Tuesday, March $7^{\text {th }}, 2023$
Meeting Minutes- Approved 04062023

## Attendees:

Administration: Interim Superintendent- Steven Chamberlin, Assistant Superintendent- Christine Landwehrle, SAU \#39 Business Administrator- Amy Facey, Tom Lecklider- MVVS Principal, Charlene Brown- MVVS Math Specialist, and Maggie Holm- MVVS Reading Specialist.

Mont Vernon Village School Board: Chair- Sarah Lawrence, Vice Chair- Jessica Hinckley, Peter Eckhoff, and George Torres.

Meeting Minutes: Danae A. Marotta
Public: Mont Vernon Community Members

## Meeting Summary:

- Reading Specialist, Ms. Maggie Holm and Math Specialist, Ms. Charlene Brown provided an overview of the Math and Reading Programs.
- Assistant Superintendent, Ms. Christine Landwehrle, gave the board an overview of Bridges Math.
- The Board motioned to approve consent agenda items 1. AMS Feb. Principal's Report, 2. Sept. 2022 Treasurer's Report, 3. Oct. 2022 Treasurer's Report, 4. Unanticipated Revenue \$675, 5. Feb. 2023 Facilities Update, 6. Budget Transfer 2023-003, 7. Budget Transfer 2023-004, 8. Kindergarten Math Curriculum, 9. Grade 1 Math Curriculum, 10. Grade 2 Math Curriculum, 11. Grade 3 Math Curriculum, 12. Grade 4 Math Curriculum, 13. Grade 5 Math Curriculum and 14.Feb 8th 2023 Draft Minutes.
- The Board reviewed the SAU \#39 Insights Report
- SAU \#39 Business Administrator, Ms. Amy Facey, presented the board with an update on MVVS Nutrition Services.
- The Board motioned to accept June $8^{\text {th }}$ as the last day of school dependent upon meeting or exceeding the state guidelines for hours. If they go underneath the state guidelines, then days will be added to meet the state guidelines.
I. Call to Order

Chair of the Mont Vernon School Board, Ms. Saran Lawrence, called the meeting to order at 6:00PM.
II. Public Comment I of II

No Public Comment
III. Overview of the Reading and Math Program

Principal, Mr. Tom Lecklider, noted that they are lucky to have both Reading and Math Specialists here for one presentation. Most of our students fall into Tier I and there is a segment of Tier II and then Tier III. You will hear about push in supports and pull out supports. He introduced Ms. Charlene Brown, Math Specialist and Ms. Maggie Holm, Reading Specialists.

Ms. Brown noted that currently there are 41 students in 1-6 who participate in small group instruction twice a week. In K, support is provided in Math Centers two days per week in each classroom. In grade 1 and 2 there are 19 students pulled out for a 40 min weekly enrichment session. Each grade level also has weekly whole- class enrichment.

Ms. Holm commented that currently there are 43 students in K-6 participating in small group instruction 2-3 times per week. In grade 6, classroom support is provided 2 times per in flexible groupings for curriculum based instruction, focused on reading carefully and responding to text in writing.

Ms. Brown discussed Math Support in Action. The typical 40 minute session is in groups of 2-5. Students will do a Number sense game or activity, reinforce prior lesson's content with new application. Practice with game or activity, and formative assessment through independent task.

Ms. Holm added that there are a lot of manipulatives. She will monitor progress and then reading fluency progress monitoring and NWEA testing.

Ms. Brown and Ms. Holm also discussed that they support teachers through data review, include day to day conversations about lesson concepts and conferring on student progress. They also serve as the curriculum coordinator in their respective subject area allowing for better monitoring of classroom and program needs.

Ms. Brown noted that they are hosting a Math Night at the MVVS,
Mr. Eckhoff asked how many students overlap.
Ms. Holm replied that $75 \%$ of her students see Ms. Brown.
Principal Lecklider added that it is important to reach the students in the early grades and provide support. The content gets harder and then becomes more intense. The K-3 years are critical, and the coordination is key.

Ms. Brown remarked that because they are a small school they can pivot more easily.
Mr. Torres asked if they could pinpoint what has helped them achieve high math scores.
Mr. Brown noted that if they were to go back 6 years ago, they were not in a good position. They were afforded support through Professional Development, and they are doing amazing.

Assistant Superintendent, Ms. Christine Landwehrle, added that Ms. Brown was able to provide support for the teachers and teacher knowledge. It has been a long but exciting journey. They have supportive families and great teachers.

The Board thanked Ms. Brown and Ms. Holm.

## IV. Bridges Math Curriculum Presentation

Assistant Superintendent, Ms. Christine Landwehrle, noted this year we are implementing Kindergarten through fifth grade Bridges in Mathematics. It was one of the programs we piloted last year. I wanted to share a little bit about the beliefs and curriculum about the program, you are going to get to see a video one from Clark Wilkins and then one from Mont Vernon.

With Bridges in Mathematics these core beliefs are really important. Throughout the program Bridges believes that learning is collaborative and social endeavor and just the importance of that collaboration which you're going to see embedded in the program. That learning takes place through that collaboration and through that social experience for students. Learning is also that process of constructing meeting, this is a truly a constructivist approach. This is the belief that when students build their own learning and their own meaning that's when they truly learn and learning really requires that perseverance and the willingness to experience disequilibrium. Bridges supports the teacher in doing this in a safe way for students and in a comfortable way, but we are pushing our students to be those deep thinkers and really constructing their own meaning about mathematics to deeply understand it. Teachers are working to encourage students to be responsible for their own learning and you're going to see that in our first grade classroom. Teachers are using deep questioning strategies to help draw out student thinking and to help push student thinking so that math discourse is really evident in the program. The program supports teachers in doing that in a way that students feel safe and comfortable. Bridges students they're solving problems using visual models and manipulatives. One of the important pieces in this program which is different from the other program we piloted last year is that this has set models and set manipulatives.

Ms. Landwehrle added that Bridges in Mathematics is a comprehensive PK-5 curriculum that equips teachers to fully address state standards in a rigorous engaging and accessible manner. Students gain a deep understanding of concepts, proficiency with key skills and the ability to solve complex problems. The curriculum is composed of three distinct but integrated components: Problems and investigations, Work Places and Number Corner.

With problems and investigations, it is a whole group activity and there's periods where you have independent and partner work. It starts with a teacher posing a really challenging problem to students. Students have some time to think independently sometimes to work then they pair they talk they reconvene and then they share out solutions.

The next component is Work Places this is a really important component, and you may have heard of Centers. This is the time where students are working in small groups it's more of like a math Center time this happens everyday K-2. It's often shared first as a whole class with students so that they've kind of experienced and understand what to do and then they're able to go off. They work with a partner, and they play the game, and this is the opportunity for the teachers to circulate, to check in with students but also to pull small groups if they have to do a little bit of support in the classroom. That flexible time and this program supports them so it's not just the teacher teaching the whole time it's really the opposite.

Ms. Landwehrle played a video showing an example of students using Work Places in a first grade MVVS classroom.

Ms. Landwehrle discussed Number Corner. Bridges is both our core math program as well as a supplemental calendar math program and they are they do have to be implemented together because about $30 \%$ of the grade level standards are actually taught only through number corner. Students are getting 60 Minutes of math and 20 minutes of Number Corner so they have a significant amount of math every single day, but students don't even think about Number Corner as being part of math it does not have to be at the same time A lot of times teachers might do a morning meeting and then go right into number corner and math happens later. The regular part of their math day might happen later and again it feels like a lot of time for math, but you can see that every day in K1 and 2 they're doing workplaces. Again, a teacher can separate workplaces and have that at a separate time during the day the 60 minutes doesn't necessarily have to be continuous, so our younger learners have a little bit more flexibility with breaking that up. Number corner is really just Calendar Math, but students are learning about patterns, fractions, and data. It is a really interesting way for them to track things and to use mathematics in a true realistic kind of way.

Ms. Brown noted that she is thinking that this is fourth grade. She likes Number Corner because it allows the students to use math more readily, they are able to retain more.

Ms. Landwehrle added that Bridges intentionally revisits concepts throughout the year.
She displayed a video of CW students in second grade using Number Corner.
She reviewed the Math Resource Timeline:

- Jan-Feb 2020 Reviewed programs for alignment and fit.
- March 2021 Math Review Committee formed
- March- May 2021 Committee met, reviewed programs, moved to a pilot
- June 2021 Developed a pilot plan and professional development supports
- 2021-2022 School year Piloted Bridges in Mathematics and Illustrative Math, Provided PD and Implementation support, collected feedback.
- May- June 2022 Reviewed pilot feedback, determined program to implement.

Ms. Landwehrle concluded her presentation. With implementation and support, they purchased all necessary materials, provided 2 full days of summer PD with Bridges trainers, provided 1 day for grade level planning and implementation, developed scope and sequence for year 1 implementation, provided time during Late Starts this year for grade level collaboration and implementation support and October In-Service Day was dedicated to math sessions and grade level work time.

Ms. Lawrence asked for questions.
Mr. Torres commented that the teachers only had two days of professional development it speaks to the teachers and is impressive.

Ms. Landwehrle replied that they had training and support, and implementation went smoothly.

Mr. Eckhoff suggested that they promote their Math Night at the Town Meeting. He asked when they engage the students with their learning to real life. There has been a disconnect and he would encourage the teachers to do that.

Ms. Brown remarked that is an area in which they can grow. They were not there in the past, but they are there now. She thinks it is a wonderful idea.

Ms. Landwehrle added that they need a similar Math Week.
Ms. Brown noted that math anxiety is real, and everyone is a mathematician.
Principal Lecklider added that it is part of the growth mindset.
Mr. Chamberlin commented that it is great work.

## V. Consent Agenda

Ms. Lawrence noted that there are 14 items on the consent agenda. 1. AMS Feb. Principal's Report, 2. Sept. 2022 Treasurer's Report, 3. Oct. 2022 Treasurer's Report, 4. Unanticipated Revenue $\$ 675$, 5. Feb. 2023 Facilities Update, 6. Budget Transfer 2023-003, 7. Budget Transfer 2023-004, 8. Kindergarten Math Curriculum, 9. Grade 1 Math Curriculum, 10. Grade 2 Math Curriculum, 11. Grade 3 Math Curriculum, 12. Grade 4 Math Curriculum, 13.Grade 5 Math Curriculum and 14.Feb 8th 2023 Draft Minutes.

Ms. Hinckley motioned to approve the items in the Consent Agenda. 1. AMS Feb. Principal's Report, 2. Sept. 2022 Treasurer's Report, 3. Oct. 2022 Treasurer's Report, 4. Unanticipated Revenue \$675, 5. Feb. 2023 Facilities Update, 6. Budget Transfer 2023-003, 7. Budget Transfer 2023-004, 8. Kindergarten Math Curriculum, 9. Grade 1 Math Curriculum, 10. Grade 2 Math Curriculum, 11. Grade 3 Math Curriculum, 12. Grade 4 Math Curriculum, 13.Grade 5 Math Curriculum and 14.Feb 8th 2023 Draft Minutes. Mr. Eckhoff seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, motion passed.

## VI. NWEA Insights Report

Ms. Landwehrle added that this is all of SAU 39 and you can see where the schools are, and they are listed out. Mont Vernon is all green and that is positive. They do break down by gender and there are not huge discrepancies. This is a helpful report to have.

Mr. Chamberlin remarked that Ms. Landwehrle is trying to develop a case study approach and he was not able to do that this year. They are looking through different lenses not just NWEA data.

Ms. Lawrence asked for questions.
There were no questions or comments.

## VII. Food Service Projections

SAU \#39 Business Administrator, Ms. Amy Facey, noted that she meets with SAU \#39 Food Service Director, Ms. Krystal Gendreau weekly. Overall positive changes have been seen this school year throughout the quality of food, service, and student interaction with the addition of
an Interim Manager and employee. The Manager has included the students in helping create menu options they enjoy; and they offer a Lucky Tray option twice a month to help increase participation.

The new equipment has been delivered and installed successfully. The addition of the new dishwasher, oven and stovetop has helped increase the quality of food being able to be offered because of its proper working condition. There is no foreseen need for new equipment in the immediate future.

The bad news is that MVVS is projecting a loss of $\$ 17,855$. The loss is due to increased cost in goods and supplies as well as staffing challenges. Food Service has seen an increase in cost of goods ranging from $20 \%-48 \%$. The good news is that Nutrition Services has a fund balance of $\$ 31,000$. These funds will be used to cover the financial loss at the end of the school year. There is no projected need for a general fund transfer. Nutrition Services is cutting back on staffing hours as well as purchasing.

Mr. Chamberlin added that it is hard to with a small school. Ms. Facey is doing great overseeing and Ms. Gendreau is as well.

Mr. Torres added that margins are thin to begin with. He understands the challenges.
Ms. Facey noted that she has cut down on paper goods.
Mr. Chamberlin noted that this is the place where we can look at those initiatives such as using metal utensils.

Mr. Torres added that wouldn't there be a tradeoff with washing.
Mr. Chamberlin responded that they are looking at that.
Ms. Facey noted that with Ms. Gendreau on board and they are able to course correct.
Mr. Eckhoff inquired about the cost of $\$ 29,641$.
Ms. Facey clarified that those are supplies.
Mr. Eckhoff noted that the state/government should pay for the food. He asked for the food cost amount.

Ms. Facey responded that they will get back to him.
Mr. Torres asked how many students are receiving Free and Reduced Meals.
Ms. Landwehrle replied that $4.27 \%$ for Free/Reduced meals and that is the state data on the website.

Ms. Facey commented that this is something that she wants to provide to the board quarterly.
Mr. Torres asked how often do we run a deficit in the program? It sounds like it is frequently. This is something that they should plan for as well.

Principal Lecklider remarked that lunch is $\$ 3.00$, and breakfast is $\$ 1.75$.
The Board thanked Ms. Facey.
VIII. Instructional Time and School Calendar Update

Mr. Chamberlin commented this journey for me started when I when I arrived in SAU 39 and there was all this discussion about snow days. There's lots of talk about when SAU 39 moved to an hours-based calendar and at the elementary level is 945 hours. Last year, with Mr. Steel when we moved to the start time the Mont Vernon Village School made up the snow days. The Souhegan Cooperative School District made up the snow days. Amherst did not make up the snow day because they had enough hours at 945. This year the Mont Vernon Education Association reached out and through conversation, suggested to add 10 minutes of instructional time in the morning which reduces the unstructured time, and it is a more of a smooth transition to the academic day. Adding that 10 minutes enables the Mont Vernon Village School to meet the minimum requirements of hours and actually exceeds it. With the additional 10 minutes the board now has the opportunity to determine what the end date of school is. Last year, Mr. Steel would continually update the community after a snow day of the projected last day of school. They had three snow days and one flood day.

Ms. Lawrence asked for questions.
Mr. Chamberlin added that he would be happy to provide more information.
Ms. Landwehrle noted that the projected last day of school is June $8^{\text {th }}$, a Thursday and then potential 5 snow day make up.

Ms. Lawrence asked about other districts.
Mr. Chamberlin replied that Souhegan has to make up their snow days, they do not have a choice.

Mr. Eckhoff inquired is it because they do not have the hours.
Mr. Chamberlin replied yes, they have 990 hour requirement.
Ms. Hinckley added that the expectation in the community is that June $8^{\text {th }}$ is the last day.
Mr. Chamberlin replied possibly not.
Ms. Landwehrle explained that there are blue days that are marked as possible make up snow days.

Ms. Lawrence noted that she is comfortable with staying with the June $8^{\text {th }}$.
Mr. Chamberlin added that they need to look at instructional time and it is a larger conversation.
The board thanked Mr. Chamberlin.
Ms. Lawrence suggested they discuss this during a retreat.

Mr. Torres asked if they transitioned back to days it would have to involve the MVEA.
Mr. Eckhoff motioned to accept June $8^{\text {th }}$ as the last day of school dependent upon meeting or exceeding the state guidelines for hours. If they go underneath the state guidelines then days will be added to meet the state guidelines. Ms. Hinckley seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, motion passed.
IX. FY 23-24 Staffing

Mr. Chamberlin explained that tonight we will be presenting a nomination slate of our credentialed staff for the 2023-2024 school year. Tenure does not exist in the RSA; it is Due Process. We have a great assessment of all of the teachers that are going into nomination. He is confident and comfortable with the nominations tonight. It was a thorough and thoughtful process.

The board thanked Mr. Chamberlin.
X. Public Comment II of II

No Public Comment
XI. Non-Public Session

Mr. Torres motioned to enter into Non-Public Session RSA 91: A 3 II (b and c) at 7:53PM.
Mr. Eckhoff seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, motion passed.
Roll call: Torres- Yes, Hinckley- Yes, Lawrence- Yes, and Eckhoff- Yes.

