1	SAU #39 Board
2	Monday, September 20th, 2021
3	Meeting Minutes- Approved 11 19 2021
4	Attendees:
5 6	Administrative Team: Adam Steel- Superintendent, Christine Landwehrle- Assistant Superintendent, Steven Chamberlain- Assistant Superintendent.
7 8	Amherst School Board: Chair- Tom Gauthier, Vice Chair- Elizabeth Kuzsma, Secretary-Victoria Parisi, Terri Behm, and Josh Conklin.
9 10	Mont Vernon Village School Board: Chair- Sarah Lawrence, Vice Chair- Pete Eckhoff, and Stephen O'Keefe.
11 12	Souhegan Cooperative School Board: Chair- John Glover, Vice Chair- Stephanie Grund, Secretary- Laura Taylor, Steve Coughlan, Christie Peters, and George Torres (Remote)
13	Board Minutes: Danae A. Marotta
14	Public: Tom Bell, Amherst NH, and Catie Dodge, Amherst NH
15	I. Call to Order
16	Chair of the SAU #39 Board, Mr. Stephen O'Keefe, called the meeting to order at 6:00PM.
17	II. Public Comment I of II
18 19	Mr. Tom Bell, Amherst NH, commented on his concerns with a student tracking software on the SHS computers. He is concerned that it is not to parents or students' knowledge.
20 21 22 23	Ms. Catie Dodge, Amherst NH, noted her concern that there are students in SHS that did not have lesson plans, on the first day. This has been going on for years and this is the first time she has said anything. It is disheartening for students to sit there for 80 minutes with nothing to do. This district is very administrative heavy they are getting less and less teachers.
24	Mr. O'Keefe asked for further public comment.
25	There were no additional public comments and the board thanked Mr. Bell and Ms. Dodge.
26	III. Annual Report Presentation
27 28 29 30	Assistant Superintendent, Ms. Christine Landwehrle, discussed that she has worked with administration and building principals looking at multiple different data points at the three school districts. The common theme was the impact of Covid 19. They see this in the achievement results and scores. This is a high-level overview of many different items. They were not able to provide enrollment at the time and will be adding an addendum at a later date.

Mr. O'Keefe thanked the administration for preparing the report. He asked for board questions.

32

- 33 Ms. Kuzsma asked if this was a typical amount for parents opting out of NHSAS and if they
- were penalized in an.
- 35 Ms. Landwehrle replied that there was not much of a remote option for NHSAS. Many of the
- 36 remote families had to opt out. They felt that it was best the remote had to opt out. This year, she
- 37 believes that word got around that they were not required to meet a certain threshold and it
- encouraged others to ask to be opted out. In a typical year, the State requires a 95% participation
- rate. It does not mean that every district needs to meet that participation rate, but it causes a
- 40 problem for them if they do not meet that rate. This year, they plan on explaining the importance
- of the meeting that threshold and encourage student participation. A parent is legally able to opt
- 42 their student out.
- 43 Mr. Glover inquired about using a typical year's data.
- 44 Ms. Landwehrle noted that the data is useful on an individual level.
- 45 Mr. Gauthier added that their big push for the spring is a new school building and reducing class
- sizes. In person was lower and these test results are not where they want them to be. He asked
- 47 how can they tell how the in-person students did in smaller class sizes.
- 48 Ms. Landwehrle replied that they have information in PowerSchool for every single segment.
- With NWEA, they did code the students as remote and can look at assessment data.
- 50 Mr. Gauthier added that it can quantify learning loss and it would be helpful to have those
- 51 numbers.
- Ms. Grund commented that they have done things to improve their scores and yet are not seeing
- a step in the right direction. She would like to make sure they are digging deep enough to do the
- 54 right thing.
- Ms. Landwehrle responded that they have been doing well at the high school level. They have
- had an upward trend in Math over the past few years and want to look at three years of data.
- 57 They have had less instructional time last year than previous years and that had an impact. It is
- not a simple answer, and there are so many things.
- Ms. Grund commented that this year SHS went to an 8-period schedule. Plus, they need to
- 60 consider that they have one of the shortest amounts of instructional time in the state.
- 61 Mr. Chamberlin noted that they value instructional time.
- 62 Ms. Taylor asked about the OECD PISA questions.
- 63 Ms. Landwehrle explained that families were able to review those questions upon registration
- and they were not the district's questions. They look at the socioeconomic status of your student
- population. It is an opt in to take the assessment during registration.
- 66 Ms. Taylor inquired about the number of students.

- 67 Ms. Landwehrle noted that not all parents have filled out the registration. 80 students are pulled
- randomly from 15-year-old students. They will be sending information when that test date is.
- Any family that wants to review the questions can as they are on the SAU website.
- Ms. Parisi commented that she is having a hard time looking at the Amherst vs the Mont Vernon
- 71 test scores. The Mont Vernon test scores have been more of a success story, and it is time to
- acknowledge that. Too often they get these graphs and excuse their problems. She is alarmed by
- the graph on page 13. She asked if this the national average for last year.
- Ms. Landwehrle replied that these are based on the NWEA norms, from their internationally
- normed test population for achievement and growth. These are norms from early 2019, for a
- 76 typical school year from fall to fall.
- 77 She added that it will be interesting to see this fall, she would anticipate results this fall better
- 78 than last fall.
- 79 Ms. Parisi asked if there is more of a focus on individual growth.
- Ms. Landwehrle replied that page 12 is looking at the academic achievements, they are fairly
- high, not as high as they typically see. The alarming picture is the growth.
- Ms. Parisi asked if they are focusing on academic growth.
- Ms. Landwehrle replied, yes, that their goal is at least a year's worth of growth. They are setting
- 84 up meetings with building leadership right after NWEA to go over the results. It is alarming and
- concerning. They have to find that right balance with supports so that they are making progress.
- Ms. O'Keefe noted that there were some questions that he received.
- 87 Ms. Landwehrle replied they do not know when they will receive that State comparative data. It
- is hard to predict as it is not always a set time. There was a question about that remote option for
- NHSAS was not available. All of their districts were affected by the opt-out. Souhegan had 50%
- 90 opt out in NHSAS Science.
- 91 With the difference in Mont Vernon and Amherst, they already had smaller class sizes. Twelve is
- 92 really small class size, and it is great to provide that one-on-one support. Second, they did a deep
- 93 focus on Math. The Board focused their Board Goals on Math. To get the kids, school wide,
- 94 excited, and focused on Math.
- Ms. Landwehrle noted that there is a question on page 25 about the chart and who is included in
- 96 the numbers.
- 97 Mr. Chamberlin replied that the most common institutions those are in the last few years.
- 98 Ms. Landwehrle noted that they can check with Student Services Director, Ms. Meg Beauchamp
- 99 and get back to the board. National Junior Honor Society is not necessarily a club, but they can
- include that going forward. Many of the sports that ran last year were sports that could be
- outside. With a lot of the clubs, there was an emphasis on the time it took to get the building
- thoroughly sanitized.

- 103 Mr. Conklin inquired about growth and if it was normed.
- Ms. Landwehrle replied yes, it is normed based on your starting point. You are not harmed if you
- start high. It truly is what is typical with that grade level and how much do they typically grow.
- Ms. Grund questioned if they could have the breakdown by grade level for NHSAS. With the
- NHSAS Science for SHS, 45% of the kids that took the test did not meet grade level.
- Ms. Landwehrle replied that they are finding that right balance and there will be a plan to review
- the results.
- Mr. Glover added that it is a really good start. Aimsweb and OECD PISA are not discussed. He
- suggested that they offer resources to students to supplement their own learning.
- Ms. Landwehrle noted that these are all great comments.
- 113 Ms. Taylor inquired about the AP results. She noted that last year she requested the results to be
- 114 presented differently.
- 115 Ms. Parisi suggested that they include the schools that they are comparing themselves to.
- Mr. O'Keefe commented that in MV they tried to have afterschool activities and have paid
- stipends for that.
- 118 Ms. Taylor added that she would like to see test prep for all of the students.
- Ms. Parisi remarked that she would like to see something regarding social/emotional learning
- included in this document.
- The Board thanked Ms. Landwehrle and Mr. Chamberlin.
- 122 IV. First Reading Policies
- Mr. O'Keefe noted that tonight is a first reading. They will motion to pass the policies that they
- have consensus. Those policies will go down to the subsequent boards. The policies that they do
- not have consensus they will review individually.
- He thanked the Policy Committee for their continued work
- 127 **1.** BBBH (SAU)
- 128 Mr. Coughlan motioned to pass BBBH. Ms. Kuzsma seconded.
- 129 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- 130 Mr. Coughlan amended section J to the following: A. Chairperson, B. Vice chairperson, C.
- 131 Treasurer, and strike "(appointed from among board members)" and add D. Secretary.
- 132 Ms. Kuzsma seconded the motion.
- 133 Mr. O'Keefe asked for a vote on the motion to amend. Ms. Taylor opposed; motion passed.
- 134 Mr. O'Keefe asked for a vote on BBBH as amended.

- 135 The Motion passed.
- 136 Discussion ensued over the packet.
- 137 Mr. O'Keefe read BBBA, BBBC, BK, EBB, JCA, JFAB, JI, JIC, JICD, JLCF, JLCH, JLCJ, KH
- 138 (Amherst, Mont Vernon, and Souhegan)
- No objections to the following: BBBA, BBBC, BK, JCA, JFAB, JI, JLCF, JLCH, (Amherst,
- 140 Mont Vernon, and Souhegan)
- Policies objected BBBA, EBB, JI, JIC, JICD, JLCF, JLCJ, and KH
- Mr. O'Keefe read BA/BA-R, BAAA, BBA, BBAA, BBAB/BDB, BCA, BCB, BDA, BDC,
- BDD, BDE, BDG, BEA, BEB, BEC, BEDA, BEDB, BEDC, BEDDA, BEDG, BEDH, BFE,
- BGAA, BGD, BGF, BHC, BHE, BIE, DID, EB, EHAC, GBCD, GBCE, GBEB, GBEBE, GCR,
- 145 KFA, KICL (SAU, Amherst, Mont Vernon, and Souhegan)
- Policies objected: BAAA, BCB, BDA, BDD, BDG, BEA, BEDB, BEDDA, BGAA, BGD, BGF,
- 147 BHC, EHAC, GBCD, GBEB, GBEBE, KICL.
- Policies pulled BBAB/BDB, BDD- first page missing, BDF was in packet but not on agenda -
- 149 hold until next meeting, BEDH,
- 150 Mr. Coughlan added that BIA & DFA was new this year.
- Mr. Glover motioned to approve, adopt, and move to the individual boards the following
- policies: BBBC, BK, JCA, JFAB, JLCH, BA/BA-R, BBA, BBAA, BDC, BDE, BEB, BEC,
- 153 BEDC, BEDG, BFE, BHE, BIE, DID, EB, GBCE, GCR, and KFA. Mr. Coughlan seconded
- 154 the motion.
- 155 Ms. Kuzsma added that BBBA back to the Policy Committee.
- 156 Ms. Lawrence motioned to send policy EBB to the individual boards. Ms. Parisi seconded
- 157 **the motion.**
- 158 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- 159 Ms. Taylor asked for clarification on Policy EBB.
- 160 Ms. Kuzsma noted that the NHSBA updated it.
- Mr. Glover added that the one thing that is missing is infectious disease control.
- Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote to accept Policy EBB.
- 163 Motion fails. Policy goes back to the Policy Committee.
- Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy JI and move to the individual boards as a first
- reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.
- 166 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.

- Ms. Taylor noted that this policy refers to rights and responsibilities of students. she would like
- to see more definition of the rights and responsibilities
- 169 Discussion ensued.
- 170 Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote. Ms. Lawrence and Ms. Peters opposed. Motion passed.
- Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy JIC and move to the individual boards as a first
- 172 reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.
- 173 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- Ms. Taylor noted that she was at the meeting when they discussed the policy. She commented on
- the Code of Conduct.
- Ms. Landwehrle noted that they have never used the term Code of Conduct before. It was her
- 177 recommendation to simplify.
- Ms. Taylor remarked that the Code of Conduct falls under some student groups, and they need to
- have deeper discussion at the high school.
- Ms. Behm added that it is consistent and there are additional layers, but this is a great start.
- 181 Ms. Clark asked if it was possible for the high school to make their own changes.
- Mr. O'Keefe explained that the intent of tonight's discussion was to be on the same page.
- 183 Ms. Landwehrle noted in some cases they add "if where applicable Community Council".
- Mr. Glover motioned to add "shall" to add a number #5. Ms. Parisi seconded.
- Mr. Coughlan added that he is fine with leaving the policy the way it is.
- Ms. Landwehrle commented that she updates the administration with what needs to be in the
- 187 handbook.
- 188 Ms. Kuzsma the heading of this policy relates to student conduct.
- Mr. Glover noted that these are closely related topics there is correlation between the two.
- 190 Ms. Lawrence added that she agrees with Mr. Glover. It is an opportunity to correct that and
- make sure it is really clear.
- 192 Mr. O'Keefe called for a motion to amend policy JIC. The motion was unanimous, motion
- 193 passed.
- Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote on the policy as amended. Ms. Taylor opposed; motion
- 195 passed.
- 196 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy JICD and move to the individual boards as a first
- 197 reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.

- 199 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion
- 200 Ms. Taylor noted that she is looking to align the system with these policies.
- 201 Ms. Lawrence asked Ms. Taylor where there are inconsistencies. She asked Ms. Taylor to review
- the Trello where they made their notes.
- 203 Ms. Landwehrle added that the high school team did have ample time as well as the rest of the
- leadership team to review.
- 205 Ms. Kuzsma noted that this policy was brought up because there were significant changes at the
- State. Community Council could not change anything required by the State no matter what.
- Ms. Landwehrle commented that a lot of this is referencing the terms and student rights and
- responsibilities. There were some changes with this policy, and she does not see a conflict.
- 209 Mr. Coughlan added that he did a review and does not see conflict with SHS current procedures.
- 210 Ms. Grund asked about letter (e) and why would you have a subcommittee on issues like this, on
- 211 page 75.
- Mr. Coughlan replied first, there is a timeline on some of these issues and sometimes it is hard
- 213 to get a full board together or second, recusal problems.
- 214 Ms. Peters commented that it would be nice to see the OSS pilot referenced.
- 215 Mr. Glover added that at the last meeting they have a new disciplinary pilot and discussion about
- 216 how do they implement restorative justice principles.
- 217 Ms. Landwehrle replied, yes.
- 218 Mr. Coughlan referenced the paragraph above.
- 219 Ms. Landwehrle added that it is still out of school suspension, they would craft the parameters.
- You are removed from the building but not removed from the connection to their community.
- 221 Ms. Peters inquired about students committing a serious offense.
- 222 Discussion ensued.
- Superintendent Steel referenced NH Chapter 193- Pupils- School Attendance- Section 193:13 II
- Suspension and Expulsion of Pupils. "Any pupil may be expelled from school by the local school
- board for gross misconduct, or for neglect or refusal to conform to the reasonable rules of the
- school, or for an act of theft, destruction, or violence as defined in RSA 193-D:1, or for
- 227 possession of a pellet or BB gun, rifle, or paint ball gun, and the pupil shall not attend school
- 228 until restored by the local board. Any expulsion shall be subject to review if requested prior to
- 229 the start of each school year and further, any parent or guardian has the right to appeal any
- 230 such expulsion by the local board to the state board of education. Any expulsion shall be valid
- 231 throughout the school districts of the state."
- 232 Mr. Glover asked about Factors to Consider.

- 233 Mr. Coughlan noted that legal counsel cut and pasted from the law.
- Mr. Steel commented that the RSA 193:13 is effective until July 1, 2021; the revision has the
- exact same process V. "School districts and chartered public schools shall make educational
- assignments available to the suspended pupil during periods of suspension."
- 237 Ms. Kuzsma amended policy JICD B, 7, #5 remove paragraph and have it state "under
- 238 193:13 V." Ms. Parisi seconded the motion. Motion was unanimous, motion passed.
- 239 Mr. Glover noted the scrivener's error.
- 240 Ms. Lawrence motioned to adopt JICD as written and amended. Ms. Parisi seconded the
- 241 <u>motion. 2 opposed. Motion passed.</u>
- 242 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy JLCF and move to the individual boards as a first
- 243 <u>reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.</u>
- 244 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- 245 Ms. Taylor inquired about the statement "each school in the district will provide at least one
- 246 district-wide farm to table family dinner or similar activity each school year".
- 247 Ms. Kuzsma noted that Mr. John Lash had wrote this in and will be implanting this year. They
- 248 have to have one measurable data point.
- Ms. Taylor commented that it would a large number of families and a great undertaking.
- 250 Ms. Landwehrle added that this would be combined with some other event as a play and families
- would need to sign up ahead of time. There could be significant interest, and this is the first year
- of rolling this out. Mr. Lash felt strongly in this event.
- 253 Ms. Taylor asked if they would be impacting the budget.
- Ms. Landwehrle replied that they would not be impacting the budget.
- 255 Ms. Behm gave her support.
- 256 Mr. O'Keefe asked for a roll call. The Motion passed unanimous.
- 257 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy JLCJ and move to the individual boards as a first
- reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.
- 259 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- 260 Ms. Taylor noted that she has a question about the recording process and if it is required by law.
- Mr. Coughlan responded that this is not required by law, and this is his language.
- 262 Ms. Taylor noted that they have not received these reports.
- 263 Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote.
- 264 The vote was unanimous. Motion passed.

- 265 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy KH and move to the individual boards as a first
- 266 reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.
- 267 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- 268 Ms. Taylor asked if this was new and for examples for what it would and would not include.
- Mr. Steel replied that it is not new, he used the SHS school yearbook as an example.
- 270 Mr. Coughlan added that they have been doing this for a while.
- 271 Ms. Taylor asked where does the revenue for the extra pages in the yearbook that the parents pay
- 272 for
- 273 Mr. Steel replied that he believes that it is a fundraiser for the school.
- 274 Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote.
- **The vote was unanimous. Motion passed.**
- 276 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy BAAA and move to the individual boards as a
- 277 <u>first reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.</u>
- Ms. Taylor noted that there is reference to the student handbook which is partially under
- 279 Community Council for the high school.
- 280 Ms. Kuzsma replied that it is covered as part of the procedural aspect.
- 281 Ms. Grund added that there is a piece in there that she has an issue with.
- Ms. Lawrence remarked that the policy in 2007 has almost identical language.
- 283 Mr. Steel added that there is nothing that is preventing you from making a board policy.
- 284 Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote.
- 285 Ms. Taylor opposed; motion passed.
- 286 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy BCA and move to the individual boards as a first
- 287 <u>reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.</u>
- 288 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- Ms. Grund commented on "7. Recognize that as a general principle the District benefits when
- 290 Board decisions, which have been made following due consideration and vote of a quorum,
- receive the subsequent support of the whole Board, whenever practicable.".
- She added that it sounds like there is pressure to vote in the same way.
- 293 Mr. O'Keefe added that he also does not believe that should be in there.
- Mr. Steel commented that "subsequent" indicates that this is not referring to votes. He believes
- that was the intent.

- 296 Mr. Conklin noted that this policy is the aspirational goals. This policy cannot control them in
- 297 anyway.
- 298 Ms. Lawrence added that it was in the sample.
- Ms. Parisi referenced the previous version of this policy. "Recognize that final board actions will
- 300 be supported by all members of the board. Take no private action that will compromise the
- 301 board or administration; and refrain from private actions which undermine or compromise
- 302 official board action."
- 303 Mr. Glover noted that he was not in support.
- 304 Ms. Parisi motioned to amended amend #7 to be #11 from previous policy. "Recognize that
- final board actions will be supported by all members of the board. Take no private action that
- 306 will compromise the board or administration; and refrain from private actions which
- 307 *undermine or compromise official board action.* "Mr. Grondstra seconded the motion.
- 308 Ms. Behm remarked that this language is clearer and supports the amendment.
- 309 Ms. Taylor added that she would like to use the example of the start time, she was not in support.
- 310 Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote.
- 311 Mr. Glover opposed; motion passed.
- 312 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion on the amended policy.
- 313 There was no further discussion.
- 314 Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote.
- The vote was unanimous, motion passed.
- 316 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy BDA and move to the individual boards as a first
- reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.
- 318 Ms. Grund added that she has her concern regarding "1. The Board shall hold an organizational
- 319 *meeting within one month of the School District Meeting.*"
- 320 Mr. Coughlan added that the dates are from law.
- 321 Ms. Taylor asked about "6. The assignment of board members to committees may also be made
- at the organizational meeting, or at any subsequent meeting."
- 323 Mr. Coughlan commented that most board assignments are non-controversial.
- 324 Ms. Taylor mentioned that she would like to add an additional sentence to #6 "Board member"
- 325 committee assignments should be voted on by the majority of the board."
- 326 Mr. O'Keefe asked if that was her amendment.
- 327 Mr. Glover added that it doesn't not say that.

- 328 Ms. Kuzsma noted that language would contradict the other two policies.
- 329 Mr. O'Keefe asked Ms. Taylor if she wanted to amend the policy.
- 330 Ms. Taylor did not amend the policy.
- 331 Mr. O'Keefe asked for a vote.
- 332 Ms. Taylor opposed. The motion passed.
- 333 Ms. Lawrence motioned to reconsider policy BCB. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion. The
- vote was unanimous, motion passed.
- 335 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy BCB and move to the individual boards as a first
- reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.
- 337 Ms. Taylor inquired about the difference.
- 338 Ms. Kuzsma replied it clears up conflict of interest and vendor relations.
- 339 Ms. Peters added that she is not sure that she has the same perspective.
- 340 Ms. Kuzsma commented on the vendor expectations.
- 341 Ms. Behm mentioned that she prefers the revised policy with the added sentence "Through the
- 342 use of open competitive bidding or recusal of any Board member who has a conflict of interest,
- 343 the Board will seek to obtain the best value for the district while avoiding impropriety or the
- 344 appearance of impropriety". It is just laying out ground rules and giving an even playing field.
- 345 Mr. Glover referenced RSA 95:1.
- 346 Ms. Peters replied that she has a concern with the employment clause.
- 347 Ms. Taylor mentioned that she has serious problems with this. She supports the old policy. They
- should not be employing board members or relatives.
- 349 Mr. O'Keefe inquired where this policy originated.
- 350 Ms. Kuzsma replied that this is a model, and they made no changes.
- 351 Ms. Taylor asked if we have had legal review of even one of the policies we are reviewing
- 352 tonight for our District.
- 353 Ms. Kuzma said only a couple policies have been reviewed by District counsel, which aren't in
- 354 the packet tonight. I feel uncomfortable spending money on legal fees.
- 355 Ms. Taylor asked if they had their own counsel.
- 356 Ms. Kuzsma added that she feels uncomfortable spending a significant amount of money having
- 357 them reviewed when they were crafted by legal counsel.
- 358 Ms. Taylor noted that the sample wasn't crafted for our board or districts.

- 359 Mr. O'Keefe asked about the general consensus of the committee.
- 360 Ms. Kuzsma replied that the general consensus was that they liked the sample policy better and
- 361 made the rules extremely clear.
- 362 Mr. Coughlan added that they agreed that this one was clearer.
- 363 Mr. Torres mentioned that he is concerned with the optics of it.
- Mr. O'Keefe motioned to vote the policy down and send this back to the policy committee.
- 365 (Revise to be more restrictive in nepotism and goods and services.)
- 366 Mr. Glover suggested it go back to legal counsel.
- 367 Ms. Grund added that it just needs to be rewritten.
- 368 Mr. Coughlan added that there have been two separate discussions, nepotism and conflict of
- 369 goods and services. He suggested that they have a straw poll.
- 370 All opposed, motion fails.
- 371 Mr. O'Keefe asked about a nepotism policy.
- 372 Mr. Coughlan commented on the most legally restricted Nepotism policy.
- 373 Mr. O'Keefe asked for a straw poll.
- Mr. Coughlan noted that the board was in favor of the most restrictive in both categories,
- 375 nepotism and goods and services.
- Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy BDG and move to the individual boards as a first
- 377 reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.
- Mr. Coughlan commented that they tried to differentiate between the routine and non-routine.
- 379 Mr. Steel gave two examples of non-routine action.
- 380 Ms. Taylor asked if it would be possible to write an amount in the policy.
- 381 Mr. O'Keefe added that if it exceeds those limits, he will want to get clarification.
- 382 Mr. Glover and Ms. Taylor opposed; motion passed.
- 383 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy BEA and move to the individual boards as a first
- reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.
- 385 Ms. Taylor commented that she would like more Souhegan meetings.
- 386 Mr. Conklin added that the additional meetings may be scheduled by the Chair. It is not just for
- 387 emergency meetings.
- 388 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- 389 The vote was unanimous, motion passed.

- 390 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy BEB and move to the individual boards as a first
- 391 <u>reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.</u>
- 392 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- 393 The vote was unanimous, motion passed.
- Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy BEDB and move to the individual boards as a first
- reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.
- 396 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- 397 Ms. Grund amended Policy BEDB, paragraph 6, second sentence, to replace
- "Superintendent" with "Board Chair". Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.
- 399 Ms. Kuzsma added for the SAU it would be Chairman O'Keefe.
- 400 Ms. Behm noted that agenda would be associated with the respective Chair.
- 401 Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote.
- 402 All in favor of the amendment, motion passed.
- 403 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- 404 Ms. Taylor mentioned that the agenda content should be the responsibility of the board.
- 405 It also says that it should be 14 days prior to a meeting.
- 406 Mr. O'Keefe replied that he believes that it is reasonable since there needs to be discussion on
- 407 items.
- 408 Ms. Taylor commented that she thought another policy stated 4 days.
- 409 Mr. O'Keefe inquired which policy she was referencing.
- 410 Mr. Glover added that they have revised agenda packets because things are dynamic.
- 411 Ms. Peters referenced the policy statement "Members of the public who wish to speak at Board
- 412 meetings regarding an agenda item are encouraged to contact the Superintendent prior to the
- Board meeting." She asked if they are encouraging members of the public to reach out to the
- 414 Superintendent about their meeting topics.
- Mr. O'Keefe replied yes. The community is always able to reach out to him for a question or
- 416 concern.
- 417 Ms. Peters asked if that is different than Public Comment.
- 418 Mr. Steel noted that he gets contacted often by the community. He is sometimes able to solve a
- 419 question or problem.

- 420 Ms. Taylor referenced statement "Additionally, the Board reserves the right to limit public
- discussion at Board meetings to agenda items only." She inquired if that is that there so you can
- 422 tell them to stop.
- Mr. O'Keefe noted that he just attended a training, and they are doing what is recommended. As
- a board they can vote to do that.
- 425 Ms. Kuzsma mentioned a recent example.
- 426 Mr. O'Keefe added that the public needs to be informed about the guidelines.
- 427 Mr. Coughlan explained that the intention is that this is the meeting for the board to do work and
- 428 the public can watch. The basic fundamental rule is that board is here to do work and the public
- input is purely the board's discretion.
- 430 Mr. Glover gave his support for statement "Every Board member has the right to place items on
- the agenda" however, there needs to be some tempering language.
- 432 Ms. Kuzsma amended policy BEDB, second paragraph, second sentence. "Every board
- 433 member has the right to place items, on an agenda". Mr. Glover seconded the motion.
- 434 Mr. O'Keefe asked for discussion.
- 435 Ms. Peters commented on a possible situation where a board member is at odds with the board
- 436 chair.
- 437 Ms. Kuzsma noted that there is policy around that. The majority of a board can get together and
- 438 put it on an agenda.
- 439 Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote on the amendment.
- 440 **Motion did not pass.**
- 441 Mr. O'Keefe asked for a vote on policy BEDB as originally amended.
- 442 Motion passes unanimous
- 443 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy BEDDA and move to the individual boards as a
- 444 <u>first reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.</u>
- Ms. Taylor noted that this is unpolished and needs review.
- Mr. O'Keefe added that there is a scrivener's error.
- Ms. Taylor noted that she would like the table to be explained to her.
- Mr. Coughlan remarked that originally, he thought about moving the table. Most of this comes
- out of Robert's Rules of Order.
- 450 Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote on the policy.

- 451 Mr. Glover mentioned the scrivener's error in B-3 in the italicized brackets, B-13 the double
- asterisk and double numbering.
- 453 The vote was unanimous, motion passed.
- 454 Ms. Lawrence motioned to accept policy BGAA and move to the individual boards as a
- 455 <u>first reading. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.</u>
- 456 Ms. Taylor added, I think Board members should have more say in what is discussed at the SAU
- Policy Committee meetings than permitted in (b). Board members should be able to participate
- in the conversations resulting in policy drafting in order to perform the duties they were elected
- 459 to do.
- 460 Concerned that the drafting of policies are not going thru legal review. The work of the policy
- committee is not necessarily the work of the board. For example, the high school has a different
- age group than the policy committee members elected to oversee elementary schools.
- This is fundamental issue that as a Board we have to decide if we are going to perform the role
- we were elected to do. I don't think we can take the power and duties that we were elected for
- and hand it over to a committee that wasn't elected for that purpose.
- 466 I believe that a Board Chair or Board Member should be reaching out to the NHSBA or Board
- attorney to give directives which craft Board policy. NHSBA should be focusing on Board
- 468 Member's perspectives.
- 469 Ms. Taylor said she viewed the concerns as being more than philosophical. She asked if any
- legal review had been made of the policy BGAA, as written.
- 471 Mr. Coughlan said no legal review had been done.
- 472 Ms. Taylor noted her concerns with the policy.
- 473 Mr. Coughlan added that this is based on the new model from the NHSBA. He believes that most
- of her concerns are philosophical.
- 475 Ms. Taylor noted two examples and they had to do with student staff interaction, and they were
- both relevant to the high school.
- 477 Ms. Kuzsma replied that it is not completely accurate. Ms. Lawrence is working on it and that
- policy is 100% on their docket for review.
- 479 Ms. Grund noted that it brings up the clarification about d-6 they need to work on the language
- 480 of sept, 1st.
- 481 Ms. Taylor noted the policy had changed the NHSBA draft policy from a District's policy
- 482 committee to an SAU 39 Policy Committee, which could have a different legal meaning. I would
- 483 like to see a written review of counsel.
- I understand the purpose and benefit of the SAU Policy Committee. However, the District
- Boards should not be empowering the SAU policy committee. The SAU policy committee is

- about making recommendations and presenting draft policies to boards not making the actual
- decisions (a duty of Boards).
- 488 Mr. Coughlan added that he believes that it is based on the policy.
- 489 Ms. Kuzsma commented on the timeframe.
- 490 Mr. Steel remarked that he proposed something sooner and Mr. Glover when he was on the
- 491 Amherst School Board proposed Sept 1st as the deadline.
- Mr. Glover commented that the Superintendent does a lot and it's all in the purview of the
- 493 superintendent.
- Ms. Lawrence added that the Chair has reached out to the NHSBA, and that relationship exists. It
- 495 has not been an issue.
- 496 Ms. Taylor added that it is a conflict of interest.
- 497 Ms. Taylor motioned to amend BGAA, D4 changed to "the Board Chair should seek
- 498 counsel" Ms. Parisi seconded the motion.
- 499 Ms. Clark added that it feels like an inefficient amendment.
- 500 Ms. Peters inquired what if they used "or".
- 501 Mr. Glover did not give his support.
- Mr. Conklin commented that there are some board members that are well versed and some that
- are not.
- Ms. Behm added that she understands the concern, but it is already covered.
- 505 Mr. O'Keefe called for a vote.
- 506 Amendment did not pass. Ms. Peters abstained.
- 507 Mr. O'Keefe called for vote on Policy as written, BGAA. Ms. Taylor and Ms. Peters
- 508 **opposed.**
- 509 Mr. Lawrence motioned to defer the remaining policies to the next SAU meeting in
- 510 October. Ms. Parisi seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous, motion passed.
- Mr. O'Keefe commented that they should submit the objections to the policy. They should
- restrict debate to the items that are listed in writing to the Policy Committee. They want to be
- 513 prepared.
- V. Non-Public Session RSA 91:A 3 II
- 515 Members Present: Stephen O'Keefe, Kristen Clark, Sarah Lawrence, Peter Eckhoff, Jessica
- 516 Hinckley Not present, Pim Grondstra, Steve Coughlan, John Glover, Stephanie Grund,
- 517 Christine Peters, Laura Taylor, George Torres, Elizabeth Kuzsma, Terri Behm, Joshua Conklin,
- 518 Tom Gauthier Not present, Victoria Parisi

- 519 Ms. Kuzsma motioned to enter into Non-Public Session RSA 91 A:3 II (a) and (c) at
- 520 <u>10:10PM. The vote was unanimous, motion passed.</u>
- 521 Mr. O'Keefe called a roll call: Stephen O'Keefe Yes, Kristen Clark Yes, Sarah
- 522 Lawrence Yes, Peter Eckhoff Yes, Jessica Hinckley Not present, Pim Grondstra –
- Yes, Steve Coughlan Yes, John Glover Yes, Stephanie Grund Yes, Christine Peters -
- Yes, Laura Taylor Yes, George Torres Yes, Elizabeth Kuzsma Yes, Terri Behm Yes,
- Joshua Conklin Yes, Tom Gauthier Not present and Victoria Parisi Yes
- 526 Other persons present during nonpublic session: None.
- Description of matters discussed, and final decisions made. Note: Any votes taken must be
- recorded "in such a manner that the vote of each member is ascertained and recorded":
- Board discussed Superintendent Evaluation and gave the SAU Board Chair points to discuss
- with the Superintendent when he meets to discuss the evaluations.
- 531 VI. Public Session
- Ms. Kuzsma motioned to exit non- public session at 10:39PM, seconded by Mr. Coughlan.
- 533 The vote was unanimous, motion passed.
- No motion needed to seal the minutes.
- 535 VII. Meeting Adjourned
- 536 Ms. Kuzsma motioned to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Coughlan seconded the motion. The
- 537 <u>vote was unanimous, motion passed.</u>
- 8 Roll Call Vote to end public meeting: Stephen O'Keefe Yes, Kristen Clark Yes, Sarah
- 539 Lawrence Yes, Peter Eckhoff Yes, Jessica Hinckley Not Present, Pim Grondstra –
- Yes, Steve Coughlan Yes, John Glover Yes, Stephanie Grund Yes, Christine Peters –
- Yes, Laura Taylor Yes, George Torres Yes, Elizabeth Kuzsma Yes, Terri Behm Yes,
- Joshua Conklin Yes, Tom Gauthier Not Present and Victoria Parisi Yes