1 SOUHEGAN COOPERATIVE SCHOOL BOARD

2

TUESDAY, February 5, 2013

3 PRESENT

- 4 <u>Souhegan Cooperative School Board</u>: Chair Chris Janson, Howard Brown, Peter
- 5 Maresco, Steve Coughlan, Fran Harrow, Mary Lou Mullens, and Pim Grondstra
- <u>Administrative Team</u>: Peter Warburton, Jon Ingram, Betty Shankel, Gigi Klipa, Rob
 Scully, and Kathy White
- 8 <u>Minute Taker</u>: Beth Penney

9 CALL TO ORDER

10 Ms. Janson called the meeting to order at 6:05pm.

11 ANNOUNCEMENTS

12 Principal's Report

- 13 Mr. Ingram discussed the dean of faculty search. A committee was formed, including 3
- 14 staff members who were nominated, Mr. Coughlan, parents, support staff, and Karen
- 15 Chininis. The committee screened just under 50 applicants and choose 6 to interview
- starting tomorrow. February 14th will be the first campus visit for the people the
- 17 committee brings back. Student involvement in the process starts with campus visit.
- 18 Mr. Coughlan stated that the process has been fast and efficient.

19 <u>Schedule change</u>

20 Mr. Ingram reviewed the timeline of the schedule change. When he started at SHS he

- asked people what needed to stay, what needed to go and what needed to change. The
- 22 number one response was the schedule needed to change. The schedule task force was
- 23 launched in the summer of 2011. All of the people on the committee came with a
- 24 proposed schedule and the group spent a year using feedback, research, discussions, and
- 25 goals to establish a final recommendation in the spring of 2012. The schedule was then
- taken to community council and they approved the schedule with an 8 period day. Staff
- helped to identify things that the administration needed to be aware of. There was
- 28 discussion of taking math off-team. The course selection date was moved to give more
- time to the scheduling work. They put together a number of prototypes for a new
- 30 schedule and looked at them using past course selections.
- 31 Mr. Ingram introduced and explained the schedule that task force gave if 20 minutes was

not added to the day. The skinny block (period 6) does not meet on Mondays. All staffsupports this schedule.

Mr. Ingram stated that to give 9th and 10th grade more access to electives they must stager 34 team time, but still retained the common planning time for teachers. He discussed taking 35 36 math off team, including how teams need to be divisible by two for a team to function. If 37 math came off team something would have to be put on. Math will be left on team in 9th 38 grade and off team in 10th. Wellness will be put on team in 10th, which makes a program change in program of studies. 9th grade will take wellness and art on alternating days. 39 They currently take health and art. 10th grade currently takes health and art. Wellness will 40 41 now be on team and electives could be whatever students want. Students in grade 10 in 42 the transition year with still need 1/3 year of health, so the wellness people will rotate 43 through the teams.

- 44 The board and Mr. Ingram discussed the new schedule including the one extra period, the
- skinny period, staff support for this schedule, how music and band are now in the
- schedule, that teams support this schedule, and when and how students will take wellness.
- 47 Mr. Ingram stated that they do not know until they try the schedule how it is going to
- 48 work. They can only theorize what courses will be populated. The challenge is the
- 49 health/art piece and whether it is an A/B schedule or a semester schedule.
- 50 Mr. Maresco voiced his concern about math being off team and that there will always be
- 51 conflicts because of mixed grade levels. When one grade level misses class the class is
- 52 disrupted and that happens too often.
- 53 Mr. Ingram stated that Mr. Scully has worked on balancing the out of classroom
- 54 experiences. The school needs to look at what the belief is about instruction, teacher as
- 55 coach or student in seat and teacher in front of the class.
- 56 Mr. Maresco stated that he thought the goal was to increase class times to a full hour per 57 day. Now there is 227 min/week because the new schedule is taking time away.
- 58 Ms. Harrow and Ms. Mullens discussed that adding time to class periods was never a 59 goal of the scheduling task force. SHS has always had more minutes per week than other 60 schools in the area, but fewer academic periods. The goal was to add a period and 61 shorten double blocks
- 61 shorten double blocks.
- Ms. White stated that they have taken the break out of the day and shortened the passingtime. Compared to what the state requires, SHS is over the number of hours per class.
- 64 Mr. Ingram thanked the school community for all their hard work and thoughtfulness to
- 65 get to this new schedule in place. The process was very thorough and the outcome is a
- 66 new schedule that meets the needs of the school.

- 67 The board discussed the 30 minutes for lunch and the cafeteria's ability to accommodate
- that. This is currently what happens on double block days. They discussed the school
- 69 population staying the same or increasing in the next few years and that some schools in
- 70 the state do not have a lunch block, but function like a college cafeteria.
- 71 Mr. Warburton stated that they are still working on adding 20 minutes to the day. The
- hours based calendar that they have been looking at will benefit all of the schools for
- many reasons, but it will not happen for fall.

74 Community Council Report

- 75 Ms. Mullens stated that Community Council (CC) has been working on planning fang
- fest, which is the week of February 18-22. It is coming along well and seems like a very
 positive plan for fang fest this year.
- 78 Mr. Brown asked to get the board back on the email list for the minutes from CC.
- 79 Mr. Scully state that there is a commitment of the students to have a take back Fang Fest
- 80 attitude. The 47 CC people are taking ownership and there will be a council member and
- 81 staff at every activity. The model of CC will be supported throughout the school and
- 82 everyone is excited. There is lots of positive energy.
- Ms. Mullens suggested that they should have a board team. She discussed some of theactivities that will happen.
- 85 <u>Committee Report</u>
- Mr. Brown stated that the policy committee meets tomorrow and later on in the meeting
 there are 2nd readings of policies to approve.
- Ms. Janson thanked everyone, the administration and the finance committee for their
 work on the budget and preparing for Saturday's deliberative session. This was a start
- 90 and there is more work to be done. The board heard from the town's people about the
- 91 cost per pupil. The board needs to organize a committee to mine deeper into the budget
- 92 to look at cost per pupil to start in spring to help with the budget for next year.
- Ms. Harrow stated that this is a great idea and she would like to volunteer. She discussed a cost pupil and age of school district spread sheet from Jeanne Ludt. She stated that it is interesting to look at. We should look at the group of schools that were compared to SHS in the early 90's and 2000's. We need to look at how the budget has changed or not changed and look at in-depth those other school's budgets to compare our budget. Some of the reasons the cost per pupil has gone up are the age of building and the seniority of the faculty has gone up. There are many factors to consider.
- 100 Ms. Mullens stated that she would like to volunteer. They need to look at the spikes in

- 101 the budget from past years and look at what caused those spikes.
- 102 Ms. Janson stated that the committee would be a sub set of the board and the
- administration to start. She asked people to email her if they were interested in being onthe committee.
- 105 Mr. Warburton stated that they need to get into the habit of looking at the budget all of
- 106 the time and that this is a great idea.

107 **PUBLIC TIME**

- 108 David Chen discussed the comment about the budget; 80% of the budget is staff and 20%
- 109 is everything else. Maybe the cost per pupil has something to do with age of the building,
- but big numbers need to be looked at also. Mr. Chen stated that he changed from
- 111 abstention to a fore for article 4. He stated that the finance committee never had a chance
- 112 to ask questions and he abstained because he did not know what it was. He suggested 113 that to look at contracts for the future the school benchmark jobs to compare salaries of
- that to look at contracts for the future the school benchmark jobs to compare salaries of specific jobs. Seniority and experience of the faculty is growing and a benchmark will
- remove the seniority factor. Other school districts use that to help look at contract
- 116 discussions. This also helps to compare districts and better negotiate. He does not want
- 117 to change how the staff gets paid but to help the finance committee to better understand
- 118 how the contracts have changed over time and how they are compared to other districts.
- 119 The board and Mr. Chen discussed a comment made in the Patch about a question at the
- 120 deliberative about the cost is higher because the SAU has several districts. There would
- 121 be a cost savings if the SAU were one district. The SAU and the schools are working on
- a seamless K-12 curriculum, and the districts have come a long way even in the last 5
- 123 years. There are costs for negotiating agreements at all three schools, legal costs, and
- audit costs that would occur only once if the SAU were one district.

125 CONSENT AGENDA

- 126 Ms. Janson asked to pull the donation because donations of \$5,000 or more has to go 127 through public hearing, which will be at March meeting.
- 128 Ms. Janson asked to pull all of the minutes.
- The board asked to replace line 16-34 with the paragraph Mr. Maresco had written in the
 December 6th minutes.
- Ms. Mullens made a motion to accept the December 6th minutes as changed. Mr.
 Brown seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.
- Mr. Grondstra made a motion to accept the January 3rd minutes. Ms. Harrow
 seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.
- 135 Ms. Harrow made a motion to accept the January 7th minutes. Mr. Grondstra

- 136 seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous except, Mr. Maresco abstained.
- Ms. Harrow made a motion to accept the January 9th minutes. Mr. Brown seconded
 the motion and the vote was unanimous.
- 139 Mr. Coughlan made a motion to accept the minutes from the January 9th public
- hearing. Ms. Mullens seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous except, Mr.
 Maresco abstained.
- Mr. Grondstra made a motion to accept the treasurer's report. Ms. Harrow
 seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.
- Ms. Janson stated that her kids had Kathy MacKay. She is very talented and will bemissed.

Mr. Coughlan made a motion to accept the resignation request. Mr. Grondstra seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.

148 **PRESENTATIONS/REPORTS**

- 149 Interior locking
- 150 Ms. Shankel stated that the bid came in with 2 phases; exterior and interior locking
- 151 systems. Phase one is completed and the school is in worse shape because the two
- 152 systems do not work together. Completing the interior locking system is important. It
- 153 will cost \$220,000 and we have that in the expendable trust and will have surplus. Ms.
- 154 Shankel would like a decision on how to go forward with this and look at sending it to the
- 155 expendable trust committee to review. She recommends the board wait to spend the
- 156 money to follow the vote and when they will have more information to whether the bond
- 157 will pass and the article putting money into the trust. They will also better know what the
- surplus will be at. The supplier will hold the quote for the cost till May 1st. We will hold
- an expendable trust meeting in mid-March and have a public hearing at the April
- 160 meeting.
- 161 Mr. Maresco stated that after the comments on Saturday the board should be careful and 162 cautious about using surplus.
- Ms. Shankel stated that the expendable trust committee has a broad spectrum of voices tomake this decision.
- 165 Ms. Janson asked if anyone had tally of the number of voters at this year's deliberative.
- Ms. Shankel stated that the maximum in previous years was 45 people and they had 65people this year.
- 168

169 <u>Reception Area</u>

Mr. Ingram stated that the goal is to create a visible reception area when people walk through the main doors to make it very clear where to go. The receptionist will also be able to see the doors. Mr. Miner had a mason look at the work and the quote given is about \$5,000. We do have money from a security line item. From a cultural stand point this will bring a focal point to that area and is a gathering area for the students in the morning.

- 176 The board discussed a door buzzer, making the window for the receptionist inviting and
- not like a doctor's office, the need for no additional staff, and options for locking thewindow for the receptionist.
- Mr. Ingram stated that there will be no other renovations and no relocation costs. Mr.Ingram stated that he does not support a buzzer for the door.
- 181 Ms. Janson stated that she would like to come back and discuss this topic when there is a 182 better idea about how to secure that space. The board supports the idea.
- belief idea about now to secure that space. The board supports
- 183 <u>Organizing future presentations</u>
- Ms. Janson stated that she would like to use this time to organize what the board wants tohear for the rest of the year and attach some dates to the topics.
- 186 Mr. Warburton stated that the board would hear about assessments in April. He heard a187 great presentation today at the Ethics forum and they will come in March for 20 minutes.
- 188 Mr. Ingram discussed the data for all assessments the school is currently involved in will 189 be presented with performance metrics, including indicators of success and goals. He 190 asked what else the board wants to hear about for those performance metrics. He would 191 like to give a school profile to the public like the college profile the school has, He asked 192 the board what they would like on the profile.
- 193 The board stated that they would like to see data for AP courses, and college credit 194 courses. They would like to discuss the schools successes and why they have those 195 successes. Discipline should also be included. They would like to see the number of 196 students getting into tier 1 and 2 schools.
- Mr. Maresco stated that he likes the performance metrics, but the school can always makenumbers look good. They need to have a discussion to look at preparing students as
- 199 colleges are becoming more competitive. SHS kids are at a disadvantage. Without the
- 200 decile and not weighting grades has an effect on the kid's ability to get into schools and
- 201 get scholarships. The party line is that these do not impact students, but it does.
- 202 Mr. Warburton stated that the board could have that conversation when SATs are

203 discussed. There are lots of pieces out there for college acceptance. We need to look at 204 the entire picture. SHS would not prevent information going out to a school that would 205 prevent a student getting into a school. Some colleges look at SAT scores and others do 206 not. The numbers are all over the map. The highest schools have many applicants and 207 most are similar types of students. After the interview process colleges puts students in a 208 category and then selects. SHS students do get into top tier schools. If people have 209 questions they should discuss them with the guidance staff. SHS will get schools and 210 scholarships the information they need for students.

Mr. Maresco stated that there are issues because of decisions by the board. The idea of
using junior year advisory for SAT prep was immediately thrown out and that attitude
needs to change.

214 Mr. Warburton stated that this is a SAU wide discussion and grading comes up often.

215 Cathy Desmond, the world language coordinator, would like to discuss with the board

- 216 importance of World Language, 21st century learning and students accessing jobs in other 217 countries.
- 218 The board assigned the following topics dates:
- 219 Assessments April NECAP, SAT, PSAT
- 220 Grading May progress report from assessment task force, including what grades mean
- 221 ALE's May
- 222 Performance metrics June
- 223 World Language April

224 **DISCUSSION**

225 <u>Policies</u>

Mr. Warburton and Mr. Coughlan discussed that the PPC was ok with the language
changes, including kids to students, some grammar, reporting to supervisor change to
administrator and statements from the margin moved into the language. Nothing was
taken out.

- Mr. Warburton stated that the policy committee would see draft of a sign off sheet andanyone who gets a paycheck would read the policies and sign the sheet.

Mr. Ingram stated that if there are issues currently with coaches and conduct then thestudent or parent needs to go to the AD.

234 Mr. Brown made a motion to accept the policy GBK, Staff Conduct and Ethics –

- 235 **2nd reading. Mr. Coughlan seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.**
- Ms. Shankel stated that on Page 41 the second bullet the word kids needs to be changedto students.
- 238 Mr. Coughlan made a motion to amend and change the word kids to students in
- IJOA Field trips and excursions 2nd reading. Ms. Harrow seconded the motion
 and the vote was unanimous.
- 241 Ms. Mullens made a motion to approve IJOA Field trips and excursions 2nd
- reading as changed. Mr. Coughlan seconded the motion and the vote wasunanimous.
- 244 Ms. Harrow made a motion to go into NON-PUBLIC SESSION RSA 91-A:3 II. (a)
- 245 (b) (c). Ms. Mullens seconded the motion and the role call was all yes at 7:50pm.
- 246

247