

## Why Consolidation of SAU 39?

### Equity: experiences/opportunity

- Pre-school: Amherst, not MV
- Language: MV not ASD
- Curriculum, assessment, instruction
- \*Contacts
- Cost per pupil
- Summer work
- Unions
- Salaries/ per diem
- Benefits
- Professional Development
- Athletics/ activities/ band/ theater/ etc.
- Contracted services
- Kindergarten
- Portable classrooms
- Space
- Materials
- Personnel

### Need to address:

\*Equity, level of service, flexibility of pre-k thru 12

### Advantages:

- Flexibility
- Resource sharing (staff & materials)
- Creativity as to building usage

## Why Not?

### Thoughts:

#### Possible 2 Step Process

1. AMS becomes part of SCSD
2. MV & Pre-K thru 4 become one district

### What would public ask?

- Athletics, training/PD
- About students
- How does this benefit
- Should never have been only about the money
- Sharing staff & resources in potential declining enrollments

### Concerns:

- MVVS Identity/ Voice
- Loss of MVVS plant
- How does apportionment effect MV?
- There is already major consolidation of curriculum/best practices, how will it change?
- Consolidation is not just buildings and experiences
- ASD challenges re: losing the CBA
- SHS challenges re: a union
- MV concerns of losing the MVVS and a voice in elementary
- Hit upper limits of consolidation w/o real consolidation
- Challenge to give up “power” with one board
- Why haven’t we gotten back to public?
  - 80% electorate asked for a study