Joint Facilities Advisory Committee Meeting 1 Thursday, October 7th, 2021 2 Meeting Minutes- Not Approved 3 4 Attendees: 5 Administrative Team: Assistant Superintendent- Christine Landwehrle, SAU #39 Business Administrator- Amy Facey and Roger Preston- Director of Facilities. 6 7 Committee Members: Shannon Gascoyne, JFAC Chair, Amherst NH, Pim Grondstra- SCSB Member, Tom Gauthier- ASB Member, Stephanie Grund- SCSB Member, Jeanne Ludt, 8 Amherst NH, John Bowkett, Amherst NH, Brian Coogan, Amherst NH, John D'Angelo-9 10 Amherst Board of Selectmen Representative. Meeting Minutes: Danae A. Marotta 11 Public: Ingrid Nichols- Banwell Architects, Brad Prescott- Banwell Architects, Matt Wheaton-12 DEW Construction, VP Pre-Construction, Kristen Wells- DEW Construction and Dan Riley-13 DEW Construction. 14 I. Call to Order 15 Ms. Shannon Gascoyne, Chair of the Joint Advisory Committee, called the meeting to 16 order at 6:00 PM. 17 II. Approval of Minutes 18 Mr. John Bowkett motioned to approve the Minutes of August 12th, 2021. Ms. Victoria 19 Parisi seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, motion passed. 20 Discussion III. 21 Ms. Gascoyne noted that the next agenda item is to review the recommendation from the SAU's 22 Cost Committee project team. As you recall we were scheduled to meet last week however the 23 SAU and subcommittees felt they needed additional time to review the cost estimates internally 24 25 with DEW and Banwell more closely before presenting to this group. Thank you for your patience with the reschedule for tonight. 26 I just want to take a moment to thank SAU #39 Facilities Director, Mr. Roger Preston. He brings 27 a lot to the table with extensive experience in school construction projects and I feel fortunate 28 that we have him as a member of our community. As leader in the SAU, he spent a significant 29 amount of time in conversation with the committees, the administration, Banwell Architects and 30 DEW Construction to ensure that it represents an accurate picture of where they are today. 31 The estimates that they will discuss tonight are conservative and well thought out. What you see 32 for the elementary reflects cost estimates for the concept design that we recommended last month 33 to the Amherst School Board. That is the two-story concept located on the existing Wilkins site 34 which keeps the existing multipurpose room. At AMS, we will look at cost estimates and in that 35

- include a full building renovation including HVAC and the potential for a secure entry addition
- end a new parking site plan. Mr. Preston will walk us through the estimates and renovation.
- 38 She thanked the other attendees, Ms. Ingrid Nichols, and Mr. Brad Prescott from Banwell
- 39 Architects, and Mr. Matt Wheaton, Ms. Kristen Wells and Mr. Dan Riley from DEW
- 40 Construction.
- 41 Ms. Gascoyne asked if there were any questions.
- 42 There were no questions.
- Middle School Renovation Discussion
- Mr. Preston thanked everyone for the many hours and hard work that they have put into these
- estimates they are proposing today, for middle school, we are planning an interior renovation,
- 46 full replacement of the mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems. We're also replacing the
- 47 life safety systems, the flooring, reconfiguration of our kitchen with new kitchen equipment and
- 48 we're looking at replacing the roof. All of that and the reconfiguration of the building, removal of
- 49 the 5th grade, and reconfiguring to meet the district education needs is the base cost of what we
- are proposing today.
- In that base cost are co-compliant HVAC systems, these would meet the standards that are out
- 52 there. Our proposal that we brought forward in middle school currently has 53-unit ventilators.
- The base bid is actually replacement of the existing unit ventilators with code efficient new
- standard systems and also replacement of all the rooftop units and mechanicals throughout the
- building as well. They also provided an HVAC upgrade alternate that would provide air
- 56 conditioning throughout the entire building with greater efficiencies for HVAC systems. They
- also would include UV lights, higher MERV rated filters for better air quality throughout the
- building. For this project, for the price proposed, now would be the time to do these HVAC
- 59 upgrades. These upgrades are part of the building's infrastructure as far as there would be
- ductwork added to the ceiling. Doing that now with the base project makes sense because all of
- that is being demolished and renovated to provide new systems. That is a caveat to that HVAC
- 62 upgrade alternate.
- They also have an alternate on here for a secure entrance and small administration addition. That
- small administration addition allows more room for special services throughout the building, and
- it also provides a secure entrance. The secure entrance would let people into an airlock and have
- them go through the main lobby before they enter into the building.
- 67 The other alternate is parking and site work. They do know about a drop off issue that they are
- refining. They also have an old well system that is covered in the back, and it is actually the old
- 69 water system for the middle school. They have moved over to Pennichuck water supply but that
- old well system was left in the back. It is covered and safe but during site work they are looking
- 71 to remove that as well. The other alternate they provided was solar on the roof.
- Ms. Gascyone asked if there are any questions for Mr. Preston.
- 73 Mr. Bowkett asked if the parking could be delayed a year if it has to be.

- 74 Mr. Preston replied yes, it does not have to be part of the project. They can always look at the
- 75 CNA, (Capital Needs Assessment) and operating budget to pick up portions of that as well.
- When they have 5th grade leaving, they can evaluate what does happen when they have three
- 77 grades.
- 78 Ms. Gascoyne asked if moving that would be removing \$1.8m.
- 79 Mr. Preston replied, yes. That \$1.8m includes all hard costs, construction management costs,
- 80 contingencies, and soft costs.
- Mr. Gauthier inquired what is a greater priority the site work or the secure entrance. He asked
- what is easier to stage during construction.
- 83 Mr. Preston replied that he cannot speak for administration, but the secure entrance would be his
- pick between the two.
- 85 Mr. Gauthier asked about planning for the addition in the future.
- Mr. Preston responded that he would not be sure how to plan for the addition it would be a
- 87 challenge.
- Ms. Parisi commented that she would be concerned with doing a full renovation and not having a
- secure front entrance. She inquired if there is anything else that would give us a secure front
- 90 entrance that would not necessarily be \$1.8m.
- Mr. Preston replied that the challenge is where the existing administration is and where the
- 92 existing lobby is. They can always harden the glass.
- 93 Ms. Parisi commented that she feels strongly about the security in the project.
- Mr. Coogan inquired about the cost if they did not do the addition and reconfigured the
- 95 administrative offices.
- Mr. Wheaton replied that to renovate and making it a secure entrance he would have to see it.
- 97 Mr. Coogan replied that it sounds like that would not solve the problem.
- 98 Mr. Prescott commented with doing an entrance there are other functions of the building that
- 99 have to be satisfied.
- Ms. Grund commented that if you are spending this amount of money, it is better to do the secure
- front entrance now. If you go back and ask the taxpayers for more money, they will not be
- 102 happy.
- Mr. Coogan added that the cost will be more expensive as well.
- Ms. Gascoyne commented that they should discuss the parking at AMS as well.
- Mr. Coogan mentioned that they should do it now if they are going to make a multi-million
- dollar upgrade to the facility. It is only going to get more expensive in the future, and interest
- rates will go up.

- 108 Ms. Gascoyne inquired about the parking lot in the CNA.
- Mr. Preston added that he is looking at the CNA and there is nothing for parking and for the
- next ten years. The only thing that I can find is \$11k for outdoor courts in FY' 30.
- Mr. Gauthier commented that there is money to find in the CNA.
- Mr. Preston commented that he is looking at FY 24 for the middle school (\$1.2m) and every one
- of these items will be picked up in the project.
- 114 Ms. Ludt echoed Mr. Coogan. Her vote would be to make it a priority.
- Ms. Grund asked for clarification on what the \$1.8m includes.
- Ms. Ingrid Nichols, of Banwell Architects remarked that the civil engineer, Tighe and Bond, is
- studying this and the \$1.8 is not the final number. It is a conservative number; they have not had
- enough time to review. Once they do review, they will refine the number.
- Mr. Prescott replied really it is about addressing the drop off/ pick up safety issues. The design
- goals are to solve both problems and get the location reconfigured properly.
- Ms. Parisi commented that this year they are using 3 lanes and it is definitely more of a free for
- 122 all.
- Mr. Prescott commented that since covid the students are outside more and using that space
- across from the main entrance.
- Ms. Gascoyne mentioned that she cannot see doing work to the middle school and not solving
- that problem.
- 127 Mr. Bowkett suggested a vote.
- Ms. Gascoyne replied that if there were further questions.
- Ms. Parisi asked if there was going to be discussion on the HVAC.
- Mr. Preston noted that in the base cost they are including the HVAC upgrades.
- Mr. Gauthier questioned if the big difference between the base package and the upgraded
- package was the air conditioning.
- Mr. Preston replied that one main factor is the air conditioning, UV lighting, upgraded filtration
- with more (25%) energy efficient units. Air conditioning is not a need, it is certainly an upgrade.
- 135 With those styles of units, they could probably talk to DEW and get some sort of
- dehumidification and UV lighting with upgraded filters. There will be operable windows.
- 137 Ms. Gascoyne asked if the generator was included.
- Mr. Preston replied that the HVAC upgrade also included an upgraded generator as well. The
- base project includes a 60% generator load. The HVAC upgrade includes a 100% generator load.

- Middle school is just going to be life safety systems, minimum so that the building can function,
- egress is the main thing.
- Ms. Gascoyne noted that the recommendation from the cost committee is to include the upgrade.
- Mr. Wheaton mentioned that there was a way that they can back off the full air conditioning so
- that they could put a coli and temper the air and the dehumidification is still there.
- Mr. Preston commented that the building could be broken down into zones
- Ms. Grund asked about the UV lighting in the system, how they work, do they work and are they
- worth the cost.
- Mr. Preston replied that UVC lighting is typically set inside by the coils. When the droplets hit
- the coils the UV light projects and cleans the air. There are many options and many types of
- ways to have UV lighting.
- 151 Ms. Wheaton added that the enhanced HVAC upgrade will change your air out more frequently.
- Mr. Prescott noted that UV lighting is a layer in a multi layered system, there is not one magic
- bullet that will solve it. It is a significant advantage.
- Ms. Parisi inquired if it was possible to do some and not all HVAC upgrades.
- Mr. Coogan asked about the 25% energy savings and the ROI on the base system vs. the
- upgraded system.
- Mr. Wheaton added that they can get that based on the size and performance of the systems.
- 158 When you bring on new code compliant systems it may move the needle up or down with
- energy. They will have to compare the two new systems to show the difference.
- Mr. Prescott mentioned that if you exceed the code by 22% or more you hit that minimum
- threshold to get the rebates. If you are below the 22% you don't get the full benefit or any
- benefit. The greater the efficiencies the higher the rebate. The base design is bringing it up to
- 163 code.
- Mr. Coogan inquired if the systems are electric based.
- Mr. Preston added that they are on natural gas for middle school.
- Ms. Gascoyne asked the committee if they are comfortable with the base for \$26.2 without the
- 167 HVAC upgrade, solar, addition and site work.
- Ms. Grund commented that Epping School District upgraded their boiler system and received
- 169 close to \$38k.
- 170 Mr. Preston noted that it is \$0.30 cents per square foot.
- Ms. Gascoyne asked the committee if there were any objections to the AMS base and HVAC
- 172 upgrade.

- 173 The committee had no objections.
- Ms. Gascoyne asked if there were any objections to the AMS base, HVAC upgrade and addition.
- Ms. Parisi remarked that she is hesitant with the high number. She supports doing it with the
- understanding that it needs to come down.
- Mr. Coogan added that this is the high number mark, and they are still in an early phase of the
- 178 project.
- Ms. Gascoyne commented they support the base project, HVAC upgrade and the addition. She
- asked if there were any objections to the site plan.
- 181 There were no objections.
- Ms. Gascoyne commented that solar or can be a community decision. They have not discussed it
- 183 much.
- 184 Ms. Ludt asked why should it not be included.
- Mr. Coogan replied that the number is high and with solar you can put it in later. He suggested
- that they give the community opportunity to choose. They do not need it and not everyone has
- the same viewpoints. If there is a secondary warrant.
- 188 Ms. Ludt added that she supports that idea.
- 189 Mr. Coogan noted that they have to approve the building first.
- 190 Ms. Gascoyne mentioned that it would be nice to have and there are a lot of benefits.
- 191 Ms. Grund asked what is this producing energy wise and the ROI.
- Mr. Preston noted that DEW was able to do the sections that the KW was high, and he has data
- 193 now on the square footage to electricity of Wilkins.
- Ms. Grund noted that they did not do the full background and if they did not right now, they
- 195 could not support it.
- Ms. Gascoyne noted that the committee agrees with the AMS base with the HVAC upgrade the
- addition and the site plan.
- CW Facility
- 199 Ms. Gascoyne went to the Clark Wilkins renovation.
- 200 Mr. Preston mentioned that this is renovation/ addition. Renovation of the MPR room which they
- plan on keeping the kitchen area as well as the stage. The rest of the structure would be new
- building addition. On the same lines of the middle school, there would be new mechanical,
- 203 electrical and plumbing throughout the entire building. There would be a new roofing system as
- well. Our base cost for Clark Wilkins includes an HVAC upgrade. The difference between the
- upgrade is that CW they are building it with rooftop units. That is one main difference. The

- 206 HVAC upgrades are the same types of upgrades as they were discussing with the middle school.
- Same type of idea they were talking about with solar, they are planning on the cap and the
- 208 gymnasium. They do have the Jones Road in the conceptual site plans that may or may not be
- 209 needed. It is in the base bid because they are still evaluating at this time.
- 210 Ms. Gascoyne added that page 6 of the memo shows a chart of where they were last year and
- 211 where they are today. It is a significant reduction in terms of the dollar amount. They were
- looking at a \$66m elementary school in 2020 that did not include a lot of these details. They are
- 213 now looking at \$51m for the base and \$55m for the upgrade. She just wanted to note that for
- anyone that was not familiar.
- 215 Ms. Ludt asked about SAU opinion.
- Mr. Preston responded that he will go through each of those. The initial estimate was submitted
- off of the conceptual designs. The SAU opinion of cost was them working to refining those
- 218 numbers that were off the initial estimate. They did take off of DEW's plate to their
- responsibility. The SAU opinion is a collaborative effort off of all parties.
- 220 Mr. Gauthier asked if that was still the maximum cost.
- Mr. Preston replied that they are all working together to lower the cost throughout the entire
- 222 process.
- 223 Ms. Parisi inquired about the upgraded HVAC and the generator at AMS.
- Mr. Preston replied that they matched both projects the same.
- 225 Ms. Parisi asked about a situation where they lost power in town.
- 226 Mr. Preston replied that they would be fully covered.
- 227 Ms. Gascoyne inquired if this group supports the C/W base project.
- The committee agreed.
- Ms. Gascoyne asked if there were any objections to the HVAC upgrade. She inquired if they
- wanted to include the solar as a community item.
- The committee agreed.
- Ms. Gascoyne noted that the C/W base with HVAC \$79m with the \$82.6 as the high water mark
- to suggest to the Amherst School Board.
- 234 She asked if anyone wanted to make a motion.
- 235 Mr. Grondstra motioned to recommend the amount of \$82.6m for the Clark/ Wilkins and
- 236 AMS renovation. Mr. Gauthier seconded the motion.
- 237 Mr. D'Angelo suggested to go with the amount of \$83m.

- 238 Mr. Grondstra amended his motion to recommend the amount of \$83m to the Amherst
- 239 School Board for both renovation projects. Mr. Gauthier seconded the motion.
- 240 The vote was unanimous, motion carries.
- 241 Mr. Bowkett asked if they were going to make two additional bonds for the solar.
- 242 Ms. Parisi motioned to include solar at both schools as a warrant article in the amount of
- \$3.4m contingent on the approval of the other bond passing. Mr. Gauthier seconded the
- 244 motion.
- Ms. Grund added that you have two years to spend the funds as it would be a special warrant
- 246 article.
- Mr. D'Angelo commented that you might want to package a number. It is possible in time that
- the federal government will come up with special incentives.
- 249 Ms. Gascoyne added that they need more information about solar and make it a community
- 250 effort.
- 251 Ms. Grund mentioned that she will have more information on Souhegan 2.0.
- 252 Ms. Gascoyne added that the first public forum is Tuesday, October 12th 6:30PM at the
- 253 Clark Wilkins-MPR.
- 254 IV. Meeting Adjourned
- 255 Ms. Gascoyne adjourned the meeting at 6:57PM.