Candito, 36 Buckridge Drive, Amherst NH, Caitlin Thompson, 4 Madison Lane, Amherst NH, Kelly Schmidt, 11 Patricia Lane, Amherst NH, Tricia Towne, 48 Thornton Ferry Road II, Amherst NH, Jason Hennessey, 455 Boston Post Rd, Amherst NH, Mike Akillian, 10 Old Mont Vernon Road, Amherst, NH, Mozammel Husainy, 33 Eagle Rock Rd, Amherst NH, Alison Batey, 12 Joshua Rd, Amherst NH, Terry Reiber, 15 Martingale Rd, Amherst NH, Ellen Gruzdien, 18 Buckridge Drive, Amherst NH, Elizabeth Kuzsma, 10 Nathan Lord Lane, Amherst NH, Barbara Williams, 9 Foundry Street, Amherst NH, Lisa Eastland, 19 River Road, Amherst NH, Carey Glines, 17 Holly Hill Dr., Amherst NH, Chelsea Borden, 28 Buckridge Dr., Amherst NH, Debra Keough, 16 Summerfield Way, Amherst NH, Shannon Gascoyne, 5 Parker Farm Lane, Amherst NH, Marie Panciocco, 151 Amherst St. Amherst NH, Dwayne Purvis, 145 Hollis Rd., Amherst NH, Bob Grunbeck, 6 Fair Oaks, Amherst NH, Peter De Bruyn Kops, 377 Boston Post Rd, Amherst NH, Colleen Tapley, 1 Beechtree Way, Amherst NH, Mozammel Husainy, 33 Eagle Rock Rd, Russ Hodgkins, 39 Thornton Ferry Road, Amherst NH, Terry Reiber, 15 Martingale Rd, Amherst NH, Larry Ballard, 11 Pinewood Drive, Amherst NH, Tim Theberge, 26 Middle St, Amherst NH, Nathan Greer, 12
39 40 41	Boylston Terrace, Amherst, Caitlin Thompson, 4 Madison Ln., Amherst NH, John Glover, 27 Cricket Hill Drive, Amherst NH, Mark Vincent, 2 Nathaniel Dr., Amherst NH and Steve Coughlan, Amherst NH, 11 Faton Rd, Amherst NH

- The number of voting attendees: 193
- 43 Moderator of the Amherst School District, Mr. Nate Jensen, called the 2023 Amherst
- 44 School District Deliberative Session to order at 6:10 PM.
- 45 The Moderator introduced the Amherst School District Board Chair, Tom Gauthier.
- 46 Amherst School District Board Chair, Tom Gauthier, introduced the board members Vice Chair
- 47 Victoria Parisi, Jason White, Josh Conklin and Terri Behm
- 48 The Moderator introduced SAU #39 Interim Superintendent, Mr. Steven Chamberlin.
- 49 Interim Superintendent, Mr. Steven Chamberlin, introduced SAU #39 Business Administrator,
- 50 Ms. Amy Facey, Assistant Superintendent of Elementary Education, Ms. Christine Landwehrle,
- 51 Director of Student Services, Ms. Meg Beauchamp, Ms. Kathleen Murphy, Principal of
- 52 Clark/Wilkins School and Kristen Gauthier, Principal of Amherst Middle School.
- The Moderator introduced Amherst Ways and Means Committee Chair, Mr. Dwayne Purvis.
- Mr. Purvis introduced Ways and Means Committee Members, Vice Chair, Mr. Jeff Candito,
- Secretary, Mr. Greg Fritz, Mr. Mike Akillian, Mr. Rick Barnes, Mr. Steve Frades (remote
- attendance), Mr. Mozammel Husainy and Ms. Marilyn Gibson (alternate).
- 57 The Moderator thanked Town Moderator, Mr. Steve Coughlan, School District Clerk and
- Treasurer, Ms. CJ Butler, George Bower, various members of the Board of Selectmen and the
- school district council, Alison Minutelli, and Mr. Brian Miller, SAU#39 Director of Technology.
- The Moderator continued his introduction, explaining that the work tonight is to decide the
- wording of the articles on which all registered voters will have an opportunity to vote on March
- 62 14th 6:00AM to 8:00 PM election at the Souhegan High School. Voters at tonight's Deliberative
- 63 Session under SB2 rules are empowered to discuss, deliberate, and debate each warrant article.
- They are also required to take one of two courses of action on each warrant article. First,
- 65 maintain the original wording of the article as prepared by the school board and the petitioners.
- In which case, that original warrant article wording will be placed on the official ballot. Second,
- amend the original wording of warrant article. If an amendment is approved, the amended
- warrant article is placed on the Official Ballot. Please note that it only requires a simple majority
- 69 to amend. There are rules about what can and cannot be amended. Voters will also be voting to
- 70 elect two School Board Members, one Moderator and other important school positions on the
- official ballot, however, the warrant article concerning candidates cannot be altered at this
- 72 meeting.
- 73 During the past many years, Amherst School District Moderators have always suggested a small
- 74 number of meeting rules to facilitate the orderly management of our meeting. The Moderator
- would again like to ask your support for the following by which he hopes you will agree makes
- sense for how we govern ourselves this evening. You will find these rules on the back page of
- the handout. He read the following rules:

- 78 1. The Moderator will not follow Robert's Rules. The Moderator will use the following 79 general rules of procedure, to keep things moving, and not get bogged down in 80 procedural quagmires.
- Non-voters will be permitted in the auditorium only if room permits after the meeting has begun.
- The Moderator will take Articles in the order they appear on Warrant unless the Moderator announces the intent to take Articles out of order.
- Motions to amend must be made in writing and will be voted separately. Amendments will not be allowed to accumulate.
- Each speaker shall state name and limit his or her time at the microphone to three minutes. No individual will be allowed to speak a second time until all others wishing to speak for the first time have done so.
- 90 6. Speakers wishing to address the meeting should form a line at either of the two standing microphones (one labeled "For" and one labeled "Against"). The Moderator will attempt to alternate pro and con points.
- 7. The Moderator will only accept a motion made at a microphone.
- No motion to call the question shall be accepted by the Moderator until there has been sufficient debate on the article.
- 96 9. A Motion to restrict reconsideration in accordance with RSA 40 Section 10 should be made immediately following the announcement of the vote on that article.
- The Moderator will dispense with reading the warrant at this time; however, each warrant article will be read when it is moved to the floor for deliberation and action. The warrant is also printed in the Voters' Guide. The Annual Report and Voters' Guide to Official Ballot Voting will be sent to all residents prior to March 8th.
- The Moderator asked the public if they were in support. A vote was taken by voters holding up their yellow cards. The public was in support. There were no objections.
- The Moderator explained that at the completion of voting for each warrant article he will accept
- a motion to restrict reconsideration of the wording on that article. This is for your protection,
- when you restrict reconsideration and someone wants to reconsider a vote that has already been
- approved it cannot be voted on again, until the voters have been warned. They would then meet
- seven days later to reconsider the wording of that article. He then asked if there were further
- 109 questions. There were no further questions.
- 110 Article 11. Election of Officers (voting by official ballot March 14, 2023)
- 111 To the following school district offices:
- a. To choose two (2) School Board Members for the ensuing three (3) years:

- b. To choose one (1) School District Moderator for the ensuing three (3) years.
- c. To choose one (1) School District Clerk for the ensuing three (3) years; and,
- d. To choose one (1) School District Treasurer for the ensuing three (3) years.
- 116 The Moderator instructed the Clerk to place Warrant Article #11 on the Ballot as
- currently worded and thus, declared.
- He added that this warrant article cannot be changed by this meeting.
- 119 The Moderator read Article #12, the School Const
- 120 To see if the District will vote to raise and appropriate the sum of fifty four million two
- hundred and fifty thousand one hundred seventy nine dollars (\$54,250,179) (gross budget) to
- finance the major addition, reconstruction and renovation project for the Clark /Wilkins
- 123 Elementary School, located at 14 Foundry Street, Amherst, NH and 80 Boston Post Road,
- Amherst, NH and to authorize the issuance of not more than \$54,250,179 of bonds or notes
- under and in compliance with the Municipal Finance Act, RSA 33:1 et seq., as amended; to
- authorize the School Board to apply for, obtain and accept federal, state or other aid, if any,
- which may be available for said project and to comply with all laws applicable to said project;
- to authorize the School Board to issue, negotiate, sell and deliver said bonds and notes and to
- determine the rate of interest thereon and the maturity and other terms thereof; and to
- authorize the School Board to take any action or to pass any other vote relative thereto, and
- further to raise and appropriate an additional sum of one million three hundred fifty six
- thousand two hundred fifty five dollars (\$1,356,255) for the first year's interest payment on
- 133 *the bond.*
- 134 3/5 Ballot vote required.
- 135 Recommended by the Amherst School Board (5-0)
- Not Recommended by the Amherst School District Ways & Means Committee (1-6)
- 137 Estimated tax impact of passing this article is: \$0.57 per thousand.
- 138 The Moderator recognized the Amherst School Board to make their presentation.
- Amherst School Board Vice Chair, Ms. Victoria Parisi, noted that the Amherst School Board is
- presenting the construction of a new elementary school on the March 14th ballot. The total bond
- amount will be \$54,250,179. I am proud to present information regarding this article and how a
- new building becomes a tailwind in the Amherst School District's improvement journey, rather
- than a headwind. Since 2017, the Amherst School District and administration have made
- significant strides in planning for our facilities. Beginning with an external capital needs
- assessment that analyzed the 20 year costs to operate the schools, the SAU Board then formed
- 146 JFAC (Joint Facilities Advisory Committee) to review and report on the status of facilities with
- an eye to the educational future of Amherst as well as the impact to taxpayers in the future.
- 148 JFAC provides recommendations to the Amherst School Board, who ultimately determines what
- goes on the ballot. In 2020, once voters approved the costs for investigating options for long term

- solutions, Lavallee Brensinger Architects were hired to develop a district-wide master plan for
- facility options. During that year, a variety of options for ways to address the aging buildings and
- space constraints were discussed. Eventually, after analyzing all of the options, JFAC brought a
- recommendation to build a new elementary school to the board and renovate the middle school.
- This is what was on last year's ballot.
- Ms. Parisi continued, this year, after close to a year of further review and revision of cost
- estimates, the Board has decided to only bring forth a warrant article to build a new elementary
- school. A long term plan for aging facilities was a focus in 2017. The district needed three
- things: 1. A plan for completing and funding facilities maintenance for aging buildings, 2. A plan
- that addressed enrollment seen in the schools and future projections 3. A plan that addressed
- 160 federal mandates for special needs programming
- The firm of Onsite-Insite was hired to complete a capital needs assessment. This report identified
- every piece of the facilities, it's expected useful life, and a cost estimate associated with in-kind
- replacement. In the fall of 2019, a meeting was held at Souhegan. Board members from each of
- the SAU 39 boards, finance committee members, community members, and administration were
- in attendance. With the Onsite-Insite report as the focus, we discussed how we would financially
- support the facility needs of the SAU. We discussed bonding projects and saving over several
- years using the capital reserve fund. It was determined that the first step was to determine the
- grade configuration of Amherst Schools. The grade configuration conversation would be a
- central topic to the Master Planning work. Four actionable goals came out of the 2019 Fall
- Facilities Summit. 1. Create an editable list of Capital Needs Assessment, 2. Determine funding
- levels per school, 3. Annual project management through executing projects and withdrawing
- from the expendable trust fund, and 4. Create a capital expenditure plan. Over the last 4 years,
- All of these steps have been accomplished by administration and the Amherst School Board.
- Approved as part of the March 2020 ballot, Lavallee Brensinger Architects was hired to
- complete a district long-range facilities plan, known as a Master Plan. The goals of their work
- included, understanding current space issues, strengthening curriculum, right sizing facilities,
- increasing flexibility and planning for adaptability. It was at this time that the Joint facilities
- Advisory Committee, administration, staff, and community provided feedback to Lavallee
- Brensinger Architects about possible solutions to address the aging facilities and space concerns.
- Ms. Parisi displayed the options and discussions that took place. None were ruled out without
- thoughtful consideration by multiple stakeholders. There are a variety of reasons that the options
- listed on the previous slide are not what the Amherst School Board is presenting for the March
- 183 14th ballot. Clark, Wilkins, and AMS are all operating at 90-95% capacity. Once a school is
- operating at 85%, the programming for student education is determined by the building.
- Increased expenses of some options do not make them ideal solutions. This includes the purchase
- of a new site, use of Birch Park site, or adding a second story to the current buildings at Clark
- and Wilkins. Developmentally, 5th graders are elementary age appropriate and developmentally,
- 8th graders are middle school age appropriate. The use of the Souhegan Annex has many
- complexities that were analyzed during this process. These have also been analyzed by various
- boards over the past 20 years. It has been once again reconfirmed that it is not a long term
- solution. While the focus has been the elementary and middle school buildings, it is always
- important to keep in mind the students who go to school there. Souhegan High School will
- educate those students in the years to come. In just 4 years, if all Souhegan students were taught
- in the main building, the building would operate over 95% capacity. From experience at Clark-
- 195 Wilkins and AMS, reaching over 90% capacity is not best for Amherst and Mont Vernon

students for programming and school environment. The entirety of the annex is likely not going to become available based on professional enrollment data. The use of the Annex would be solving one problem to create the same problem elsewhere in the district. Additionally, keep in mind, this does not solve the issue of antiquated systems that are due for replacement soon. Over the years, portables have been used to solve space constraint issues in Amherst. Portables are not a favorable solution to address space needs. Portables are temporary solutions constructed with low grade materials and they are not a long term investment. Depreciation becomes an operating expense and does not increase capital improvements. There is a lengthy lead time to receive portables and portables do not have a controlled main entrance sequence. There is loss of academic instructional time when students travel between buildings for interventions, specials, and nurse visits. Custodial and maintenance costs result from mud, salt, and snow that is tracked back and forth from building to portable. Portables do not allow for fresh air circulation.

Ms. Parisi continued, if Clark is not used as a school, it may be repurposed for the residents of Amherst. One building elementary is ideal configuration. It allows for one bus drop off/pick up point-saving time, money, and traffic, efficient use of staff time- no longer wasted commuting between two buildings, pool talent and resources, one less transition for students, efficiencies gained that aren't monetary- including staff culture, and each school will have its own kitchen. After the extensive Master Planning study process was conducted, three options were presented. These options were discussed at several community sessions leading up to the 2020 ASB Bond Hearing. Option A involved the costs to maintain the existing structures and augment with portables. Option B involved a major renovation/refurbishment and addition with costs based on program square footage, and Option C was a new building. The estimates provided were preliminary order of magnitude costs, based on defined space needs and current construction values at the time. The bond warrant article is important in the Amherst School District journey.

She next reviewed the project goals specific to the bond that is Warrant Article 12. When the recent work of analyzing the facilities began, the following categories of goals rose to the surface, address aging, end of life systems with energy efficient systems, utilize the existing sites efficiently, provide secure & technology rich spaces and provide adequate, flexible teaching spaces. Life safety systems at the elementary school are at the end of life. These systems are due for replacement in the capital needs assessment. HVAC systems are at the top of this list, they're not stable or efficient. When HVAC is not dependable in a school, there is concern about heating the space for occupation during the school year as well as flooding from burst pipes. Higher quality replacement HVAC units are energy efficient and cost effective. In 2023, the administration and school board would like to improve the entrance sequence at the elementary school. This includes housing all students within the building as well as altering the configuration of the main entrance to have greater control of visitors. The building simply does not have the space for staff to deliver best practices to students, including full day kindergarten and development of local special programs. The physical space that is available for our students is limited. Amherst has utilized portable classrooms at the 3 school sites over the last two decades to support overcrowding in the main educational buildings. 80 plus students are still in portables at Wilkins. There are un-dampered grill openings in the classroom corridor walls and non-rated corridor doors for storage room uses plus miscellaneous items. Years of discussion have utilized one primary planning lens for our facilities—how do we augment or design spaces that provide the greatest opportunity and educational benefit for the children of this district. Factors such as lighting, indoor air quality, climate control, acoustics, and noise, play a critical

role in a student's ability to focus and learn new information. This board and this district rely on 241 research and evidence-based instructional practices. A new building becomes a tailwind in our 242 improvement journey, rather than a headwind. The new building would increase the ability to 243 implement great instruction in the following ways, increased teacher collaboration space, private 244 and dedicated office space for school counselors and administrators, dedicated unified arts 245 spaces. The current practice to meet enrollment requirements involves moving a unified arts 246 teacher onto a cart to push into classroom learning spaces. This leads to lost instructional time 247 and creates disruption and noise for neighboring classrooms. Current programming is driven by 248 lunch schedules and the limitations of having one large communal space for lunch, physical 249 education, and assemblies. Intervention space for one-on-one programming is shared, high-noise, 250 and high-distraction. In the new building, these programs have dedicated and appropriate space. 251 Library space in the new building supports the board and the administration's goals to improve 252 literacy acquisition throughout the district. One building creates efficiencies for administrators, 253 teachers, and supporting facilities and food services staff. 254

255

256257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282 283

284

285

Ms. Parisi added, throughout this process, we have been asked how the district will measure the impact of a new building. We will look for: reduced staff and student absences demonstrating the impact of effective HVAC, improved standardized test scores and classroom metrics- meaning how students are performing in the classroom - both teacher observation of student performance and assessment scores. There may also be qualitative feedback too - such as doing science experiments more often or having the ability to do more small group work, increased employment applications and increase in new students. The Amherst School Board seeks to make a strategic investment in the facilities of the Amherst School District. The expected life of the Wilkins and AMS buildings is 50 years. Wilkins is 55 years old, and AMS is 50 years old. In Amherst, short term solutions have been favored by the voters. Over the last 30 years, that includes portable trailers and small additions. Larger initiatives, such as building an upper elementary school to alleviate crowding, have narrowly failed. This brings us to the situation we are in today. Systems will require significant funding to replace within the next 15 years. ASB has been dedicated to funding maintenance identified in the 2017 capital needs assessment through the existing capital reserve fund. Once a new elementary is built, the district will receive a maintenance program from the construction management firm. This will ensure proper maintenance, maintain consistency despite inevitable board and administration turnover, and allow future leaders to plan and budget for capital improvements.

Ms. Parisi displayed pictures of Clark School. The picture in the center of the slide shows where kindergarten students receive their lunches in the hallway. There is no kitchen at Clark, so meals are made at Wilkins and driven to Clark in the private car of a staff member. You'll also notice that there are carts being stored in this hallway as well as book storage on the bench near the brick wall. Continuing with the theme of an area doing double duty- the multipurpose room is serving as the lunchroom and the location of all specials- art, music, library, and physical education. The other spaces in the building have been utilized for classroom space and interventions. While we're speaking about Clark, I think it's important to note that the portion of the building which is the MPR was partially funded through private donations when it became clear that a multipurpose room was needed. The addition included the MPR and a classroom, once used for music and art. Without this space, all of these specials and lunch would have to take place in kindergarten classrooms and the specialized special education program delivered in the room would be without a classroom.

Ms. Parisi displayed pictures at the Wilkins School. In the middle, you see the technology teacher pushing a cart through the hallway. Because of the press on classroom space, the building no longer has a technology or computer lab. Instead, this teacher travels to classrooms with devices on a cart. It has been estimated that a large portion of her day is inefficiently used by having to move classrooms. This change occurred when one of the portables housing 2 classrooms of 4th graders caught on fire in 2018. The building was eventually reopened, and the portables pictured now house 4 classrooms of over 80 students. Again, physical space is a large concern in all of these pictures. The picture on the left shows intervention space that is shared by multiple staff and groups of students throughout the day, often times receiving support simultaneously. Last year's conference room has been reassigned for student space, so the picture on the right shows the only conference room table in the building. This is located in an area behind the stage which is also needed for student lunch space. The room also holds many pieces of curriculum. Space that was available for book storage just a few years ago is no longer available. Leadership and staff are trying to leverage every inch of space. Storage closets are currently used as office space, in this case that of a school psychologist. The school counseling team shares one office. Storage of curriculum supplies is now in the hallway leading to the stage. They have maximized every inch of the building, and we are at a breaking point. The picture on the top left of the screen is one of the un-dampered grill openings in the classroom corridor walls where smoke and fire barriers have been compromised. On the JFAC website and the SAU39 YouTube page, there are walkthrough tours for anyone interested in seeing another visual or hearing from staff who see the direct impact of our facilities.

286

287

288

289

290

291

292 293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301 302

303

304 305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

Ms. Parisi displayed pictures of the Amherst Middle School. Two issues that the Amherst School Board is addressing at Amherst Middle School include water damage and space constraints. On the left, you'll see 3 pictures representing the water damage from the original roof and failing HVAC unit ventilators. The other 3 pictures show use of small storage areas as offices for staff and adaptive equipment being stored in an open hallway. Article 12 includes plans for the elementary level only. Through conscious saving over the last four years into the capital reserve fund and paying particular attention to the capital needs assessment, we have identified needs and a long-term funding plan to take necessary steps in facility improvement at AMS. During this school year, AMS has experienced water damage from roof leaks and unit ventilator floods. These items were previously identified as part of the 2017 capital needs assessment and were part of the list of projects to be funded through the capital reserve funding. The board has approved funding for the design work related to these projects and the design work has begun. This will be a phased approach to first replace the original sections of the roof and the ventilators that are in most need of repair. The roof and unit ventilator replacement project is anticipated to cost more than \$1.3 million and will take the first step in making AMS watertight. A more comprehensive plan is laid out in the voter's guide detailing Article 18 and will be delivered to the board in the spring. We have needed space as a district for a long time. Space constraints have been absorbed by the use of temporary portables at all of the Amherst schools over the years. The space constraints at AMS will be lessened by moving fifth grade to the elementary school while not requiring an addition to AMS. Should Article 12 pass and the elementary project begin, the plans for updating the systems at AMS that are noted in the capital needs assessment and living document work will be funded through the capital reserve fund. A new elementary school building would allow the capital reserve funding to focus on improvements at the middle school rather than the need for those annual funds to be spread across the district.

Ms. Parisi noted, as the pictures have shown, space is needed at Clark, Wilkins, and Amherst Middle School. The funny thing about time is that 1970 doesn't seem like 50 years ago, but requirements for space have changed significantly since the buildings were built. The district has needed additional space for a number of years. In order to maintain, the administration has utilized temporary portables, moved staff and intervention space into closets, tripled and quadrupled interventionists (reading and math, speech) into one shared space, and placed integrated arts specialists on carts moving from classroom to classroom (instead of a dedicated teaching space). When an integrated arts teacher travels to individual classrooms with their materials on a cart, as you saw in the picture of the technology teacher at Wilkins, this is inefficient, often disrupts neighboring classrooms, and changes the curriculum offerings that students receive. The NH DOE requirements for space have changed since the buildings were originally designed. The proposed project allows the district to adequately meet current DOE requirements without sacrificing storage closets and intervention space to do so. Special needs educational requirements have changed dramatically over the last 50 years. The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) requires public school districts to offer support and program options for students with a variety of needs. The district is also required to provide these services to Amherst children as early as age 3, before entering kindergarten. These programs currently encompass 8 classrooms across the district with a need for additional space. A community survey conducted in 2020 found that class sizes were the number one concern among respondents. At the time, class sizes were among the highest in the state. Amherst School District has addressed staffing to meet the desired student-teacher ratio over the last four years. Class sizes are now within a reasonable range, but this exacerbates the sacrifices to educational space. When one classroom is needed for another general education section, another program has to compromise. Since class size ratios have been addressed, additional teachers are not projected as a result of the building project. The addition or reduction of staff will be driven by enrollment demands. Enrollment projections are steady and allow the team to feel confident in the size of the proposed project. The graphic on the screen highlights our expected class sizes for FY24.

Ms. Parisi noted the building has been designed after thorough discussion of programming needs with administration and staff. The classroom design has a capacity of 889 students, while the core spaces are designed to accommodate 1,079 students. A professional, external enrollment report was completed to confirm enrollment data and the sizing of the proposed facility project for the NH DOE State Building Aid application. NESDEC (New England School Development Council) was hired to create this enrollment report. The report provides 10-year projections and will be updated annually by NESDEC. The size of the building design is in line with the projected enrollment now and in the future and provides flexibility for the type of needs that arise within the school population. Though this is not anticipated by the professional enrollment reports, should a need arise for additional space, the building will be constructed to accommodate additional classrooms on the second floor.

Ms. Parisi displayed a graphical representation of historic and projected enrollment levels by current grade configuration. Something I'd like to highlight is that enrollment has increased by approximately 100 students since 2015 at the kindergarten through 4th grade levels. After the 2022 bond failed, ASB met with community members, SAU administrators, JFAC, and the hired architectural and construction professionals. Three goals were realized. They are to: Maintain 2020 Facility Project Goals with better communication as focusing on mechanical/electrical/plumbing systems, health and safety, student learning, space constraints, to minimize cost impact

to taxpayers and address the Amherst Middle School projects in a different way, rather than total renovation. We understand this is an expensive project that will greatly impact taxpayers. We have focused on the original goals, ensuring that we do so in a manner that can gain community support. The proposed project will create a one building elementary school to house preschool through 5th grade. Amherst Middle School will house grades 6, 7, and 8. This configuration is developmentally appropriate and allows for AMS to have appropriate student space without requiring an addition which would be an added expense that the Board and JFAC did not wish to present to the community. This configuration was determined to be the most appropriate from a financial standpoint as well as supporting the students. The reconfiguration will continue to provide adequate space to conduct special services while offering privacy and dignity for every student. It continues to offer music, art, and PE to kindergarten students, and will increase the capacity in the cafeteria and gym and eliminate the need and expense of portable classrooms.

Ms. Parisi added, the consolidation of Clark and Wilkins leaves many wondering about the future of Clark. JFAC identified this question early on in the process and developed a committee to engage the community in 2021. The idea was to generate ideas for what the future of the building could be, discuss potential outcomes and concerns, and be sure to include the residents of Amherst in the future plan for the site. With that being said, Clark will remain a school with classroom space during the construction phase until the 26/27 school year. In the long-term, a number of possibilities exist. Should the bond pass, community input will be taken into consideration and formal discussions regarding possibilities between the Amherst School Board and Board of Selectmen will take place.

Now it's time to move on to the conceptual designs which are the work of Banwell Associates, a New England architectural firm with offices in NH and VT, with a proven track record of success with school facility projects. The elementary building will include a renovation of the multipurpose room, including, asbestos abatement, replacement of flooring, doors, and windows, technology, and security upgrades and a new kitchen. It will also include a new addition, making up for the bulk of the building. Significant effort has been put into the programming to ensure the size of the building is adequate for current and future enrollment. This means that administrators at the buildings have discussed what is needed. This programming has been simplified to include the meat and potatoes of what is needed. Not everyone gets what they wish for; everyone makes compromises. The detailed programming document is available at jfac.sau39.org.

Ms. Parisi displayed a picture of a repurposed MPR on left maintaining the traditional feel of Amherst. We consider this one step in the design process. This is not the end as design is an evolving effort and will continue to be refined. There will be several outside play spaces and areas for different age groups. Playgrounds will be located on the south of the building with nearby classroom hallway entrances. The grove area where over the log is played will remain. There will be space in the back field for additional outdoor classroom spaces. This side of the building also shows the parent drop off loop which will accommodate a 75-car pickup.

Ms. Parisi displayed the aerial view from Upper Wilkins field. You'll notice the bus and car loop that are designed to bring traffic off of Boston Post Road and include 2 lanes. Again, this is conceptual and shows a courtyard providing additional daylight to classrooms. This is the initial site plan that was developed with civil engineering firm of Tighe and Bond who have completed a number of successful school projects in New England. It is a priority of the administration and Board to have multiple play areas for multiple grades included in the design. The access road to

Jones Road is likely needed for emergency use and may be used to alleviate Boston Post Road 420

traffic for certain uses at specific times of day pending further traffic studies. The Board has been 421

- in touch with the Town committees who plan to review the traffic of the area in the next several 422
- 423 years and the 2022 report cites favorably for the combination of the two school buildings. There
- is increased parking for school and community events as well as parking for the recreation 424
- activities that take place on Upper Wilkins Field. 425
- 426 Ms. Parisi displayed a view inside the building. The left side of the picture is Boston Post Road,
- 427 so the yellow rectangle at the top left of the screen represents the repurposed multipurpose room.
- With the addition of a proper gymnasium, after hours recreation opportunities will be able to 428
- expand after school hours. The rooms at the front of the building will be accessible for this 429
- 430 purpose, while the back of the building will be secure. Per DOE regulations, classrooms for the
- youngest students are on the first floor in the blue areas. The courtyard allows for 8 classrooms 431
- on both floors to have windows for natural light and air flow, which has a positive impact on 432
- learning. As we go upstairs, you'll notice several staircases. Special service spaces will be 433
- located on both floors close to classrooms, and you'll see additional natural light from the 434
- courtyard into classrooms and hallways. The design shows 7 classrooms per grade level with 4 435
- 436 additional flex classrooms for years when an additional classroom is needed or when the
- enrollment population needs require flexibility in floor plans. Should Article 12 pass, design 437
- refinement and input sessions for community, staff, administration, and board will take place 438
- over the following 10 months. Construction is expected to begin in spring 2024 with completion 439
- in time for the 2026-2027 school year. During construction, all schools will remain open, 440
- utilizing current space to avoid rental costs of portable classrooms. Phase one includes building 441
- the new classroom spaces at the back of the property. Students remain in their current classrooms 442
- and schools at this time. Once the classroom portion is complete, the students would occupy 443
- those spaces. Construction will begin on the front portion of the building at that time. Two 444
- school years will see full construction. The new school would be occupied for the 2026-2027 445
- school year. Placing a dollar amount on the ballot for voters requires the formation of a cost 446
- estimate that becomes the legal high-water mark for district borrowing and spending on the 447
- project. The cost of the project is \$54,250,179. This cost includes all design work, system 448
- upgrades, renovations, additions, and sitework. As a result of the necessary high-water mark 449
- figure, when deciding what amount to put forward to voters, the board has chosen to be 450
- conservative in selecting a number that includes contingencies for unknown costs that may 451
- 452 arise. The cost estimates include several layers of contingencies that are appropriate for this
- phase of planning. 453
- When the Amherst School Board first brought the project to the 2021 bond hearing, there were 454
- estimates from Lavallee Brensinger. The estimate carried a high price tag than the Board chose 455
- not to bring forward for the ballot. Through a request for proposal process for the architect of 456
- record, Banwell Associates was hired. With feedback from the community, further assessing the 457
- programming, and going back to the drawing board, Banwell presented 2 designs, both for a 2-458
- story elementary school building at a lower cost. The design you've seen is what was selected by 459
- JFAC and ASB to reuse the multipurpose room and avoid building on the Upper Wilkins Field 460
- site. While further confirming the programming needs and analyzing the costs-including 461
- construction escalation- the 2023 warrant article is \$54,250,179 dollars. This is a guaranteed 462
- maximum price as is standard in working with a construction manager. 463
- As has been presented tonight, since 2017 there has been an eye to the facility needs of the 464

- district. The problems aren't going away. Systems continue to age past their anticipated life. 465
- Space constraints continue to impact education with enrollment projections remaining steady 466
- with a gradual increase construction escalation and rising interest rates are the primary cost 467
- drivers. Using a 4% construction escalation, the same project could cost \$12 million more in just 468
- 5 years and \$27 million more in 10 years. This is without any bond rate fluctuations impacting 469
- the financing. 470
- Applying for state building aid was deemed a priority by the ASB and Administration. ASB is 471
- advocating for an increase in building aid with local legislative representatives later this week. 472
- Building projects were ranked on various criteria, including safety and security, obsolete or 473
- inefficient conditions, overcrowding/increased enrollment, operational cost efficiency, 474
- 475 maintenance program and the District's eligibility for Free & Reduced Meals. This project was
- ranked 7 out of 17 applicants. This ranking from an outside source demonstrates significant need, 476
- 477 considering our district did not receive any of the 200 points available based on eligibility for
- free and reduced meals. Final Awards will be based on Ranking, State Appropriation, and Voter 478
- Approval. Funding is possible but not highly likely. 479
- 480 The role of construction manager is important in this process for both the district as well as the
- taxpayers. For the district, this firm coordinates all aspects of construction, ensures site safety, 481
- and delivers a final "guide to the building" for future usage of systems and maintenance. For the 482
- taxpayer (and the district), this firm ensures a guaranteed maximum price for construction. The 483
- dollar amount on the ballot is the high-water mark. The Board knows that the community would 484
- not support coming back for more funding. In 2021, the request for proposal/qualification was 485
- completed for the architect and construction manager. Since then, we have worked closely with 486
- DEW Construction to ensure the project can be completed for the cost bonded. DEW reported on 487
- the construction market at our January Amherst School Board meeting citing that "CBRE's new 488
- Construction Cost Index forecasts a 14.1% year-over-year increase in construction costs by year-489
- end 2022 as labor and material costs continue to rise. Escalation should stabilize to the 2%-4% 490
- range in 2023 and 2024, on par with historical averages" and the CPI for 2023 is expected in the 491
- 3-4% range. Should the project pass, the construction manager bids the project to subcontractors, 492
- agrees to a guaranteed maximum price, and is bound to that cost. The pre-construction schedule 493
- 494 and material lead times are understood in advance with scheduled contingencies. This is how all
- projects are managed and DEW will not start until they know the critical path items are in hand. 495
- The SAU administration has engaged the New Hampshire Municipal Bond Bank to assist with 496
- financing. NHMBB pools smaller bonds to reduce cost, increase efficiencies, and has proven 497
- 498 record supporting NH school districts. By working with the New Hampshire Municipal Bond
- Bank, the taxpayers are granted a level of oversight that remains steady despite inevitable change 499
- in board members or administrators. The Bond Bank will review our investments and refinance, 500
- 501 if appropriate, throughout the life of the loan. Current proposal is level debt with current interest
- rate of 5.75% It's good to know how building aid impacts our bond process: The Bond Bank 502
- application requires submission date of June 19 which is prior to state budget biannual 503
- finalization. Should the article pass, the district would obtain a bond anticipation note to start 504
- project design before the state budget has been finalized. 505
- Ms. Parisi displayed the tables to show the details of the cost of the bond. For an average home 506
- of \$482,000 which is the amount determined by the Town, the cost would begin at .57 cents per 507
- thousand (\$277) and then hold steady at \$839 for the life of the loan. This is based on a 5.75% 508

- 509 interest rate provided by the New Hampshire Municipal Bond Bank for conservative budgeting
- 510 purposes.
- The board's original presentation at the bond hearing and public hearing in January structured
- bond repayment over a 30-year period. Since that presentation, we have shifted focus to a 25-
- year period, resulting in a lower projected interest rate with an estimated savings of \$14 million
- over the life of the loan. The board is currently focused on level-debt repayment of the bond,
- ensuring the yearly impact is the same for current taxpayers as well as those living in the district
- 516 in the future. These decisions have been made with our best intentions based on current
- information, and subtleties could change in order to save taxpayers money when final bond rates
- 518 are set.
- To summarize this presentation, the Amherst School Board has supported this warrant article 5
- to 0. We have had numerous discussions and debates on the finer points as well as the
- overarching reasons behind coming to the community with such a project. None of us have
- taken lightly the task to provide the town and taxpayers with a strategic, long-term investment,
- while creating an optimal environment for educating students across the district.
- The Moderator asked the Chair of the Ways and Means Committee, Mr. Dwayne Purvis, for a
- 525 report.
- Mr. Greg Fritz, Ways and Means Vice Chair, commented that the Ways and Means Committee
- does not support this article by a vote of one to six. Committee members unanimously agreed
- 528 that space issues exist at Clark Wilkins which should be addressed, however, most do not believe
- 529 that a strong case has been made for the town to spend \$104 million dollars, \$54 million dollars
- plus interest to solve them. We also feel that the Amherst School Board hasn't provided detailed
- cost benefit analyzes alternative plans. Yes, there was consideration, but they're not cost
- analyses. Those could also address the space needs and costs more efficiently. For example, we
- discussed one option where you could retain Clark, add a wing onto Wilkins where the space is
- lacking and upgrade all the systems to accommodate larger space. Another option that wasn't
- costed thoroughly is using the space that already exists at the Souhegan Cooperative Annex
- Building and redistribute grade levels in a different configuration to relieve space issues
- generally. Because it's not possible to include that the current post plan is the best available
- option Ways and Means believe that the board has more homework to do prior to asking for an
- informed vote. It seems design plans haven't accounted for special services growth or volatility.
- Alternatively, enrollment growth may have been overestimated for the general student
- population which could be leading to a building that is larger and more expensive than it need be.
- More work needs to be done to present the alternative choices and general related costs to the
- community as typically done in these matters. An alternate view of this article is a underfunding
- of maintenance needs for an aging building with critical systems that are end of life have forced
- Amherst residents to consider radical action. The current proposal is the only one that has been
- vetted and can be acted upon in the shortest amount of time. While the project is not likely to
- receive the state aid to offset the cost of the article, the Amherst School District maintains a
- relatively favorable ranking of 7 out of 17 on the New Hampshire Department of Education
- priority list of schools should funds become available. Thank you.
- The Moderator opened it up to the public for comments or questions.
- Mr. Greg Fritz, 2 Deer Hollow Road, Amherst NH, speaking as a private person, commented that

we heard that alternatives were considered but not costed. We have nice cost per square foot breakdowns for the proposed plan but nothing for the alternatives. It is impossible to make a financial decision about what is the most effective way to proceed. We heard the presentation tonight we want to hear evidence-based decisions, but we don't see any sources. For example, we saw tonight that HVAC is supposed to reduce absences. Cite your sources. How is HVAC going to affect absences for full day attendance. I'm not saying it does or does or doesn't. I just would like to know if you're going to cite your sources it would be nice to see these things why we're building these schools. We talked about special needs a bunch, you don't have projections for that, we don't know what we're building. We want to build the right school this time, we don't be back here in five years building a bigger school or having to find we have empty space. I'd like you to open a mechanism to donate funds. We heard about the success of donating funds and the Clark example. We have other examples around town. This has helped driving the cost to people who are cost sensitive, and I think it would increase the probability of passing this in the future. I encourage you to open an avenue to donate funds to this project because there's a lot of work to be done. We heard that the Capital Reserve funds are going to help AMS that's great. Last year you needed a bond to do it. It's nice that you now are using the CRF to do it after passing the warrant last year. I saw on your map tonight you had outdoor classrooms, what are those? How's does that compare to the portables? You complained about portables having all these features with safety and other issues your outer classrooms have those features that wasn't clear. We hear about how no one got what they wanted can you talk a little bit about maybe what you didn't get so we understand there was a compromise in fact. Thank you.

552

553 554

555

556

557 558

559

560

561 562

563

564

565 566

567 568

569 570

571

572

573574

575 576

577

578

579

580

581 582

583

584

585 586

587

588

589

590

591 592

593

594

595 596

597

598

599

600

601

Ms. Parisi replied that outdoor classrooms at all of our schools where our teachers take our students out into the yard to do a variety of projects. They have discussed donations for smaller portions of the project not necessarily for the entire building. When you mentioned AMS, after the bond failed last year, they had discussions with the community and understood that we needed to change something that was we were doing. The only piece we could change was taking AMS and doing the changes over time rather than doing them all in one swoop, although would have made more sense with interest rates and construction efficiencies. When you talk about special needs enrollment, this is a tricky one. It is not a number that is readily projected in the education world. Instead, we are projecting in this building are flexible classrooms. They do not have to be for another section of third grade, it could be for a service that is required based on population or enrollment.

Mr. Gauthier added that Mr. Fritz is correct that they do not have the detailed costing that you see in the plan with Banwell. First, Lavallee Brensinger did not give us that detailed costing they gave us a different set and then Banwell and DEW provided costing for the project we are looking at. Lavallee Brensinger quoted them a price of \$66m for that project and \$98m overall with the middle school. The estimates were upgraded and further refined. It goes back to 2019/2020 the first attempt at this was to put a \$250k warrant article for A&E and that failed. We had to go through with \$150k adding it to the budget. To say that we should have gone back to the taxpayers for more money to do detailed costing I do not think it was realistic. It was voted down that first time and we know that we have long term building things coming up and it is still something that they needed to get done. That is why we try to do it as economically as possible, and I think if Mr. Brad Prescott (Banwell Architects) and Mr. Matt Wheaton (DEW Construction) were running a tab for everything they have done for us it is beyond that \$150k. We appreciate them and their work. The CRF, yes, we have that now to work on AMS, but it is because of the shift in focus as Ms. Parisi mentioned. If a project fails, they will still have that money to do maintenance on the other building. If it were to pass, they could save up and do the expenses that have been noted in your report that were neglected for so long. We have \$1.5 million to replace critical things in an emergency. We are going to use the CRF in a maintenance capacity or an emergency situation.

- Mr. Ken Miller, 2 Old Coach Lane, Amherst NH, commented that he lives on the corner of Old
- 603 Coach Lane and Jones Road. My wife and I have lived there for 42 years, this will be the biggest
- traffic mess possible. You will have 43 houses going to be built up New Boston and Boston Post
- Road towards Mont Vernon and all of this will converge right where we live. It's already a mess.
- If you do not believe it come, there between 8:30-9:30am or 2:30-3:00 in the afternoon, it is
- terrible. I do not see anything in your presentation for that.
- Ms. Parisi displayed the Elementary School Site Plan. This plan was developed with the firm
- Tighe and Bond. What you'll notice on this site plan is that around the building there's a loop,
- 610 it's for cars and buses and it accommodates 75 cars. What we've done in our preliminary work is
- stand outside Wilkins during drop-off time with a clicker and click how many cars have come
- through and then we gave that information over to Tighe and Bond and discussed it with Banwell
- 613 to discuss how we need to get the cars off of the road. We know that area Boston Post Road
- traffic in that Jones corner is a problem. The town has discussed it they've done traffic studies
- regarding that, and it is something that we are aware of. Without site work being done to
- Wilkins, that does not get improved with the site work being done to Wilkins we can help that
- situation by getting those 75 cars queued up off of the road.
- Mr. Ken Miller, 2 Old Coach Road, Amherst NH, added if you drive over the Wilkins School
- area any day and count the number of cars that are in that parking lot now, you're going to find
- 620 it's around 70 to 80 cars right now. Now you close Clark School and put all the people over there
- what are you going to do for parking? This is not going to do it. If you think you are getting up
- that hill to Upper Wilkins Field, you got another thought.
- 623 Mr. Jeff Candito, 36 Buckridge Drive, Amherst NH, thanked the board for all the work that was
- done on this project, it is an enormous amount of work. Last year I supported this project and this
- year I do not. A big reason is because of level debt funding. There are two choices. Level debt is
- 626 going to cost an extra \$10m or so to get nothing in return. He asked the board if they could
- 627 explain.
- Mr. Gauthier responded that it is more of an investment for the community. He explained that
- with the level principle you're paying the bulk of that up front you're paying a lot more interest
- 630 up front your payments are higher and then they do waterfall over time. If you move in five or
- ten years your payments are considerably lower than the people that have lived here the whole
- time. By leveling this out, yes, it costs more money that's why we looked at the 25-year option
- 633 instead to bring it down about 14 million and we don't know what the bond rates are going to be.
- This is going to be an investment where your rate doesn't go down so it's a little more stable. If
- you're in town now for better or worse but if you move into town in five years or ten years,
- you're still investing in that same your chunk of the school is still the same as it was for
- somebody that lived here since the beginning of that project.
- Ms. Caitlin Thompson, 4 Madison Ln, Amherst NH, commented that the schools in her previous
- town were also in need of substantial repairs and improvements. People also moved to that town
- for that excellent education, however, voters repeatedly denied repairs and voted down budgets.
- In 2018, one of the elementary school's faucets flooded and caused extensive damage to grades
- K-12 and those classrooms were displaced for 3 months. They were split up between schools in
- town and were displaced. In 2021, the ceiling collapsed in another of the elementary schools.
- Thankfully it happened when no one was in the building, but it displaced all 500 students. The
- students were bussed to a vacant space the next town over. All of this cost was an add on to the
- extensive damage to the school building. I encourage everyone to view the JFAC website and
- FAQ specifically. Hopefully my experience in a previous town provides some perspective that
- despite the screaming need for action, inaction proved to be more expensive in time, money, and
- impact to students. Voting to inappropriately fund our schools cannot continue to be the answer.

Ms. Kelly Schmidt, 11 Patricia Lane, Amherst NH, referenced a PDF from the NHDOE, which

states "this project will replace two old, outdated schools that have a multitude of deficiencies

- with a new combined Elementary School. It was studied that a new school would be a less
- expensive option and save the school district in yearly costs for operation and maintenance".
- That is Derry and not us. They're asking for a \$74 million school. I would urge everyone who's
- trying to compare our schools to other schools keep these comparisons in mind. We have more
- 656 things in common with other schools than just our test scores and community makeup. We have
- the building issues. Many of the families who have been advocating for these school buildings
- will not have children in these two buildings and will not have a single benefit from it. My
- second grader will not be into it and my kindergartener will have one year. I am still willing to
- put all of that money into it for the rest of my time in this community because that is how much
- it impacts children and staff.
- Ms. Marilyn Gibson, 166 Mack Hill Road, Amherst NH, commented that she appreciated the
- two parts one is the new elementary school, and one is repairs to the Amherst Middle School.
- She can attest that my children went through these schools and succeeded. Their education was
- good for them; however, it is not a new building that will increase scores nor change curriculum
- for the benefit. I had a conversation with Interim Superintendent, Mr. Steven Chamberlin, and he
- did say that a new building does not increase scores. I also realized that the teachers have done a
- very commendable job with what they have, and I don't see a lackluster in education by scores at
- this point in time because of an issue called "Covid-19". What I do see is another factor which is
- the teachers themselves. Yes, we have administration itself, so I don't think we need a new
- building, but I do think we need repairs to end of life factors. As a homeowner, we projected that
- that we would have facilities in our home that would require some timing and a budget. Previous
- administrators and previous board members were not being responsible in their duties. I'm
- looking forward to our upcoming elections in order to change all of that.
- Ms. Parisi asked Interim Superintendent, Mr. Steven Chamberlin, if he wanted to respond to Ms.
- 676 Gibson's comments.
- Mr. Chamberlin responded that there is a video out there that talks about the impact of a building
- on education. What I tried to communicate is that everyday Clark-Wilkins is a barrier to
- Principal Murphy and her staff. What happens when you remove that barrier, there is more time
- to be in classrooms there is more collaboration, there is more program that's available that does
- in fact increase the educational experience. Yes, the building will in fact increase efficiencies and
- provide more time for educational leadership and ensure program has the space and dignity for
- all of our students. Please understand that was more of a nuanced answer than simply just bricks
- 684 and mortar.
- Mr. White added that part of that building would have more collaborative spaces. This reference
- is from *Teaching 2030*. "Collaboration among us is a must. A large-scale research study reported
- in 2009 included what we have always known, teachers learn most from each other. Researchers
- using 11 years of match teacher and student achievement data found that peer learning among
- small groups of experience and qualified teachers seem to be the most powerful predictor of
- student achievement over time". In that respect, with a new building, we would see different
- 691 outcomes.
- Ms. Parisi displayed the Option 1 costs that was presented by Lavallee Brensinger back in 2020.
- This is the cost for just updating the systems and using portables to augment our space needs. If
- 694 you look down at the bottom, the total for this is \$33 million. When we talk about construction
- escalation cost, if you add in the construction escalation cost, you come to over \$60 million, this
- is over a 20-year lifetime. Even if you were to do all of those changes, it impacts us with an
- 697 unpredictable tax rate spike. What happens if the needs are included in the budget and the budget

- doesn't pass? Does that put our education operating budget needs at risk? We would still have multiple buildings to maintain, and the inflation of project costs and the interest rates would still rise.
- 701 Ms. Tricia Towne, 48 Thornton Ferry Road II, Amherst NH, mentioned that she is a parent of a sixth and seventh grader AMS and also the school counselor at Wilkins. She noted her office that 702 was displayed with other our two wonderful social workers. It's hard I'm not going to lie, our 703 students are flexible and resilient and they are absolutely wonderful. I moved here 10 years ago 704 705 to have an education for my own two kids and two years ago I got the opportunity to work and 706 live in the same town, it's amazing I love this town. I'm thankful for that. What I would be more thankful for is for the town to support our educators and our students in this town. I have done 707 counseling sessions in the cafeteria, on stage, outside, in our hallways that's not conducive to 708 709 student learning and student engagement and confidentiality. Our kids deserve better than that. My AMS sixth grader had to double up for two weeks during the flood with his wonderful two 710 teachers sitting up there they did it and they rocked it. My seventh grader has had indoor recess 711 in the gym and the cafeteria leaking roofs. He asked, "mom why is this happening" she replied, " 712 I don't know buddy". You tried to explain the democratic system to him it's hard and he knows 713
- it. Coming here tonight I had explained to him more that I'm crossing my fingers that this town is
- going to stand behind their students. I employ you tonight whether you've had students in the
- past, or whether your students are 30 years old, and they've been through these school.
- Mr. Jason Hennessey, 455 Boston Post Rd, Amherst NH, thanked the JFAC. It is clear that you
- have put a lot of work into all of your efforts. He noted that from 2006-to the last year that is
- 719 listed, they are down 300 students. If we are down about 300 students, have we done a full
- analysis of why we are running out of space.
- Ms. Parisi responded that the enrollment is on the <u>JFAC@sau39.com</u> website and part of the
- NESDEC report. In terms of the use of the school, and when we talk about that declining
- enrollment since 2006 and that is town wide. When we talk about our elementary school K-4 we
- have seen 100 new students in the last 4 years. She asked Mr. Chamberlin if he wanted to add
- 725 anything.
- Mr. Chamberlin added that the part of the space crunch is trying to eliminate the end-of-life
- portables. The pressures on the space are for multiple reasons. Lately, they had an increase in
- first grade. They are also increasing their Kindergarten time as well as applying least restrictive
- 729 environments locally for our special education students.
- 730 Mr. Gauthier noted that they are trying to reduce class sizes from the 20 to 16 range. It is better
- 731 for students and teacher interaction.
- Mr. Mike Akillian, 10 Old Mont Vernon Road, Amherst, NH, commented that he feels as though
- this is being positioned as leave things the way they are or remediate them by building a new
- school. That's not how the Ways and Means Committee has looked at this. We went on tours of
- the buildings. Some of the space issues we think are deplorable. We think the issues at AMS that
- have been known about since 2017 and persistent are deplorable. The question that we're raising
- is are there other alternatives that would cost less than 40 million dollars to put in place that
- would meet all the needs that people are so desperately trying to have met. Why do I say that. If
- 739 this costs \$54 million dollars and, I want to give a shout out to Greg Fritz who was the person
- 740 who looked at all different financing alternatives, the original financing alternative brought
- forward by the board was 30 years at 6%. Mr. Fritz found a way to sort of frame this at 5³/₄% for
- 742 25 years to bring the cost down to a little over \$100 million dollars. It's not like we're simply
- against all of this. Have all the right options been presented. At \$54 million dollars, if we got the
- \$14 million dollars of State Aid means it'll be \$40 million dollars out of pocket. We've talked to

- 745 the principals and others on our tours and whatnot, and it seems that it's quite possible to have
- other solutions that have not been costed out, admitted by Mr. Gauthier, that could be well under
- 747 40 million dollars in cost. Please bear in mind we're not against making changes we absolutely
- believe the changes should happen, we're just not sure that we have enough sensible choices at
- 749 different price ranges to move forward. thank you.
- 750 Mr. Gauthier responded again; we've not fully costed them. We have had experts give us
- estimates on this we've had multiple experts from Lavallee Brensinger, DEW and Banwell.
- 752 When you get into renovations there's a lot of unknowns. We lose a lot of grandfathering
- restrictions that we currently have now, so the renovations are certainly a lot more drastic than
- we are going to face with the new build. Yes, it could cost more or the same. We do not know
- what they are going to be once they break into those walls. With the estimates that we have had
- with the experts and a lot of the costs are similar with less disruption than what they are doing
- 757 now.
- 758 Mr. Mozammel Husainy, 33 Eagle Rock Rd, Amherst NH, echoed Mr. Mike Akillian's points.
- He moved here in 2005, lured by the reputation of the schools. Both of my children have had a
- fairly decent education but since 2010, there is a degradation in school performance, learning
- experience and rising costs. For those of you to say that the citizens of Amherst are not willing
- to invest in their children and the school is wrong. We have been very generous, and we have
- been supporting ever increasing operating costs and everything else, however, the results have
- been declining. The last good batch from our school system was 2017 and Math/ELA scores and
- predictions at all on the downward slope. I do not believe any parent wants their children to be
- less prepared or inadequately prepared. I wish it was not as binary as people are making it to be.
- I wish there was a middle portion here. I would like to see a new addition new space. There is no
- debate that we are short of space but like we have been saying I do not believe we have looked at
- plausible alternatives and properly costed them out. We need space we are willing to make an
- investment, but it has to be done properly otherwise it would be pennywise and a pound foolish.
- 771 Thank you.
- Ms. Alison Batey, 12 Joshua Rd, Amherst NH, asked how many of you have been to a Clark
- 773 Wilkins show with Mr. Allwarden. I don't think that anybody in this town would ever suggest
- that Mr. Allwarden is not a treasure that we have been able to hold on to for a long time. If we do
- 775 not make space Mr. Allwarden will move his Music Class to a cart. All of the percussion
- instruments would not be able to get brought to classrooms for students. I also know that living
- here is a point of pride for everyone in this room regardless of what you think about this warrant.
- The outdoor classroom spaces that are being proposed are favored by the NH Board of Education
- 779 without a clear mandate. These classroom spaces are getting us closer to what the state is looking
- 780 for.
- Mr. Terry Reiber, 15 Martingale Rd, Amherst NH, commented that he went to a Ways and
- Means Committee meeting, and they were talking about the cost to maintain the schools versus
- the cost to build the schools and I think there was a slide that said \$32 million to maintain versus
- 52 million. The impression I got from the Ways and Means Committee was that the costs to
- maintain the schools were actually estimated by the same firms that were pitching on the cost of
- a new school. To me, that's like you know you need your new roof maybe windows done in your
- house, and you bring over a contractor that's known for building new housing. I could be wrong,
- but I'd like to know if they looked at the alternatives of maintaining the schools versus building
- new schools whether they actually engaged some kind of third party that doesn't have a boat in
- 790 the race to actually truly go through and understand what the issues, timelines, and the costs
- 791 based on competitive bidding.

- Ms. Parisi responded that she is not exactly sure what number was being discussed at that
- meeting or where that number came from, so I'll give a little bit of history about the different
- numbers that we have seen. To start, we had the 2017 Capital Needs Assessment that was done
- by a company called On-site Insight. From what I understand, that is the work of On-site Insight.
- On-site Insight is not our construction manager, not our architect; they are completely separate.
- 797 That is where our external piece began. At the next level we were working with Lavallee
- Brensinger, they were working on a master planning study. At that point, there were three
- 799 different projections that were given. Those projections were to replace the systems in the
- building and augment with portables, the second was to do a complete renovation of Clark of
- Wilkins and add an addition and the third was for a complete building which would house pre-k
- through five. Without knowing exactly where those numbers are I can't really speak to it but
- what I would like to stress here is that when you work with the construction management firms
- and the architects the way that we have been meeting with these professionals every two weeks
- for a year plus now you understand what their role is in the process. Their role in the process is
- not to upsell us but to understand that they're not going to get paid if this can't pass. If we do not
- pass this at the Town those firms will not see a penny. They're not trying to get more out of us
- than what we are able and willing to put into the project.
- Mr. Gauthier added, in fairness to DEW, Mr. Wheaton you can confirm but we did not ask you
- and you did not provide costs for the projects if we needed to replace an HVAC system you did
- not provide that for the current buildings.
- Mr. Wheaton replied no.
- Mr. Gauthier added that all those numbers are from previous research that we've done with the
- On-site Insight Report, Lavallee Brensinger or the Master Plan and then certainly in-house
- 815 knowledge we have with our Facilities Director as well.
- Ms. Ellen Gruzdien, 18 Buckridge Drive, Amherst NH, noted that she has spent time on the
- school board and on the Souhegan Advisory Finance Committee. There is no conspiracy theory
- here. This group including JFAC has done incredible work and again, they are volunteers and are
- taxpayers. I own a preschool in town, we expanded and have doubled and tripled their wait list.
- We are full for next fall and have about 40 kids on our wait list. It is hard to determine who is
- going to move into town, but I can tell you there are so many littles. I warned Mr. Adam Steel
- two years ago. I hear a lot of bouncing back and she cannot support the band aids to the building
- anymore.
- Ms. Elizabeth Kuzsma, 10 Nathan Lord Lane, Amherst NH, commented that the Ways and
- Means has alluded to the fact multiple times there were no cost break downs for the alternatives
- out there. There was no understanding of the financials is what was said. That isn't actually true.
- We did get numbers of how much these other options would cost, the detailed breakdown that
- wasn't had was how much exactly to the dollar is floor in the flooring estimate, how much is
- sheetrock going to cost, how much are we going to pay for the windows in this classroom that's
- what they wanted the detailed breakdowns for. That's unreasonable, there were multiple other
- options. Finally, a group of people decided on which one of those options was the most
- financially responsible and the detailed breakdown. They've also said that the board and JFAC
- didn't do their homework on the other options. They've been looked at so many times. All of the
- information and numbers for what has been looked at is available on the JFAC website. Just
- because people didn't want to do their homework and dive into the work doesn't mean that it
- hasn't been done and hasn't been happening for years. Thank you.
- 837 Mr. Mike Akillian, 10 Old Mont Vernon Road, replied that we did not say that we wanted the
- same level of detail down to windows and moldings and all of that as was just pronounced. What

839 I hear happening is we're hearing cost estimates from Lavallee Brensinger who designed a very exorbitant building and wasn't rehired to continue. Instead, they went to another architect to say 840 scale this back. Why are we being presented with cost estimates for an overpriced architectural 841 firm that even JFAC and the board decided not to continue. It's a very legitimate question. We've 842 been told it's on the JFAC website. We've been working on this since August. We kept saying 843 844 you keep telling us all of this information that we need, it's more than the back of the napkin it's 845 not the completely detailed cost estimates for every line item. You're telling us it's on JFAC. None of us can find it, rather than just sending us. JFAC is this vast repository of three or four or 846 five years of data just pull it out and give it to us. The long and short of it is there's nothing to 847 pull out and give to us because there's no framing of what the cost of alternatives would be. I just 848 849 want to balance this out a little bit with we're not asking for the ridiculous but we're not simply willing to sign up for the sublime without knowing that there are a couple other options. Thank 850 851

852 853

854

855

856

857

858 859

860 861

862 863

864

865

866

867

868 869

870

871 872

873

874

875 876

877

878 879

880 881

882

883 884

885

886

887

888

Ms. Parisi noted that when JFAC, the Amherst School Board and the administration were working with Lavallee Brensinger Architects they brought forth three proposals. The first proposal was Kick the Can that was to make repairs to the building and then augment our space with portables that estimate was \$23 million dollars. The next estimate was to renovate and refurbish. That's renovate and refurbish Clark Wilkins and add an addition at Wilkins to accommodate the space in a proper building rather than portables. That estimate was \$64.5 million. Their third estimate, option three, was to build new. We all understand what build new is, it's pre-k through five on the Wilkins site. That estimate was \$66 million dollars. When you are presented with three options one is \$23m, one is \$64m and one is \$66m. It would make sense to say that the \$64m and \$66m option are very close together especially when we're going to be sharing the cost amongst the community. When you look at those two options, JFAC, the Amherst School Board and Administration weighed in on what the positive would be to do the middle. In that moment more detailed costs were provided for the Kick the Can option which is \$23 million dollars and then more detailed costs were provided for Build New because those detailed costs were at both ends of the extremes. Rather than asking for detailed costs and spending taxpayer money to receive detailed costs on something that was less than two million dollars different. What we have are the Kick the Can detailed estimates and then we have Build New detailed estimates. What we know about the Lavallee Brensinger work is that the programming was larger than the programming that we have refined with Banwell. Since we have joined with Banwell we have as an Administration and School Board we have sat down, and we have said "what do we absolutely need". When you know what you need, you're able to cut a little bit of space. Lavallee Brensinger was presenting us with classrooms with nine classrooms per grade level this plan is presenting us with seven classrooms per grade level. When you need less space, it will cost less money. What we know is that the renovate and refurbished number was given to us in terms of how to renovate the building so you can assume that the Kick the Can cost is what goes into that. Then the addition would be the cost to build a smaller addition. That smaller addition number was not drawn out of thin air, it was figured out by what the current cost of building a small construction for a school would be. That's where that number has come from and that is why we have detailed estimates on both ends and not detailed estimates in the middle. Further, once we joined with Banwell Architects we decided to continue we as in JFAC and the school board decided to continue to the Build New option. When you have one direction that you're going you continue in that direction. Working with Banwell they provided us with two separate design options one was to use Upper Wilkins field and one was the option that you've seen today, we have detailed costs of those two options. We chose the option that you've seen today. Thank you.

Ms. Barbara Williams, 9 Foundry Street, Amherst NH, the domino impact of warrant 12 includes the cessation of Clark as a school. Yet the \$54m does not include any costs relative to the future

- of Clark. Let's look at not retaining Clark as a school building. She attended a zoom at that time
- run by the Clark School Exploration Subcommittee back in the spring of 2021. At that time, we
- were told that there were five options that were being considered. The school board would either
- sell Clark property to a new owner and it would be developed either for residential purposes or
- for commercial purposes or the school board would sell it to the town or a related foundation for
- some community purpose. There were three ideas floated, one was for a children's library, one
- was for a senior center and a community center. Also, the Clark building would either be razed
- and a green space would be developed or it would be razed in a completely new building would
- be put in for a community purpose or it would be partially razed and retrofitted and maybe there
- 898 would be an addition again for some community purpose. If the town buys Clark, then we are
- going to lose income that is generated by selling to a private party. What I am saying is that
- article 12 is the first step in a whole series of things that is going to come before the community
- as a financial challenge. Everybody wants the best for these kids but what is it going to cost.
- Mr. Gauthier responded that no decisions have been made. We will be engaging the community
- if article 12 passes and there are no concrete plans. Clark will still be needed as a school during
- 904 construction.
- 905 Ms. Lisa Eastland, 19 River Road, Amherst NH, inquired if an amendment could be added to the
- 906 ballot for \$250k for A&E costs.
- The Moderator asked Legal Counsel, Ms. Alison Minutelli for a response.
- 908 Ms. Minutelli replied that it is too late to add to the warrant. It had to be posted by Monday
- 909 January 30th.
- The Moderator inquired if the budget could be amended.
- 911 Ms. Minutelli responded that the budget could be discussed and amended but an article could not
- 912 be added.
- 913 Ms. Lisa Eastland, 19 River Road, Amherst NH, added that the question is between the Board
- and the Ways and Means Committee. She inquired why was that not presented and put forth as
- 915 an option.
- Mr. Gauthier responded that this Ways and Means group was the first that really brought this up
- 917 to the extent that they have over the few months. We have been talking about this for $4\frac{1}{2}$ years
- 918 now. At this point were beyond that. It goes to a plan C, and we are going to be bonding
- 919 something regardless of what happens. It is larger CRF, with no funds going back to the
- taxpayer, we will have huge budget increases, or we bond items. Those are conversations that we
- have started to have and will continue to have.
- 922 Ms. Carey Glines, 17 Holly Hill Dr., Amherst NH, commented that she attended schools in the
- 923 SAU 39. The elementary schools look no different than when she was in attendance. I am
- 924 immensely proud of the education I received in Amherst and she and her husband expended all
- 925 they had to be here and provide the same for their three children in grades, 2, 4 and 6. None of
- her children will benefit from what is being presented today. We support it regardless. I have
- spent my entire career in education, 18 years in higher education and a combined six years in the
- 928 K-12 public system. The success of our students relies on much more than exceptional test
- 929 scores.
- The Moderator asked if this is germane to the discussion of the bond or do you want to talk about
- 931 this in the in the budget discussion.

- Ms. Glines continued, students require exceptional educators, that we have no doubt, they also
- require space and air and room to maximize that learning potential, that we do not have.
- Ms. Chelsea Borden, 28 Buckridge Dr., Amherst NH, commented that she works in facilities
- maintenance as well as public safety and looking at these pictures is terrifying. She does not
- know if her children will benefit from this project. They came to Amherst because of the good
- 937 school system with a good community. Looking at the pictures is scary. The Ways and Means
- have brought up if they looked at other options and she is not sure if they are looking at the same
- presentation. Putting all of the students in the same building makes sense to her. I think you have
- done a really good job and I appreciate that as a relatively new resident.
- Ms. Debra Keough, 16 Summerfield Way, Amherst NH, noted that she is representing the over
- 942 65 crowd. First of all, I want to say that I came from a very progressive high school in
- Massachusetts. In the spirit of transparency, your 0.57 does not adequately address what the
- whole bond dollar amount is. You say what the bond amount is, but you do not say what the
- liability is going to be and that is misrepresentation. I would like to see the dollar amount for the
- other years. You say its flat, then put years two through three. They really need to know what the
- bang for the buck is going to be. I think that Covid is a moot, I don't buy it. They said Covid had
- 948 affected the scores.
- The Moderator redirected Ms. Keough to talk about the facilities bond.
- Ms. Keough noted that she hopes that past performance is not going to be an indicator of future
- 951 performance i.e., maintenance.
- Ms. Shannon Gascoyne, 5 Parker Farm Lane, Amherst NH, noted that 06-07 enrollments were
- higher than we see today. If you go to the DOE website as Mr. Gauthier mentioned we had
- multiple portables, she believes that there were 8. Additionally, we did not have the in-house
- 955 special education programming that we do now. Also were sending IDEA students out of district.
- 956 It was also cited that healthy air impacted student learning. I wanted to note that the TH Chan
- 957 School of Public Health has an extensive report on the school building impacts on student health,
- 958 thinking and performance. I did send this to the Ways and Means Committee in November.
- There are two arguments here, one that they did not take care of the schools, the other is that we
- have been paying for these schools where's our money going. I just want to say that the residents
- of this town have supported Amherst for decades and I don't want anyone to diminish that. The
- reason our buildings are the age they are today are because they have been maintained and past
- the point of maintenance. In terms of the money that has been paid into it, the town has favored
- smaller projects. We were trying to get a 4th and 5th grade building but that building did not pass,
- 965 the Annex at AMS did.
- Ms. Marie Panciocco, 151 Amherst St. Amherst NH, noted that she has been a property owner
- and taxpayer for 40 years. We have supported the schools for as long as she has been here
- ontinually voting yes for many years until last year and now everyone seems to be surprised. I
- understand the timing obviously the school needs work. The irony is not lost, and they are
- discussing a proposal that is going to make housing much less affordable for those of us that
- have made our home here. Right now, this represents a 10% increase, on the median home tax. I
- think everyone can conclude that this is the first in an avalanche of bonds that are coming our
- think everyone can conclude that this is the first in an available of bonds that are confing our
- way. The average taxes that are paid on a home in Amherst represent 50% of an annual average
- payout of a social security recipient. That said, most retirees did not accumulate their nest eggs
- through inheritance or through lottery winnings; they saved and planned and converted a pot of
- 976 money into a stream of income. Over the past several years I have seen my long term neighbors
- move from town not because they did not have the money to pay their taxes, but they couldn't
- 978 justify a double digit expenditure on their budget for one item in this escalating tax culture. We

- are going to push a lot of people out of town. 979
- Ms. Kelly Bennett, 4 Crestwood Ct. Amherst NH, mentioned that she is a parent of two children 980
- at Wilkins and AMS and a Kindergarten teacher at Clark School. I have 21 five-year-olds in my 981
- 982 class this year we are all at 20 and 21. There's been a lot of conversation about test scores and
- who's doing great and are we going to prove ourselves. The conditions are not great and if you 983
- think that by waiting and prolonging this need which we have in our facilities by pushing this off 984
- and asking for more credibility, my concern is what's happening with all these kids while we're 985
- 986 waiting. We have kids coming through already, you're talking 2026, that's a lot of kids coming through. What are we doing to best support them in these couple years, so I ask you to consider.
- 987
- We've got a volunteer-based committee that's doing so much work for us and vet we're 988
- questioning and analyzing every little bit of volunteer time that they've done. I appreciate the 989
- 990 people who are stepping up and who are looking through information and data and statistics. The
- reality is we are in a dire situation in our schools, and we need help now. 991
- The Moderator instructed the Clerk to place Warrant Article #12 on the Ballot as 992
- 993 currently worded and thus, declared.
- The Moderator took a motion to restrict consideration. 994
- Mr. Steve Coughlan, 11 Eaton Rd, Amherst NH, moved to restrict consideration. Ms. 995
- Elizabeth Kuzsma, 10 Nathan Lord Road, Amherst NH, seconded the motion. A vote was 996
- 997 taken by voters holding up their cards. Motion passed the article is restricted.
- The Moderator read article #13, the Operating Budget. 998
- 999 Article 13.
- Shall the Amherst School District raise and appropriate as an operating budget, not including 1000
- appropriations by special warrant articles and other appropriations voted separately, the 1001
- amounts set forth on the budget posted with the warrant or as amended by vote of the first 1002
- 1003 session, for the purposes set forth therein, totaling thirty two million three hundred ninety
- nine thousand four hundred eighty six dollars (\$32,399,486)? Should this article be defeated, 1004
- the default budget shall be thirty one million nine hundred ninety six thousand six hundred 1005
- 1006 thirty three dollars (\$31,996,633) which is the same as last year, with certain adjustments
- required by previous action of the Amherst School District or by law; or the governing body 1007
- may hold one special meeting, in accordance with RSA 40: 13, X and XVI, to take up the issue 1008
- 1009 of a revised operating budget only? Majority vote required.
- 1010 Recommended by the Amherst School Board (5-0)
- 1011 Not Recommended by the Amherst School District Ways & Means Committee (0-7)
- Estimated tax impact of passing this article is: \$0.37 per thousand. 1012
- Estimated tax impact of not passing this article is: \$0.20 per thousand. 1013
- *NOTE: Warrant Article 13 (operating budget) does not include appropriations proposed in 1014
- any other warrant articles. 1015
- The Moderator recognized Amherst School Board Chair, Mr. Tom Gauthier, to present this 1016
- warrant article. 1017

Mr. Gauthier noted that right now, we are currently working in a default budget for FY23 of just 1018 over \$31 million. That is the starting point for next year's proposed budget. We are proposing a 1019 3.9% increase for next year, about \$1.2 million to bring the proposed budget to \$32.4 million. 1020 The allocation of funds in the budget breaks down to three categories. The general fund, which 1021 directly impacts the tax rate. This is up 3.7%. Food services does not affect the tax rate as it's 1022 offset by revenue. Think of it as its own business unit within the district. This is up 0.2% so we 1023 put it in the budget knowing it should be a wash in the end. It is worth noting that we have a 1024 large surplus in food services revenue from last year. Plus, despite inflation, we are trending 1025 towards another sizable surplus this year. When you dive into the budget categories, you can see 1026 where the changes come from. Our largest increase to one budget line is in special services. This 1027 covers the additional services we need to provide for students in the district, including 1028 1029 transportation. This does represent a large increase but as we've talked about, sending students to out of district placement with tuition and transportation is significantly higher. Plus, there are 1030 huge benefits to the students to keep them in district with their friends and peers. It's worth 1031 1032 noting that our facilities budget has morphed over the last few years. We have seen some significant line item decreases in facilities which can appear to be cutbacks in general 1033 1034 maintenance overall. In 2019, under Facilities Director, Mr. Roger Preston, we began to hire 1035 facilities personnel who have expertise in certain areas, electric, HVAC tech, and carpenters. 1036 When we make these hires, we are able to get a significant cost savings compared to contracting with the company for any work that needs to be done. This has brought savings to the district. 1037 We have also seen savings by working under service contracts which went up again this year but 1038 1039 still represent savings. Staffing factors into the additions at Clark Wilkins and the reduction in 1040 budget at AMS. One of the items we added to the budget late in the conversation, in conjunction with recommendations from the Ways and Means Committee, is an investment of about \$70,000 1041 worth of new computers. This will allow us to start a 2 to 1 program for 5th graders, while also 1042 replacing some aging devices for the one-to-one program in the rest of the middle school. We 1043 also see technology increases with additional equipment replacement and service contracts. 1044 There is also increase to our portion of the SAU budget as well. 1045

As with any business and any budget anywhere staffing makes up a large increase in our budget. We are adding a regular education kindergarten teacher and a full-time kindergarten paraprofessional. In the current year we had to move a kindergarten teacher to 1st grade because of a larger than expected first grade class size. Adding a kindergarten level teacher and a paraprofessional back next year will allow us to bring down that kindergarten class size. This is a critical measure for students entering school for the first time. In addition to class size, literacy education and reading is a major focus with our interim Superintendent, Mr. Steven Chamberlin. For a variety of reasons, some of our youngest students are behind in grade level reading. This is a major concern as the longer this goes on the harder it is for students to catch up once they advance beyond 4th grade the focus on reading is the driver behind adding a library assistant at Clark Wilkins. This will make the library available to more students throughout the day. We are also adding a reading specialist at AMS, and we hope to use \$50,000 to partner with St. Anselm College on a Fellows program where we will have two full time certified teachers working on special education certifications. They will be in the building working with students in both reading and math to help them catch up. This is a tremendous bargain, two full-time educators for about \$20 per hour to work on the basics with kids throughout the year. It is only something we can do if our budget passes. You can see the funding of a full-time facilities technician to

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

10511052

1053

1054

10551056

10571058

1059

1060

work the late shift at Clark Wilkins. This will allow us to more efficiently and effectively clean that building while also having more staff hours to allow for community use. There is also the cook position at Clark Wilkins to fully staff that kitchen. We do have some savings in special services staffing, there are more program needs but less staffing needs, so we no longer need to fund a handful of paraprofessional positions.

Mr. Gauthier displayed the enrollment and class size targets. As we look at enrollment, we talked about that kindergarten class size. You can see right now it is in the red, over 20 at this point. With the addition of the kindergarten paraprofessional next year, we are going to bring that down to about 15. With the addition of that staff member, we still have 2nd and 3rd grade being artificially high at this point. We did not want to add another full-time teacher, but it does allow us to add one teacher to bring another grade level down into a respectable range. I do want to call out one additional thing to look at on this chart, you can see year over year the makeup of the number of teachers needed in each grade differs slightly, we are planning a new Wilkins school to have 7 classrooms per grade, but you can see here that those numbers go up and down for grade levels on a year to year basis, so if we need eight first grade classrooms we have flex space to borrow a room for a year. This new building is not being overbuilt but designed to flex when needed. This is a very big initiative for the board, something that's been going on for four or five years now, smaller class sizes. You can find many studies on this; the most well-known study is the Tennessee Star study. I would encourage you to go online, you will find a comprehensive long term look at effective class sizes on student performance.

In summary, the proposed budget focuses on staffing changes for enrollment and class size moderation, a focus on improving literacy education especially in those lower grades. We also have additional support in facilities and food services. we are seeing a large increase in the need for special services programming but a decrease in special services staffing. Fuel costs are staying elevated, so we've had to budget for additional costs in our bus contract and increases in our fuel budget. Our biggest technology impact is the addition of a 2 to1 student to laptop program in 5th grade.

The default budget comes into play if the proposed budget fails. The default budget we are currently operating on is just under \$32 million. To get to this number it is a calculation based on RSA 40:13 9 (b). It starts with the last voted budget, which in this case goes back to the FY22 proposed budget then is adjusted further off of the default budget we are currently operating on. The figure is reached when you remove any one time expenditures like our capital reserve fund contribution from surplus last spring, you factor in some contractual obligations such as staff or teacher contracts and then increases and decreases in debt services. If you look at this here's default and the areas of impact student and special services is the largest increase to the default budget. This includes the student services line and 99% of the salary and benefits line item. That is driven by a grant funded position moving from a grant to the regular budget. Our increased contribution to the SAU budget is in the default as is food services, but again that one will be offset by revenue. When everything is totaled, removing the addition to the Capital Reserve Fund last year, our default calculation is an increase of 2.6% from \$31.1 million to just under \$32 million. Factory in the default budget for a 2.6% increase from FY23 you can see the additional 1.3% that gets us to this year's proposed budget.

- Again, there are four primary drivers facilities and staffing, transportation, and technology.
- 1110 Those are offset in part by some reduced staffing at Amherst middle school. It is important to
- 1111 note a few extra things when contemplating the default versus the proposed budget. There are
- some important items in here for next year. I mentioned the Fellows program over at St. Anselm,
- the additional teacher and paraprofessional for the kindergarten level, the library assistant at
- Wilkins, and a reading specialist at AMS. If we go into a default, we cannot include items in a
- default budget such as transportation contracts, or fuel increases. We still have to find other ways
- to fund them from other areas in the budget.

The Moderator asked Chair of the Ways and Means Committee, Mr. Dwayne Purvis, for a report.

1120

Mr. Purvis turned it over to Ways and Means Vice- Chair, Mr. Jeff Candito.

1122

- Mr. Candito responded that the Ways and Means does not support this article by a vote of 0 to 7.
- there are three main points that I want to make. First some committee members believe that the
- proposed budget is not tied to targeted outcomes more strategic plan and that the budget
- represents a business as usual spending request. Second, it is a \$1.2 million increase to the
- current budget. What was not so clear is that amount does not include any raises for any teachers
- or any support staff under ASSA. That is an important point here because the Ways and Means
- wants all the staff to get a raise next year. For that to happen, it has to be more than that 1.2
- million, but more like a \$2 million dollar increase. The third point, the proposed budget includes
- having to spend \$1.7 million for the SAU staff in the Brick School. Many of us on the Ways and
- Means believe that the SAU budget should be presented as a separate warrant article so that
- voters can decide on it separately. The school boards, including the Amherst School Board have
- chosen not to let voters' vote. The Ways and Means believes that the SAU budget should be
- subject to the same voter review and approval as the other school budgets.

1136

1137 The Moderator asked for public discussion.

1138

1139 Ms. Alison Batey, 12 Joshua Rd, Amherst NH, inquired if that is salary and benefits.

1140

1141 Mr. Gauthier replied correct.

1142

Mr. Greg Fritz, 2 Deer Hollow Road, Amherst NH, noted that the Ways and Means has created a

tax estimator.

1145

- Mr. Mike Akillian, 10 Old Mont Vernon Road, Amherst NH, asked Mr. Gauthier for
- clarification. You listed a number of things like the Fellows program from St. Anslem's and you
- said if this budget doesn't pass, we cannot do that. The reality is what you get voted is a bottom
- line dollar amount and you can allocate that money any way you want.

1150

- 1151 Mr. Gauthier clarified that we can't do it anyway because there is not a line item in there. They
- can hire a reading specialist because we have staffing at AMS, but I don't believe that we could
- do the Fellows program.

Mr. Chamberlin added that is correct that they have no place to transfer.

1156

Mr. Akillian questioned if you do not have a line for literacy, you can't simply put that in there.

1158

- Mr. Chamberlin added that they have added a line to track this but without approval and Ms.
- Minutelli just nodded that they do not have a line to transfer it to.

1161

- Mr. Akillian noted that this is all additives, one of the things we looked at is our sister
- 1163 community of Hollis Brookline. They are socioeconomically the same as us, pretty much the
- same number of students, multiple buildings et cetera. They're educating their kids every year for
- \$5 million less than we are, and their outcomes are equal to or better than ours. We keep looking
- at additive things. At some point we also have to start saying how might we bring our costs
- down, not \$5 million, but let's weigh the balance between everything we are spending and
- burdening the taxpayers with.

1169

- Ms. Ellen Gruzdien, 18 Buckridge Dr., Amherst NH, noted that there is a tremendous amount of
- knowledge that isn't being shared when some comments and questions come up. This is a
- repeating theme that we need to do more with less. She inquired if SAU #39 Business
- Administrator, Ms. Amy Facey, could speak to Mr. Akillian's last comment.

1174

- 1175 Ms. Facey explained that the board sent back some comments on that analysis. There is
- definitely some work that you folks need to do with making that comparison because what was
- added up were the three school district budgets as well as the SAU budget. You are double
- counting that but because the SAU budget was greater it is having more of an impact. In
- addition, the comparison that is being made in Hollis Brookline, you were looking at FY22 and
- comparing them to SAU 39's FY23 numbers. There is some work we can do, and I know the
- board did send back some comments to Ways and Means so before that document gets published
- by Ways and Means we probably should work together on that.

1183

- Mr. Dwayne Purvis, 145 Hollis Rd., Amherst NH, countered that we are talking about something
- that has not been published yet and I apologize but want to add a point of clarity. We were
- comparing FY23 to FY23, we were comparing the total SAU 39 cost to SAU 41 with \$57m to
- \$52.9m. That is nearly a \$5 million dollar difference. Our SAU cost is buried in each of the
- 1188 district's cost.

1189

- 1190 Ms. Facey replied as is theirs. She spoke to the Business Administrator in SAU #41 and that
- snapshot that you showed us includes the SAU budget as well as the school district budgets.
- Their SAU budget is embedded in their school budgets as well as ours. So, when you add up the
- 1193 SAU budget and the school district budget in both, you are double counting the SAU budget.

1194

- Mr. Purvis added that they will take a look at that for clarity. This year it is \$2.9 million their
- 1196 SAU budget is \$2.1 million.

- Ms. Marylyn Gibson, 166 Mack Hill Road, Amherst NH, commented that she has a problem
- with this budget, and it centers on curriculum and administration. There needs to be a real big
- moratorium on curriculum, the money spent for curriculum. I don't know if everybody

understands what PD development is so I'm going to quickly go over that. I believe in the AEA union contract there is money for each teacher to go and look for their own professional development. There is money in our budget for PD, I believe that we have a new math program and that there is a new science program or social studies program in AMS. My concern is stick with the materials you have. You already have voted, the board, on keeping and changing materials. It seems to be year after year, the curriculum goes up. You need to prove to the citizens, the taxpayers, that the one you voted on is the one you want to use, not the one that you want to spend money on and support a publishing company. I have spoken with Mr. Chamberlin about this. CTE is extremely important. I heard today that in 25 years AI is going to be the way to go. You need to prepare the kids through a good technological background, focus on that and you might have success.

Mr. Mike Akillian, 10 Old Mont Vernon Road, Amherst NH, commented that he will send information to Ms. Facey, data on the 2020-2021 Hollis Brookline versus the SAU 39 that she reported into. There is other analysis that has been going on maybe there is something there that should be looked at, but we are within 100 students of one another and the difference in our expenditures versus theirs, is a \$5,662,297 difference.

Ms. Facey cautioned that they have a unique situation in SAU 39 where we have students that are tuition into the Amherst School District from Mont Vernon. There is about \$1.5 million in tuition that is paid from the Mount Vernon School District to the Amherst School District. That is being budgeted as an expense in Mont Vernon and that is being included there, also those costs that are associated with educating those students in the Amherst School District so that is also an expense. Then there is an offset in revenue. It is not as simple as just adding all those numbers up because you're double counting expenses. This report is not published, I would definitely suggest that we have more of a conversation with the board and the Ways and Means to work out the details in that. We do other cross billing and Hollis Brookline probably does too. In doing a simple apple to apples comparison across districts you can't really do that simply the way that you are speaking.

Ms. Amy Hanson, 12 Cricket Corner, Amherst NH, commented in regard to professional development. We are one of the lower schools in this area when it comes to professional Development. We as teachers have to maintain our certifications to be hired and keep our jobs, it is 40 to 45 hours and 30 hours every three years in addition to every certification you hold. That's an additional 30 hours per certification. I have to do my 75 hours which is my basic certification and then an additional 60 hours to hold my special ed certification as well as my math specialist certification. I do need that money to be able to keep my certification and do my job. We do offer great training in District but there are things that are more specific to my job or more specific to things that we haven't worked on or areas that I would like to focus on with my students. I encourage that we do not make any changes to professional development at this point unless it's going to be more for the teachers to use to maintain their certification by the DOE.

Mr. Mike Akillian, 10 Old Mont Vernon Road, Amherst NH, noted that this is SAU to SAU comparison. If there are transfers or costs that are crossed out it's a wash.

Ms. Facey replied that it is not though. It is tuition in Mont Vernon that is an expense and then

expenses associated with educating those students in the ASD and revenue that is offset by that

expense in Mont Vernon. You are budgeting twice for expense and once for revenue. If you are

going to add all of the districts you have to discount the Mont Vernon tuition.

The Moderator asked if anyone would like to speak to this warrant article.

Mr. Gauthier noted that this budget continues to focus on class sizes something that we've been moderate in our increases. We very easily could have added two teachers last year two teachers the year before. We are trying to be respectful of the facilities projects we have going on so it's a maybe not fulfilling that strategy that we want all the way but trying to bridge the gap as best we can. We have the new math program this year because we realized the math program, we were getting prior to this with the results wasn't as good as we want. We're in a good spot with math in in that first year of the pilot program. Also, the reading initiatives been a newer part of our

1260 strategy.

Mr. Chamberlin responded that this is a step in that direction to get 90% of students on grade level by 4th grade. This is the right place at the right time to invest in those supports. This is a beginning of a plan not a vacuum but a targeted plan. We are ready to attack this and gets this done.

Ms. Lisa Eastland, 19 River Road, Amherst NH, asked why I'm not seeing anything from the Ways and Means Committee suggesting that there be a line item in this budget to pay for further investigation to provide the citizens of Amherst data that they have said is their main sticking point for not building a new school.

The Moderator asked Ms. Eastland if she is making a proposal.

Ms. Eastland responded that she does not know how to word it and understands Mr. Gauthier's point. She inquired if that came up from the Ways and Means and did the board decide not to do it.

Mr. Gauthier responded that they did not discuss that.

1280 Mr. Purvis agreed that they did not discuss it.

Ms. Shannon Gascoyne, 5 Parker Farm Lane, Amherst NH, inquired if the board had data on the state contribution and the resident tax increase over time.

Mr. Gauthier responded that they have some rough numbers, but the state funding has gone down. About 10 years ago their funding was about 9% of our local tax rate. It is now down to about 4-5% of our education taxes that we pay. He displayed the ten-year Amherst tax history.

He noted that state funding decreased from 9.97% to 5.5% from 2012 to 2022.

Mr. Bob Grunbeck, 6 Fair Oaks, Amherst NH, inquired about the conversation about literacy and math. He asked if there was anything specifically in the budget that addresses or gets those kids that are excelling.

Mr. Gauthier responded that enrichment specialists are pushing into classrooms and pulling students our of them for enrichment, for example Reading Club. Those programs are planning on staying in the budget.

The Moderator asked for other comments or questions.

Ms. Marilyn Gibson, 166 Mack Hill Road, Amherst NH, commented I appreciate the fact that a lot of people in their own profession seek to get more knowledge. I was a teacher for 29 years, I went between different school districts from Newton, Massachusetts to Rhode Island, Woonsocket. I also came up here and taught at a public school for 16 years. When I was in my 16-year career as a teacher of grades five-eight to teach ELA and Social Studies I was very grateful for the fact that we had deliberative sessions like this that we were encouraged to attend. We also had several years where we would not did not have a contract. My real concern for PD is when we did not have a contract we did not stop going to PD and I'm sure that the teachers here do the same. I do know that Mr. Chamberlin, the board, community, the SAU has tried to support their teachers. They're doing it the wrong way. Stick with the programs you have now and stop spending money on new things because they're on the cutting edge. It's a waste of taxpayers' money it's a waste of the teachers' time where they could be collaborating and picking each other's brains. What happens is we learn more from our cohorts than we do from publishing companies. They're like drug pharmaceuticals.

The Moderator instructed the Clerk to place Warrant Article #13 on the Ballot as currently worded and thus, declared.

The Moderator took a motion to restrict reconsideration.

Mr. Tom Gauthier, 8 Beaver Brook Circle, Amherst NH, moved to restrict consideration.
 Ms. Kelly Schmidt, 11 Patricia Lane, Amherst NH, seconded the motion. A vote was taken
 by voters holding up their cards. Motion passed; the article is restricted.

1324 The Moderator read Article #14.

Article 14. Shall the Amherst School District approve all cost items included in the collective bargaining agreement reached between the Amherst School Board and Amherst Education Association (AEA) which calls for the following estimated increases in salaries and benefits at the current staffing level over the amount paid in the prior fiscal year.

1331	<u>Fiscal Year</u>	Estimated Increase
1332	2024	\$691,834
1333	2025	\$648,309
1334	2026	\$640,399
4225		

and further to raise and appropriate the sum of \$691,834 for the 2023-2024 fiscal year, such sum representing the additional costs attributable to the increase in salaries and benefits required by the new agreement over those that would be paid at the current staffing levels? Majority vote required.

Recommended by the Amherst School Board (5-0)

Not Recommended by the Amherst School District Ways & Means Committee (3-4)

Estimated tax impact of passing this article is: \$0.29 per thousand.

The Moderator recognized Chairman Mr. Tom Gauthier to present this warrant article.

Mr. Gauthier noted that tonight will be our second bite at the apple with a three-year contract. It expired before the current school year that we are in. it was on the ballot last year for a 4-year agreement that was voted down. The teachers are operating on status quo, no raises same salaries, same professional development. As a board, we discussed the goals we wanted to accomplish with the new CBA. First, to increase student learning time. Second, we wanted to fix a broken salary schedule. We wanted to make us more competitive with surrounding districts, especially on the starting steps in the scale. Lastly, we wanted to increase teacher instructional time to develop their abilities which in turn benefit students. I want to thank Ms. Amy Hanson, AEA Representative, and Mr. Grant Dustin, ASSA Representative, for their partnership in a long negotiation cycle.

First, we fixed the salary scale by leveling them out vertically and horizontally. The main fix was removing what we called a jump step. This is where you were seeing teachers get increases when they hit the top level of the scale of 10-13%. This was not sustainable in any environment. It also resulted in some anomalies where teachers with a bachelor's degree, when they hit their jump step, they would be making more than any teacher with a master's degree in the same step. Teachers can still get to that same earning potential, but it is going to take an extra two to three years to get there. We have also reset the entry points to make us more competitive. Our new base salary is \$45,000 and goes up \$1,500 for each degree differential. This is important because we see upwards of six teachers leave each year, there is no broad-based COLA, in this first year of the contract because of the salary reset. We did add a 3½% increase in year 2 and then a 3% increase in year 3. Last year's contract saw salary increases anywhere between 6 and 13%. In this new proposal, in this first year, 81% of our teachers will see increases from 3 to 7%. In addition to better starting pay, we have increased the training for those new teachers with three additional days before school begins for their first year in the district. For all teachers we have added another day in the summer in year 2 and a second day in year 3. Most importantly, we have picked up additional time in the classroom for students. We are adding a full student day starting in year two of the contract. There will be 176 instructional days in year 2 of the contract. We are getting rid of six Late Start days between years 2 and 3 with the addition of professional development days in the summer. This is the equivalent of two more classroom days. Lastly, we have stopped new enrollments of our most expensive health care plan and we are offering a less expensive high deductible healthcare plan with an HSA for all teachers.

Mr. Gauthier continued, overall, we tried to change a lot of things and we succeeded in two major areas the calendar and the salary scale. If this doesn't pass, morale takes a huge hit, this inevitably impact students. No contract needs a second year in a row with no increase for teachers. We all know how difficult that makes things in the current economy, it also means a third straight year where resources and energy are dedicated to negotiating a contract. This is not ideal with the new Superintendent coming into the district. Lastly, our ability to hire and retain teachers is diminished even further. We have already seen a major reduction in the number of new applicants, keeping them will be even harder for us. He noted the difference between the Amherst School District and Hollis Brookline as a third-year teacher in both districts. If you were in this district and started two years ago, and next year will be your third year, you started on step one and this year he will be on step one because of last year's failed contract. You will be on step one again next year for the third year in a row making just under \$42,000 (\$41,717). Comparatively, if you were in the Hollis Brookline School District, they have not had any delayed contracts. You were also in your third year, but you are already up on Step 3. You are making an additional \$9,000 more than what you'll be making in Amherst next year because you are on step three with a different salary schedule. At this amount compounded, this becomes a lot of money. We know this contract is not perfect and it was a pretty tough negotiation.

1382

1383

1384 1385

1386

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

1393

1394

1395

1396

1397 1398

1399 1400

1401

140214031404

1405

1406 1407

1408

1409

1410

1411

1412

14131414

1415

1416

1417

1418

1419 1420

1421

14221423

1424

14251426

1427

The Moderator asked the Chair of Ways and Means, Mr. Dwayne Purvis, for a report.

Mr. Purvis responded that Mr. Akillian will be responding for the Ways and Means Committee.

Mr. Akillian mentioned that the Ways and Means does not support this article by a vote of 3-4. Of all the warrant articles, this is the one that got the most debate and discussion. One thing we all agreed upon is how much we value and support our teachers. We also acknowledge a challenging environment which teachers has operated in the past several years, namely, there's been a lack of strategic planning and targeted academic outcomes which could have provided focused and consistent direction for teachers. There has been an array of educational experimentation that has adversely affected students and teachers and could have been constrained through effective governance and oversight. Teachers bore the brunt of the challenges of Covid and continue with the many needs of parents and students dealing with the crisis, not to mention their own. The management style of the previous SAU administration turned increasingly autocratic. For example, teachers being threatened with dismissal if they spoke to anyone outside of the schools at a time when more transparent community wide collaboration was called for. Also, we unanimously support the proposed AEA contract changes that are redistributed the salary schedule to compensate lower paid teachers more equitably. We certainly applaud the increase in learning time covered by the proposed contract. If this article is defeated these changes may not going to effect until a new contract is ratified. At the same time, we believe that ASD's costs are high and ought to come down. That effort will be thwarted if the AEA contract is not well scrutinized and trimmed as other cost drivers. Here is the reason. Of the \$32 million spent on ASD schools, almost \$18 million or 55% of the total budget is driven directly by this AEA contract. The total salary and benefits portion of the \$32 million is \$25.6 million of that the AEA contract portion represents 70% of all salaries and benefits. This article represents a very big chunk of future budgets in Amherst taxes. We support and value excellence in teaching as the foundation for excellent academic outcomes. Amherst teachers are amongst the highest compensated in the State, comparable to Hanover NH who's academic performance tops

in the state and higher than ours. Instead of reducing costs, this AEA contract increases costs. If approved in 2024 it will increase costs by \$691,000, in 2025 it will increase costs by another \$648,000, and in 2026 it will increase costs by yet another \$640,000. All told in the next three years it will increase baseline costs by \$2 million. These increases would be in addition to the \$1m increase proposed in the operating budget covered in article 13. Also, if approved, it will become an ongoing contractual obligation. This means those costs must be built into ensuing default budgets and proposed budgets in effect perpetuating these costs unless they are changed through negotiations between the union and the school board. The proposed contract in its current form increases cost significantly. If we believe the costs and related taxes should come down then any substantial cost cutting would have to come from the remaining 45% of the budget which include support staff, non-union personnel, and non-personnel costs. Such a narrow focus may prevent any meaningful cost cutting or risk unanticipated degrading of those particular activities.

Mr. Peter De Bruyn Kops, 377 Boston Post Rd, Amherst NH, commented that last year I spoke in favor of this collective bargaining agreement. As he understood it the students were getting more instructional time. Sometime after that, somebody told me that I misunderstood it. As Mr. Gauthier mentioned there are a lot of moving parts. He inquired how much time will teachers be spending with students.

Mr. Gauthier responded overall in this contract we are removing 6 Late Starts. We have added more teacher instructional time which has a benefit to students, and the second year of the contract we are adding a full student day. That will take us from 175 instructional days to 176. We are also adding the equivalent of two extra days by removing the Late Start days. So, three Late Start days go away in the second year of the contract which results in an extra day. In the final year of the contract, we are going to go down another three Late Start days. So instead of having 10 by the end of the contract we will have four Late Start days. The main difference from this year to last year was that we had five snow days. We had discussed not making those up at the end of the year that is in the current contract language. As it stands now, it is at the board's discretion to make those days up. As we go forward it will be a discussion point. The board intends that snow days depending on when they fall will be made-up going forward. That will give us from 170 days to 176 by the end of the contract.

Ms. Colleen Tapley, 1 Beechtree Way, Amherst NH, noted that I am faculty in the School of Education at the University of Massachusetts, and I prepare preservice teachers. I want you to know that we pay our teachers about \$30,000 less than we do in Massachusetts. I made \$52,000 my third year of teaching and that was about 20 years ago. The lengths these teachers go to for our children, I can't tell you. My son had a really bad injury last year and his second grade teacher drove to our house to come check on him. In the pandemic the things these teachers did for our kids, I can't even tell you. To say that we value them and the excellence that they bring into our classroom and not give them a contract is disgusting. Inflation has gone up and we don't have a contract for them. When I worked in Massachusetts they had to back pay when we didn't have a contract. The year I spent in Billerica without a contract I got my back pay, and these teachers don't get that back pay from this year. If you value them, you will give them that contract.

The Moderator asked for other comments.

Ms. Kelly Schmidt, 11 Patricia Lane, Amherst NH, commented that last year the Ways and Means did not support this. As Chair of the Ways and Means Committee last year, I was very vocal about not supporting last year's contract. I am in support of this year's contract. I agree in many ways that there are steps to look deeply at to ensure that our greatest cost is kept in line. We cannot make all of those changes in an instant because if we do that, we are going to have catastrophic failure. The changes that this contract makes are in the directions that are desired by many people as well as creating that balance of supporting our teachers. This is only a 3-year contract, last year's was a five-year contract.

Mr. Gauthier responded that it was a 4-year contract.

 Ms. Schmidt continued, that gives us a shorter period of time before we go back to the table. It has been brought up to have negotiations every year, it is really hard, and nobody wants to do that. You also want consistency things going forward. She inquired why we do a flat COLA rate across all of the steps and the differences compared to other schools.

Mr. Gauthier responded that they are right in line and in some instances are less in our COLA percentage. The way this teaching contract works is that every year you are gaining more credentials, and you are earning the experience by going from one step to another. The broad based range is referred to as a COLA, that provides the same to everybody based on the cost of living. It is not tied to any inflationary rate. I think our numbers are right in line with other districts, Bow, Windham, and Hollis Brookline.

 Mr. Greg Fritz, 2 Deer Hollow Road, Amherst NH, mentioned that he is in favor of this warrant. The total cost that you are seeing over the three-year term but over four years is a little less impactful. I feel like this is not a lot of raise considering the fact that the dollar is falling pretty fast in the next two years. These are complicated contracts and I appreciate the work that everyone did on all sides. Let's have a three-year discussion now, let's talk about what needs to be done this time the next time this comes up so it is more unified. There are good things on both sides, but it would be nice to have an ongoing conversation during non-contract years. We want to support our teachers the right way and we want to pass that message on, and don't want to hurt morale.

 Ms. Amy Hanson, 12 Cricket Corner, Amherst NH, remarked that I am a teacher here and the AEA President. I just wanted to say that I have done negotiations in Amherst for many years and many contracts. I appreciate the hard work by the board. It is a lot of work, and it did kill me a little bit when I heard people say to have a one year contract. We spent about 30 hours together, and that is not including the hours we worked on our own to prepare. It is costly, we are educators, not negotiators. We do not want to come to the table again to save a percent or half percent here or there. It is not perfect, but I do feel that it is fair and we do hope that the Town of Amherst also feels that it is fair.

The Moderator instructed the Clerk to place Warrant Article #14 on the Ballot as currently worded and thus, declared.

1520	
1521	The Moderator took a motion to restrict consideration.
1522	
1523	Ms. Victoria Parisi, 3 Church Street, Amherst NH, moved to restrict consideration. Mr.
1524	Mark Vincent, 2 Nathaniel Dr., Amherst NH, seconded the motion. A vote was taken by
1525	voters holding up their cards. Motion passed the article is restricted.
1526	
1527	The Moderator read Article #15.
1528	
1529	Article 15. Shall the Amherst School District, if Article 14 is defeated, authorize the governing
1530	body to call one special meeting, at its option, to address Article 14 cost items only? Majority
1531	vote required.
1532	
1533	Recommended by the Amherst School Board (5-0)
1534	
1535	Recommended by the Amherst School District Ways & Means Committee (4-3)
1536	
1537	The Moderator recognized Mr. Gauthier to present this article.
1538	
1539	Mr. Gauthier discussed that he has a short presentation. This will appear on the ballot along with
1540	the AEA CBA. If the AEA agreement fails, this article if it were to pass would give the board the
1541	option of calling a one-time special meeting. We would lose all the gains we made in terms of
1542	educational days, professional days etcetera. This would include a Public Hearing, Deliberative
1543	Session, and Voting Day over the summer to discuss only salary items for teachers.
1544	
1545	The Moderator asked for the Ways and Means Committee Report.
1546	
1547	Mr. Purvis responded Mr. Mike Akillian will give this report.
1548	
1549	Mr. Akillian mentioned that the Ways and Means supports this article in a vote of 4-3. Some
1550	Ways and Means Members see no need for taxpayers to incur the cost of a special warrant
1551	deliberative session and election in which fewer voters are likely to weigh in on what was
1552	already decided upon by a greater number of voters. Conversely, if the AEA contract is voted
1553	down this meeting could be a mechanism whereby the school board and union could find a way
1554	to provide some additional compensation to teachers until the next contract is renegotiated.
1555	
1556	The Moderator opened it up to the public for comments or questions.
1557	
1558	The Moderator instructed the Clerk to place Warrant Article #15 on the Ballot as
1559	currently worded and thus, declared.
1560	
1561	Ms. Victoria Parisi, 3 Church Street, Amherst NH, motioned to restrict consideration. Mr.
1562	Mark Vincent, 2 Nathaniel Dr., Amherst NH, seconded the motion. A vote was taken by
1563	voters holding up their cards. Motion passed, the article is restricted.
1564	

The Moderator read Article #16.

Article 16. Shall the Amherst School District approve all cost items included in the collective bargaining agreement reached between the Amherst School Board and the Amherst Support Staff Association (ASSA) which calls for the following estimated increases in salaries and benefits at the current staffing level over the amount paid in the prior fiscal year:

1572	Fiscal Year	Estimated Increase
1573	2024	\$108,214
1574	2025	\$68,769
1575	2026	<i>\$68,879</i>

and further to raise and appropriate the sum of \$108,214 for the 2023-2024 fiscal year, such sum representing the additional costs attributable to the increase in salaries and benefits required by the new agreement over those that would be paid at current staffing levels? Majority vote required.

Recommended by the Amherst School Board (5-0)

Recommended by the Amherst School District Ways & Means Committee (7-0)

Estimated tax impact of passing this article is: \$0.05 per thousand.

The Moderator requested Mr. Gauthier to present this article.

 Mr. Gauthier responded that this is a three-year arrangement with the Amherst Support Staff Association. There was one main theme in discussions and that was attracting and retaining employees. The current first step for our ASSA employees ranges from \$12.23 per hour to \$16.64 per hour depending on the position. They still are not fully staffed in some of these positions. Keeping employees has been very difficult in that current salary scale. As such we are proposing a complete restructure of this salary schedule. It will increase the starting pay all but one step, the very first position for kitchen workers. We're going to reduce our overall number of steps from 40 down to 20. It's going to make it a lot easier clerically. We would have folks routinely take three-step increases in one year for a variety of reasons now it's going to be one step every single year and it's also going to provide a little bit more meaningful raise every year they go up one step. We're going to increase the per hour benefit for personnel with Associates or bachelor's degrees. There's a differential if you have a degree so we're going to increase that and then we're also increasing the hourly rate for when a support staff member is thrusting away regular teaching position if they're taking on a teacher role, we're increasing that hourly rate from \$2.75 to \$5 an hour on top of what they're currently making. There are some other smaller things in there, but this is really the main focus. I believe it's a relatively small cost. It's going to allow us to attract more employees, keep those employees and ensure that the people that we entrust to a lot of one-on-one time with our students especially those paraprofessionals that want to be here and then they can actually stay.

The Moderator requested a report from the Ways and Means Committee.

Ms. Gibson remarked that Ways and Means very much appreciates the sustained efforts of members of the ASSA support staff to provide high quality services across our schools. She believes the elements of this article deserve strong public support so that means 7-0.

The Moderator noted that we can have public discussion.

Ms. Marilyn Gibson, 166 Mack Hill Road, Amherst NH, commented that she appreciates the fact that their compensation has gone higher. I do realize that during the "Covid experience" that some teachers did not write any lessons for any of this or for some of the paraprofessionals that were in the class, especially on a one-to-one basis, I know it.

I am very familiar with the paraprofessionals in the school district and feel that they are not appreciated. That is low morality, you need to up their compensation. They put in many hours just like the teachers did. Both of the groups want that appreciation extended to all of the teachers and I extend that too because virtual teaching is not for everybody.

You have a bunch of excellent paraprofessionals in the classroom, but you are not supporting them like you do the teachers to the classroom. Sometimes these paraprofessionals are exactly that, teachers with instructions from the regular teacher or even special ed but from personal experience you lose a lot. Just because you have a competitive job market doesn't mean you should raise what you pay them. You raise what you pay them because of their experience and their love of what they do. Believe me, I've heard from a lot of them that they love what they do because they give support. I watched a teacher get a young person in fourth grade and she was happy with the improvement she gave to that little boy and established a relationship especially during the Covid routine.

The Moderator instructed the Clerk to place Warrant Article #16 on the Ballot as currently worded and thus, declared.

Ms. Victoria Parisi, 3 Church Street, Amherst NH, motioned to restrict reconsideration. Mr. Mark Vincent, 2 Nathaniel Dr., Amherst NH, seconded the motion. A vote was taken by voters holding up their cards. Motion passed the article is restricted.

The Moderator recognized Mr. Gauthier to present warrant article #17.

Article 17. Shall the Amherst School District, if Article 16 is defeated, authorize the governing body to call one special meeting, at its option, to address Article 16 cost items only? Majority vote required.

Recommended by the Amherst School Board (5-0)

Not Recommended by the Amherst School District Ways & Means Committee (2-5)

Mr. Gauthier noted if warrant article #16 fails it gives them the option to host that meeting.

The Moderator recognized Ms. Marilyn Gibson to give the Ways and Means Report.

Ms. Gibson responded that the Ways and Means voted 2-5. It is our hope that this meeting is not necessary because article 16 passes.

The Moderator asked for public discussion or comments.

Ms. Shannon Gascoyne, 5 Parker Farm Lane, Amherst NH, asked if the special meetings were contractual obligations.

Mr. Gauthier responded for the AEA, yes for the ASSA, no.

The Moderator instructed the Clerk to place Warrant Article #17 on the Ballot as currently worded and thus, declared.

Ms. Victoria Parisi, 3 Church Street, Amherst NH, motioned to restrict reconsideration. Mr. Mark Vincent, 2 Nathaniel Dr., Amherst NH, seconded the motion. A vote was taken by voters holding up their cards. Motion passed the article is restricted.

The Moderator read article #18.

Article 18. Shall the Amherst School District raise and appropriate the sum of up to six hundred five thousand dollars (\$605,000) to be added to the Amherst School District Capital Facilities Repair, Maintenance and Improvement Expendable Trust Fund for repairing, maintaining, and improving capital facilities, previously established in March 2003? This sum to come from the June 30, 2023 year-end unassigned fund balance (surplus) available for transfer on July 1, 2023. No amount to be raised from new taxation. Majority vote required.

- 1685 Recommended by the Amherst School Board (5-0)
 - Recommended by the Amherst School District Ways & Means Committee (7-0)

Estimated new tax impact of passing this article is: \$0.00 per thousand.

Estimated new tax impact of not passing this article is: -\$0.26 per thousand.

The Moderator recognized Mr. Gauthier to present this warrant article.

Mr. Gauthier noted that we talked about this earlier. Our Capital Reserve Fund is going to allow us to continue some of that long-term planning to maintain the current facilities, in particular, the work that we need to do at AMS. This article calls for \$605,000 to be contributed to the CRF from our Unassigned Fund Balance at the end of the year. There's no additional impact on taxes with the passage of the article. It is simply us using a portion of the unused money at the end of the year to be able to fund this. A lot of that's going to come from revenue that we have at the end of the year as opposed to expenses that are left over. It's essentially a rainy day fund that was started years ago and we've made a diligent effort the last three years to put money into it. You can see right now we have a balance of about \$1.4 million. This will put us up to about \$2 million before we start to take money out for the roof project and unit ventilator project phase one that is currently in the planning stages.

1706 1707

1708

1709

1710

1711

1712

1713

1714

1715

1716

1717

1718

1719 1720

1721

1722 1723 Mr. Gauthier displayed the Capital Needs Assessment Funding Plan. As you look at this list, here are the big projects that are coming up. The amount of \$1.6m is an estimate right now. Part of that immediate goal is to make AMS watertight. The Ways and Means mentioned it tonight that this building is not watertight. It's not out of neglect, it's out of the ability to do small repairs. We're at the point now where small fixes just don't work. It's an immediate goal to make it watertight, this is the priority. There are other projects that will come down the line. Doing this project now will likely change the Master Plan. All these projects that are listed may not happen in the next five years. You can still see the overall story that we have some major withdrawals coming up a couple of years. If everything did stay the same, we're looking at about \$1.3m for a variety of projects in FY26. AMS is not neglected and has not been neglected in the past. It's just simply time for some of those bigger repairs that we've talked about. We're trying to strategically use this fund to repair AMS while putting the elementary school project to the bond vote because that's the best way to address the space needs that we have at the school. It takes care of the structure at Wilkins, but it also allows us to move fifth grade down into Wilkins which clears up a lot of space in AMS. It also gives us some more flexibility for programming needs there. If the bond passes, it also allows us to use this CRF to focus on AMS for the next number of years. If the Wilkins project does not pass and space issues remain in both schools, we also have a much more difficult task of making these CRF funds stretch a lot further in the short term to cover all three of the Amherst buildings, Clark Wilkins, and AMS. It's no extra tax impact it comes out of the Unassigned Fund Balance which we'll discuss later on.

1724 1725 1726

The Moderator recognized Mr. Mozammel Husainy, 33 Eagle Rock Rd, Amherst NH, to present the Ways and Means Committee Report.

1727 1728 1729

1730

1731

1732

1733

1734

1735 1736

1737

1738

1739

1740

Mr. Husainy discussed that the Ways and Means Committee were unhappy that Amherst School District buildings have been allowed to fall into such disrepair. It is especially disturbing that the persistent roof leaks at AMS have not been corrected for several years. These leaks were discussed by the board as early as 2017 continued to damage the vicinity and disrupt activities every time it rains. The current Maintenance Capital Reserve Fund has \$1.3 million dollars. While we believe that normal repairs and maintenance should be covered by the Operating Budget, we unanimously agree that an additional \$605 thousand dollars be added to the reserve fund to address these dire needs. The Ways and Means Committee has no say over where this money might be spent but we believe that the AMS leak problem should be addressed immediately. Going forward, a capital reserve fund should be used for unplanned and emergency issues and normal repairs should be covered in the budget. This is more likely possible going forward because the SAU now has a competent thoughtful Facilities Director who has drafted a sensible multi-year facilities maintenance plan.

1741 1742 1743

1744 1745

1746

1747 1748 Mr. Gauthier responded that the roof projects have not been ignored, the big repairs have been. These are new roof leaks. When they pop up it's mainly in the 50-year-old part of the building. It's different places every time. If you go into AMS, you will see trash cans at different spots, it's not the same spots that have been leaking since 2017, those have been addressed. Any leaks in the newer part of the building have been flashing related on the sides and those have been repaired. It's not neglect. It's just time for the bigger repair that is needed. That's why it is \$1.3 million from the CRF as opposed to adding \$50,000 into the budget here and there.

Ms. Parisi added that the roof project will be a staged project. You won't stop hearing about the roof after this one fix you will hear about it in the future. All the different roofs at AMS were installed at different periods in time and are in different conditions requiring us to make sure that we have a long-range plan.

Mr. Bob Grunbeck, 6 Fair Oaks Amherst NH, commented I support the idea of putting more money into that capital reserve fund but is it the board's intent to only expend funds from this that are major projects and not do regular maintenance out of it.

Mr. Gauthier responded correct and we're not doing that now. In FY 26 we have about \$1.3 million slated in there so a variety of smaller projects. It is the intent to focus on the bigger things on items that would throw off a budget by you know one to two percent. Smaller repairs will come out of the regular maintenance budget and SAU #39 Facilities Director, Mr. Roger Preston, plans for that very well.

Mr. Grunbeck noted that's exactly my point. This should be used for major capital things not putting a sneeze guard in a library.

1769 Mr. Gauthier replied correct.

Mr. Grunbeck added I hope the board sticks to that going forward. Second, you want to make a point about where the money is held. It is held in a Capital Reserve Fund which cannot be invested in markets. You are subject to inflation; we've talked with the board about this several times. If you are going to do the projects, I would encourage you to do them sooner than later because inflation just keeps eating at the value of that fund.

Mr. Gauthier noted that Mr. Preston has made it abundantly clear to the Board and the Administration he wants about a hundred thousand dollars for emergencies in in this fund. There will be roughly three hundred thousand dollars in there after the roof and unit ventilator projects phase one.

Mr. Grunbeck added to please keep in touch with the Trustees of the Trust Funds so that they don't invest it in new CDs and have a problem.

Ms. Kelly Schmidt, 11 Patricia Lane, Amherst NH, asked Ms. Facey to explain we're able to have unexpended fund balances as large as this potentially could be. In a different article it is referenced that potentially that we are not budgeting tightly to allow for doing this sort of work.

Ms. Facey responded that we did prepare some information for the board on past articles.

Mr. Gauthier displayed the Unassigned Fund Balance- Revenues and Expenditures. Ms. Facey put this together this chart of the last five years. You can see leftover fund balances in the expense line and the revenue line. The bulk of what we are putting in the CRF comes from the revenue side. That is money that goes back to the taxpayers or in a fund like this. If this article passes, we will take \$605,000 of the overall expenditure. They are anticipated to have \$775,000

of the Unassigned Fund Balance, the majority will be revenue. If you look at this chart, we have returned roughly 80-90%. Some of the larger amounts have been because of Executive Order #38. At the time Covid happened, the Governor allowed the school districts to retain UFB for emergencies. We did not over budget by \$1.5m in FY22. We are looking at slim margins on the budget. Last year, we had about \$300,000 left over, which is less that 1% of our overall budget in terms of expenses. It is very low compared to the revenue side in most years, about 1 to 2% out of the five years that are listed, that are normal years in terms of expenses excluding those Executive Orders that allowed us to carry over.

Ms. Schmidt inquired how do we create that balance; it was her understanding that they are spending the CRF in that way to pay for AMS. Has the board discussed what we do so that it does not get decimated by inflation, or can it be invested?

Mr. Gauthier responded that he cannot speak to the legal issues of investing. With this fund they are trying to be respectful, they have 5- and 10-year plans and an overall 20-year Capital Needs Assessment. These plans are fluid, and they are trying to save the minimum to give back taxpayers.

Ms. Schmidt asked if there is another vehicle.

Mr. Grunbeck mentioned that this is the most discussion that they have ever had on a Capital Reserve Fund. It is called a trust fund; schools can create a common trust fund in which they use that mechanism to save for the future. There's a trade-off of that because it could be invested in the stock market which does go up and down. If it's a long-term plan, you can use that vehicle and that would just be done through the school board proposing a capital or a common trust fund. The Trustees of the Trust Fund would still manage that whatever the according says. I believe Souhegan has their Maintenance Fund in a trust or in a trust fund which can be invested. It's just a matter of choice and there's no right way or wrong way. With a capital reserve fund, you can't invest in anything that puts the principal at risk, that's why inflation is tough.

Ms. Schmidt responded that it makes sense. I would just encourage the board to continue to look into all those options and make sure we're creating the right balance long term.

The Moderator instructed the Clerk to place Warrant Article #18 on the Ballot as currently worded and thus, declared.

Ms. Victoria Parisi, 3 Church Street, Amherst NH, motioned to restrict reconsideration.

Mr. Mark Vincent, 2 Nathaniel Dr., Amherst NH, seconded the motion. A vote was taken by voters holding up their cards. Motion passed the article is restricted.

1836 The Moderator read article #19

Article 19. Shall we adopt the provisions of RSA 32:5-b, and implement a tax cap whereby the governing body (or budget committee) shall not submit a recommended budget that increases the amount to be raised by local taxes, based on the prior fiscal year's actual amount of local taxes raised, by more than the lesser of (a) 2% (two percent); or (b) the annual percentage

increase in the U.S. Consumer Price Index-All Urban Consumers for the Northeast, published by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, as of the month of December of the immediatelypreceding year? 3/5 Majority vote required.

Not Recommended by the Amherst School Board (0-5)

Not Recommended by the Amherst School District Ways & Means Committee (1-6)

The Moderator called on Mr. Terry Reiber, 15 Martingale Rd, Amherst NH, to speak to this warrant article.

Mr. Reiber noted that group of us got together about May of last year and we were very concerned about the direction that taxes were taking in town. We looked at a bunch of different alternatives and my cohort here Mr. Russ Hodgkins looked into it and found out that the State allows us to have a tax cap if the voters approve it. We put that language that you see there on to a petition and went out and we got over 25 signatures required, I think we got 35. Those signatures were checked to make sure that they were valid, registered voters in town. As a result of getting those signatures getting checked we presented them to SAU #39 and the petition was subsequently put on the warrants. Mr. Russ Hodgkins has a presentation he would like to make to go into this in a little more detail so that there's an understanding of what the tax cap is.

Mr. Russ Hodgkins, 39 Thornton Ferry Road I, Amherst NH, commented that I've lived here in Amherst since 2009. There's a lot of misconceptions about the tax cap. The tax cap was enabled by New Hampshire Legislature about 10 years ago, initially in 2011 and then it was amended in 2013. It is a relatively new concept as far as being implemented for towns. Many cities in New Hampshire such as Nashua and Manchester that have city governments have tax caps. If it were to be adopted here in Amherst, we would only be the third town in the state to adopt a tax cap which is in the RSAs, and we'll talk about that a little bit. It's the body of State laws, RSA stands for Revised Standards Annotated. We are going to talk about what is a tax cap, how will it be adopted, if it were adopted and then what happens, and when it will be adopted.

First, what is a tax cap. It's a mechanism to control the growth of taxes, by limiting the increases in budgets. The guidance is in the RSA Chapter 32, and it applies to essentially towns, school districts. If you create a budget, then this particular section of the RSA can be adopted in your budget making process. There are two sections of the RSA that deal with tax caps, the first is how do you adopt a tax cap RSA 32.5 C and then if the voters approve it.

First, we will talk about how a tax cap would be adopted. It has to be adopted by a three-fifths majority of the voters, it requires a public hearing and then the RSA provides mandatory wording for the article. That's what's in the RSA, we have to copy the RSA verbatim into our warrant article. Finally, that section of the RSA provides a means for a town after they adopt the tax cap to rescind the tax cap that they could then rescind a tax cap by a three-fifths majority.

What would happen if the tax cap warrant article were approved? You go to a different section of the RSA's, which incidentally comes before the part about how you adopted. It requires the taxes to be increased as shown in the budget by a fixed amount every year and you cannot exceed that

unless you have an ability to override the tax cap which is also part of the RSA. There's a lot of misconceptions that the tax cap somehow ties the hands of the budgeters but there are provisions in the RSA for the tax cap to be overridden on a year-by-year basis. It has to be done in a very transparent and open manner and you can't have a whole bunch of mysteriousness in there.

There is provision in this guide, and I call attention to the section on page 19. There appears to be an erroneous assumption that was in that section where they talked about the tax cap as if the tax cap would be mandatory. That may be based on an erroneous assumption that section there, so I'll just let everybody at your leisure look at that. There is a provision in the tax cap if it were to be adopted for it to be overridden on an annual basis should circumstances warrant but that's a very transparent process.

So, when would the tax cap take effect? We have been talking about the budget and the spending that will take effect starting this summer in June for the fiscal year, if the town of Amherst were to a vote and adopt this tax cap it would not commence until the next year so that would be July 1st of 2024. The budgets that would be built for that year would then be under the tax cap legislation were Amherst to adopt it.

 What are the advantages of a tax cap to Amherst and Amherst voters and Amherst taxpayers? First is it puts a constraint and a check and balance on annual increases in taxes and any increases in taxes have to be done in an open and transparent manner. Second, there's less chance of Amherst taxpayers who may be living on a fixed income or maybe at the lower or middle income spectrum to find themselves in a position where they get priced out of their home in a situation where we have significant increases in taxes on a year by year basis. Finally, it could make moving to Amherst more attractive to people who are of say moderate means because they knew that they could move to Amherst, and they would not be faced with a situation where they would see a large increase in taxes in their property after they move to Amherst.

Mr. Hodgkins noted that he would be happy to answer any questions. There are links on Facebook with more information.

The Moderator recognized Mr. Gauthier to respond to this article.

Mr. Gauthier replied that the board voted against this 0-5. I would be happy to speak with Mr. Hodgkins. There is some confusion in the Voter's Guide. If it's a two percent tax increase or increase every single year, it takes a lot of the planning process. Any strategic planning you have, you either have to put that aside to fit in another two percent or you also have to go through the process of overriding the tax cap at deliberative. The three-fifths majority can be overridden by the group of folks that's here tonight seems like a lot of paperwork for something that's restrictive to what we have going on and that would be against what the town had already voted for. Essentially, we'd be stuck at that two percent increase. This limits how we can strategically plan for the budget, and it does not take into consideration if we have a six hundred thousand dollar increase in Special Needs Services, which is pretty close to what we had this year. All of a sudden, we're making those decisions, and as Ways and Means pointed out and as we know the biggest part of our budget is staffing. When you need to reduce a budget, you're not going to get there by cutting out nickels and dimes you're going to be cutting out dollars. All of a

sudden we're taking out four or five teachers in elementary school and then we're raising class sizes to 25 so a lot of unintended consequences with something like this. That's why the board voted against 0-5.

The Moderator asked the Ways and Means Committee for a report.

Chair of the Ways and Means, Mr. Dwayne Purvis, commented that we did not support this article by a vote of 1-6. The tax cap proposal reflects the public's frustration with excessive school spending; however, it is an artificial constraint and a blunt instrument to what should be more effective management and governance. The reality is that ASD School spending should be reduced significantly if our schools are to ever provide the fiscal parity that comparable communities enjoy. Setting an artificial two percent limit will likely only keep our spending high with school boards bumping up against a two percent tax cap every year rather than looking for ways to lower spending. Another view is that the tax cap will impose some predictable limits on higher taxes each year. The effect might be negligible in spending this year as the proposed budget increase is 3.9% but the school board will likely spend only half of that ask. The other two percent to be transferred to the capital reserve at a no new tax impact next year. The same view holds that a tax cap may also motivate more residents to increase their voluntary funding of school projects.

Mr. Terry Reiber, 15 Martingale Rd, Amherst NH, remarked I would like to respond to Mr. Purvis. I share your concern that if we put a tax cap in place that voters would somehow become complacent and accept a 2% or inflation or whatever is a less tight budget. A tax cap does not imply that we could get together and for instance cut a budget or keep a budget the same. It just puts an upper limit on increases. I think your concern is whether people will just be complacent about it. I don't think we should be complacent about this at all.

Mr. Reiber noted that he spoke to community members in town explaining what the tax cap was. I'm doing it for those people that aren't probably even here in this room because they've got people they have to take care of.

Mr. Bob Julian, 9 Georgetown, Amherst NH, inquired if this applies to the budget or all of the warrant articles.

Mr. Hodgkins replied that the way it's worded it uses the term "tax cap" but then it talks about budgets that then drive taxes.

Mr. Julian responded that my question is that if you're going to put a two percent tax on their budget are they going to then take line items out of their budget and put it into separate warrant articles so they can get the town to pay for the stuff that they need. Suddenly, your budget is flat every year but then you have a separate warrant for transportation or for special education.

Mr. Gauthier remarked that speaking from a board's perspective just using these specific examples you said will be the most cost ineffective way for us to do things. If you had a bunch of one-year contracts, it seems to make no sense to be able to do that.

1980 Mr. Hodgkins mentioned that the RSA that describes it is silent on that fact. There's no

specificity in the RSA other than to say the "budget shall be prepared to keep the tax within a

1982 certain limit".

Mr. Larry Ballard, 11 Pinewood Drive, Amherst NH, commented given the amount of uncertainty that this seems to inject in the process as given by the example cited by the board as well as Ways and Means, what is the process? I'd like to offer an amendment.

The Moderator responded that the language in the article has to go as is and cannot be amended.

There's some question about the actual percentage.

1991 The Moderator requested Ms. Alison Minutelli, Legal Counsel, to speak to this question.

Ms. Minutelli responded that the language in the article up to the point where it says "by more than" is required by Statute that cannot be amended. The statute has a blank and then says insert either a fixed dollar amount or a fixed percentage. There is a percentage, so the percentage can be amended.

Mr. Ballard asked if it could be amended from "shall we adopt the provisions" to "shall the school district establish a study committee to investigate the provisions."

Ms. Minutelli responded no.

Mr. Tim Theberge, 26 Middle St, Amherst NH, remarked that RSA actually is very specific about the budget cap, and it says, "as shown on the budget certified by the government body or the budget committee and posted with the warrant". I could be wrong; I was the school board chair in ConVal, but we follow the same rules. There is only one item on the warrant that is a certified budget. 32.5 The statute is very clear that the adopted 2% applies only to the certified budget. That's different than all of the other stuff that can get added to the warrant. The budget is a very specific document that's signed by the school board. This is a very bad idea. That's the purpose of tonight and the ballot. You don't need this.

Mr. Nathan Greer, 12 Boylston Terrace, Amherst NH, moved to amend the warrant article. Article 19. Shall we adopt the provisions of RSA 32:5-b, and implement a tax cap whereby the governing body (or budget committee) shall not submit a recommended budget that increases the amount to be raised by local taxes, based on the prior fiscal year's actual amount of local taxes raised, by more than 100%? 3/5 Majority vote required.

The Moderator requested it in writing.

2020 Mr. Tim Theberge, 26 Middle Street, Amherst NH, seconded the motion.

Mr. Reiber countered, as I read the State Law it says "warrant articles whose wording is prescribed by law shall not be amended." It does not say a "portion or some of the wording.of the State Law can be amended."

The Moderator remarked that in my position I need to rule on this. I've discussed this at length with Council and discussed it with other Moderators. There is not a lot of case law about this

specific issue. I feel that it is perfectly germane to modify the section that is not prescribed by the

2029 RSA. I know that you'll probably take legal action as a result but that is the best interpretation

that I have at this time.

The Moderator asked for further discussion.

A vote was taken by voters holding up their cards. Amendment passed.

Ms. Caitlin Thompson, 4 Madison Ln., Amherst NH, commented that it's important to note that this tax cap with similar wording is also up for debate at the Souhegan Deliberative Session as well as the Town of Amherst Deliberative Session.

Mr. Reiber responded that I will be contacting the State tomorrow about this clause which I understand there's an interpretation by their counsel. I'm going to go to the State for clarification because as I understand it can't be amended in any way. If that's the case, what would be the next step that I would take to work with you to ensure that it stays as is on the warrant.

The Moderator responded that he does not know. The Moderator asked Council if she had any suggestions.

Ms. Minutelli replied I think you need to take advice of your own counsel in terms of steps that you'd like to take.

Mr. Greer added that he would like to see this article voted down and that was his reason for the amendment.

Mr. Peter De Bruyn Kops, 377 Boston Post Rd, Amherst NH, inquired if it goes on the ballot as originally worded or amended.

The Moderator responded that it would go on the Ballot as amended.

Mr. John Glover, 27 Cricket Hill Drive, Amherst NH, commented that I appreciate you guys thinking about this. You questioned "what do you do?" I say show up to our board meetings, join Ways and Means, Souhegan Advisory Finance Committee, make persuasive cases here at the legislative body and good ideals will rise to the surface and be successful. That's a really good way to keep spending your time, I appreciate the thinking. Although Ways and Means has brought some things to our attention we've got some challenges here tax wise, we've got some space issues. There's ways to do that in our community and all are welcome you don't even have to be a committee, you can just show up and raise your hand and join the conversation. Please do that.

Mr. Reiber replied I have been going to Ways and Means. I'd like to remind everyone that citizen petitions and citizen legislation is legal in this state.

2074	take that away. It would reduce our ability to have an impact on the direct democracy that we
2075	currently get to have in our town and in our small towns across New Hampshire.
2076	
2077	Mr. Bob Julian, 9 Georgetown Dr. Amherst, noted that we still have the wording.
2078	
2079	The Moderator remarked that it was amended.
2080	
2081	The Moderator instructed the Clerk to place Warrant Article #19 on the Ballot as
2082	currently amended and thus, declared.
2083	
2084	Ms. Victoria Parisi, 3 Church Street, Amherst NH, motioned to restrict reconsideration.
2085	Mr. Mark Vincent, 2 Nathaniel Dr., Amherst NH, seconded the motion. A vote was taken
2086	by voters holding up their cards. Motion passed the article is restricted.
2087	
2088	The Moderator noted that they have concluded the business for the evening. I would like to
2089	thank everyone for their attendance and participation.
2090	
2091	The Moderator closed the Deliberative Session at 10:14PM.

Ms. Alison Batey, 12 Joshua Rd, Amherst NH, commented citizen petitions are legal. New

Hampshire is one of the very few places we are still a direct democracy. I feel that this would