SAU \#39 Reconfiguration Sub-Committee
Thursday, June 13 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2019$
Meeting Minutes- Not Approved

## Attendees:

Adam Steel- Superintendent, Porter Dodge- Director of Operations SAU \#39, Sarah Lawrence-Sub-Committee Chair/ MVVS Board Member, Stephen O'Keefe- MVVS Board Member, John Glover- ASB Member, Ellen Grudzien- ASB Member, Laura Taylor- SCSB Member, and David Chen- SCSB Member

Meeting Minutes: Danae Marotta
Public: Kathleen Peahl, Esq. Wadleigh, Starr and Peters, Manchester, NH, and Kelly Schmidt, Amherst NH Community Member.
I. Call to Order

## Chair, Ms. Sarah Lawrence, called the meeting to order at 4:00 PM.

She then discussed some action items from a previous meeting, first, that her and Superintendent, Mr. Adam Steel, had their meeting with union reps and second, looking at how many students at AMS would come over and take classes at SHS. She noted she has not heard anything further from Assistant Superintendent, Ms. Christine Landwehrle, and will be going with her previous estimates of 17 to 30 students. Additionally, the other question was how many teachers will they need to be more fluid. She added that she does not have any information on that either.

Ms. Taylor asked which year are they talking about.
Ms. Lawrence replied, it could be $7^{\text {th }}$ or $8^{\text {th }}$ grade going to SHS.
Director of Operations for SAU \#39, Mr. Porter Dodge, added that they also need to look at Innovation Design areas.

Ms. Lawrence added that they met with the union reps today and it was a very positive meeting. Those in attendance were Ms. Mary Epstein, Ms. Sherry Franceour, Ms. Jan Mattie, Mr. Larry Ballard and Superintendent Steel. The Souhegan rep was not at the meeting.

She then discussed that at the meeting Mr. Steel summarized where they are now and that they know that both towns want the elementary kids to stay in their own towns. They did talk about knowing that this is not a unique scenario. They used the example of Hollis Brookline and talked about desired outcomes, equity for students and staff and benefits for students. Mr. Larry Ballard, (represents the AEA), asked some questions with his first statement being that SHS was set up to be unique and different.

Mr. Chen disagreed in that SHS was not set up to be unique and different. He noted that they picked the selected model.

Ms. Lawrence added that it is important to know everyone's view point.
She added that Mr. Ballard also asked about the property holdings and how that would be handled. His other concern was space issues and if they needed to renovate, where would that money come from.

Mr. O'Keefe asked for clarification on who the people in attendance represented.
Ms. Lawrence replied that Mr. Ballard represents the AEA(teachers), Ms. Epstein is the support staff rep for Amherst, Ms. Franceour is a support staff and Ms. Mattie represents the MVVS.

She added that Mr. Ballard asked about the custodial staff.
Mr. Dodge added that there is also a $\$ 2.00$ dollar pay difference for the Souhegan custodial staff.

Ms. Lawrence noted that Director of Human Resources, Ms. Carrie James, has a master chart of the comparisons of benefits.

Mr. Chen asked if she can envision it happening.
Ms. Lawrence replied yes, she can see it happening.
Mr. O'Keefe also replied yes. He further explained that municipalities do this all over the State.
Mr. Glover asked if they can play it out further in a scenario. He then asked what if there was overstaffing at the HS and if they could apply them where the need is, for example $5^{\text {th }}$ grade.

Ms. Lawrence replied from her understanding there would need to be a side agreement.
Ms. Kathleen Peal, Esq. Wadleigh, Starr and Peters, Manchester, NH added that it is one of the issues, they would have a non-bargaining unit member performing bargaining unit work. This is not going to be an easy thing to bargain for.

Mr. Glover added that this is a seamless movement of labor.
Ms. Grudzien added that it can be done but will be more complicated.
Ms. Lawrence asked Ms. Peal if she sees any other way to do it.
Ms. Peal replied, no, at least in the beginning. The only solution to make this work in a positive way that is not controversial is to say that they will agree to recognize a bargaining unit at AMS. It means that they have to form a new union.

The two units (AEA and ASSA for support staff) have been certified by the PELRB and are the exclusive representatives of those employees. You are going to take part of their bargaining unit and move them over and they will be employed by the SCSD. You will continue to have a contract with the, Amherst AEA and the support staff, then you form and hold an election of the middle school staff and then have to vote in a majority called the Souhegan Middle School Education Association or a different name.

Ms. Lawrence asked if the existing CBA would roll into that.
Ms. Peal replied that is something that they would have to agree to with the union as part of this. They are agreeing to recognize and not challenge the formation of a separate bargaining unit just at the Souhegan Middle School and Middle School Support staff.

Discussion ensued.
Ms. Peal reminded the committee that they need to have 10 employees to be certified by the PLERB. There would then be two units at Amherst, two units at Souhegan Middle School, one unit in MV and no units at Souhegan. You can simplify your negotiations by keeping certain things identical across the units.

One of the first things is to look at the existing agreements and see what MOU's need to say so that you can open the doors on the date that you want it to be effective. She then asked about the time frame.

Superintendent Steel replied that they are looking for March 2020 authorization, then start July $1^{\text {st }} 2021$.

Discussion ensued.
Ms. Peal explained that they need to form, when their employment ends in Amherst on June $30^{\text {th }}$ they would no longer be a part of the AEA. What they are talking about is having a new unit formed July 1, and that unit would agree to, without fighting would only include the middle school. Normally, you would have all of your teachers in one bargaining unit.

Mr. Glover asked if it was voted down would that mean it was all over.
Ms. Peal replied, yes, and there is a lot of strategy here.
Mr. Chen noted that normally they do not have public discussion with the public present.
Mr. Glover added that all they are discussing is the different pathways.
Ms. Lawrence commented that it is discovery. The whole process is discovery, and they are public meetings.

Ms. Kelly Schmidt, Amherst NH Community Member, mentioned that she has attended most of the meetings.

Ms. Peal remarked that there may be times where they have a non-public session where they talk about determining what strategies that they want to take.

Mr. O'Keefe asked about the costs adding that he would want to map out the structure for the taxpayers.

Ms. Peal replied that it would make sense to have some negotiation with the unions before the March 2020 vote. She then added that there are a lot of what ifs, but if they negotiated with the unions first, and had an agreement if they went forward with this plan they would have an AMS
unit for teachers and support staff. So they have these side agreements about what they are going to do once the vote happens in March of 2020.

Ms. Schmidt asked how binding are those agreements.
Ms. Peal replied that the PLERB would probably not certify a unit without having an election.
Mr. Steel commented that he is concerned with posting a worst case scenario with the financial costs.

Ms. Peal suggested that they sit down with the unions and let them know what they will present to the voters for the March 2020 vote. If you let them know that you are proposing to seek certifications for two units or possibly one with both support staff and teachers, that would be helpful. The Conval District's unit has both paras and teachers. You get that agreement up front, then you can present that cost to the voters. You will honor the Amherst contract through the expiration date. Once you negotiate a new contract you would have to get voter approval for those costs. She noted that it would be better to have these conversations sooner than later.

Mr. Glover asked about the enforceability of these side agreements.
Ms. Peal replied that she would have to think about that question.
Ms. Taylor asked if they can tuition in $6^{\text {th }}$ grade.
Ms. Lawrence replied that the cost would be astronomical and the taxpayers would probably not be in support. She added that it might be an interim step, and it might not be viable.

Ms. Grudzien added that they are not at that point and they should be careful. She then asked if the tuition agreement goes away.

Ms. Peal replied that it will go by student enrollment and property value.
Discussion ensued.
Ms. Grudzien to say that it will save Amherst money is an unknown and it sounds like MV will really benefit.
Mr. O'Keefe remarked that it is an access to services. This building (the MVVS) is in good shape. For him, it is providing the opportunities for their students to make them better learners.
Ms. Lawrence added they are still hoping for that fluidity and equity for staff and students.
Ms. Grudzien remarked that they are facing space issues with their lower schools.
Ms. Lawrence commented they have a couple of minutes left to their meeting and need to come up with action items or concerns for their future meeting.

Mr. Chen asked about the State Building Aid Fund for Cooperative School Districts and funding. Discussion ensued.

Ms. Lawrence mentioned that she will list that as a concern. She then asked for other concerns/comments.

Ms. Grudzien asked for a list of benefits.
Ms. Lawrence asked if the committee wanted to see a best case/worst case scenario with calculations.

Mr. Chen replied that they need to understand each pathway and the associated costs.
Ms. Lawrence asked if that was something that they will need the new Business Administrator for.

Superintendent Steel replied yes.
Mr. Glover asked about the enforceability of a sidebar agreement.
Ms. Peal responded that she will look into it.
Ms. Lawrence added that she will add that as an action item.
Ms. Taylor asked if verbal agreements were legal in NH.
Ms. Peal replied that it is a question whether they can prove it. They have what is called a Statue of Frauds. She clarified that they would not do this by a verbal agreement, any sidebar agreement would be in writing.

Ms. Lawrence noted that she would look at clarity on how MV would pay in for their students and the difference with the tuition agreement and how might that payment look like on the Amherst side. They are looking at the financial implications.

Mr. O'Keefe noted that they need to start looking at mapping out an outline for the SAU meeting in the fall.

Mr. Steel agreed, September or October.
Ms. Schmidt asked about the pricing for scenarios. She added that they are looking at all of them. Mr. Glover noted that they can run scenarios easily with the new BA.

Mr. Steel mentioned that the first task of the new BA will be the FY 2021 Budget.
Mr. Glover asked for a date for the next meeting.
Discussion ensued.
Ms. Lawrence noted that she will be happy to meet with the BA in July and bring her up to speed. She then asked if the committee would like to meet in August.

Discussion ensued.
The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, Sept 12 ${ }^{\text {th }} 4: 00$ PM at the MVVS (before the MVVS Regular Board Meeting).

The Committee thanked Ms. Peal.
II. Meeting Adjourned

Ms. Lawrence adjourned the meeting at 5:40PM

