1	COLUMN COORED ATIVE COMOOL DOADD
2	SOUHEGAN COOPERATIVE SCHOOL BOARD
3	THURSDAY, June 7, 2012
4	<u>PRESENT</u>
5 6	Souhegan Cooperative School Board: Chair Chris Janson, Howard Brown, Steve Coughlan, Mary Lou Mullens, Fran Harrow, Peter Maresco and Pim Grondstra (6:04pm)
7 8	<u>Administrative Team</u> : Peter Warburton, Jon Ingram, Betty Shankel, Renea Sparks, Bob Thompson, Colleen Meaney, Gigi Klipa, and Officer Smith
9	Community Council: Casey Foulks
10	Minute Taker: Beth Penney
11	CALL TO ORDER
12	Ms. Janson called the meeting to order at 5:54pm.
13	COMMUNITY COUNCIL NOMINEES
14 15	Ms. Mullens stated that the boarded needed to appoint a community member to Community Council. She introduced Cheryl Forster.
16 17 18 19	Ms. Forster gave a little back ground about herself including her involvement in the PTA and other activities within the schools. She has an incoming 9 th and 4 th grader. She wants to serve on Community Council to help the community and to educate herself about how the school runs.
20 21 22 23	Ms. Mullens read letters from the other two candidates, Paula Troie and Jayne Young, who could not attend the meeting. She stated that they are all qualified candidates. She explained the process of how the one position is chosen and that she would be contacting each candidate tomorrow.
24	Ms. Janson thanked Ms. Forster for volunteering.
25	Reappointment of Community Council Members
26 27 28 29	Ms. Mullens discussed the four community members that need reappointment and the importance of attendance. She explained how each person has been very active in Community Council. She read short letters from each person to help the board understand how they have each been involved in the community council and the school.
30 31 32	Ms. Mullens made a motion to reappoint the four community members, Rick Katzenberg, Leslie Formby, Jane Nilles, and Nan Stearns. Mr. Coughlan seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous, except Mr. Grondstra abstained.

- Review of parking lot cameras and surveillance system
- 34 Mr. Ingram introduced the nominee for the Special Education Coordinator, Deb Richard
- and the nominee for the Dean of Students position, Rob Scully.
- 36 Mr. Warburton reminded the board about reviewing the surveillance policy later in the
- 37 meeting and the board's request to better understand how the cameras at Souhegan work.
- The board went with Officer Smith to review the Souhegan surveillance system.

39 **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

- 40 <u>Principal's Report</u>
- Mr. Ingram stated that the staff retreat is scheduled for Aug. 22nd, 23rd. He discussed the
- 42 idea of rebranding Souhegan because the school may not be as much of a leading school
- in the public's eye as it once was. The Communications Team has discussed the school's
- visual image and has been looking at logos. The team started in the athletics realm and
- school publications. The idea is to give the brand a more modern and consistent look,
- 46 which they discussed with a local vendor who gave them some mock-ups. The
- 47 Communications Team took the idea to the Executive board of Community Council
- 48 (CC). The Executive board asked for an all school vote and that the ideas not be a CC
- 49 vote. CC helped to review which ideas to bring forward to the school. The three options
- will be shared in advisory on Monday and Tuesday and one of the options is no change in
- 51 the current logo and symbol. The most challenging part is how to reach out to the full
- 52 community and allow all people to weigh in. The idea is to have the logo finalized before
- school starts next year.
- Ms. Harrow expressed her concerns about the history of the Saber, which was decided
- upon by a precursor to CC from MASH. One of the students drew, designed, and painted
- the Saber on the gym floor. CC was not part of the decision of do we want to change the
- 57 logo, now. The seal is the logo for Coalition of Essential Schools and our mission
- statement was placed around that. She would have liked CC to be part of the decision
- 59 first.
- 60 Mr. Ingram stated that he did take the idea to CC and was guided by Executive to go to
- the whole school for a vote. He stated that the Communications Team did discuss the
- logo and the Coalition of Essential Schools symbol. If the school chooses to move away
- from the logo, the symbol for the Coalition of Essential Schools will somehow be
- 64 included on documents. He stated he was glad to hear the history of the Saber.
- The board and Mr. Ingram discussed that the there has not been a discussion with the
- whole CC and that Executive wanted to push the decision forward to the entire school.
- There is still the option to not change the logo and symbol. There was a short discussion
- about the school sign and athletic uniforms. The sign would not be changed and the
- 69 uniforms would be changed when new uniforms are purchased based on the already

- existing replacement cycle. They discussed that the school does not have stationary and
- 71 that there are a variety of JPEG images that people use for letterhead and other things.
- 72 The board expressed that it is essential that the Coalition of Essential Schools symbol is
- 73 included somewhere. They also expressed their concern that students were not involved
- with the artwork of the new logos.
- 75 Mr. Ingram explained there were no takers to design the logo when the idea was put out
- to the students. He stated a concern from the technology department about an image
- being web ready.
- Ms. Harrow asked if there would be an opportunity to have the vote of the board,
- 79 community, and the staff.
- Mr. Ingram stated that the students and staff would have the opportunity to vote. He
- stated that he could ensure an opportunity for the board to vote also.
- Ms. Janson asked for an Advisory Task force update.
- Mr. Ingram stated that the task force has not presented to the administration yet. They
- have encouraged the school community to rekindle their commitment to advisory. Their
- 85 recommendations include training for new teachers, including advisory in the evaluation
- of teachers and when hiring of new staff. He will bring full report to the board in August.
- 87 Community Council Report
- Casey Foulks, the new CC representative, stated that Executive elections and work on
- revising the bylaws has been the topics at the recent meetings. There was a vote for each
- 90 of the bylaw changes. The CC also heard from the scheduling task force, who introduced
- 91 two suggestions. Both options had an addition of a skinny block. The CC has not had
- much time to discuss the schedules yet. The discussion will be picked up next school year
- and the CC vote on an option will by next October.
- Ms. Mullens welcomed Casey, who is a Sophomore, to the board.
- 95 Committee Reports
- 96 Mr. Grondstra stated that he and Mr. Maresco met with Ken Bigley and had good
- 97 discussion. Mr. Bigley gave them good information and he has made some good strides
- in the right direction. He understands the board's concerns moving forward.
- 99 Mr. Maresco stated that Mr. Bigley had the budget information well organized. The
- structure is there and he needs everyone to get more used to the new process.
- The board discussed the fundraising policy and how Mr. Bigley was meeting with the
- coaches to go over all policies and the fee structure.

103 **PUBLIC TIME** 104 No public was present. 105 **CONSENT AGENDA** 106 Mr. Coughlan asked to pull the minutes and Mr. Maresco asked to pull the budget 107 transfer. 108 Ms. Harrow made a motion to accept the consent agenda containing the Treasurer's 109 Report for April 2012, Unanticipated Revenue to Special Revenue - \$2,500.00, and **Unanticipated Revenue - Donations to Student Activity Fund - \$500.00 from** 110 111 NHCF. Ms. Mullens seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous. 112 Mr. Coughlan asked to make the following changes: Line 45/46 – He has not been by 113 standard – change to he has sat on a committee with Fran; Line 204 – change David 114 Chen's name 115 Mr. Grondstra made a motion to amend the minutes as changed. Ms. Harrow 116 seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous. 117 Mr. Maresco asked about the budget transfer of money coming out of salaries and going 118 into transportation. 119 Ms. Shankel stated that the budget transfer was in the Special Education area. There was 120 a savings in staff because of a reduction in para-professionals so the money was 121 transferred for transportation in Special Education. She explained the 403b match and 122 how the surplus works because the school does not pay into FICA. She also explained 123 how if staff does not choose to add to their 403b then the school has no ability to match 124 it. 125 Mr. Coughlan asked about the resignation letter and a Bid that was sent through email. 126 Ms. Shankel stated that both were uploaded to the Sharepoint agenda and that sent the bid by email because she thought the board would not be checking Sharepoint. 127 128 Mr. Coughlan asked for a system for agenda items that are being added. 129 Mr. Coughlan made a motion to accept the budget transfer, the bid and the 130 resignation letter. Mr. Maresco seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous. 131 Ms. Harrow acknowledge that the letter of resignation was from Colleen Meaney and that she would like to acknowledge the work and the value that Ms. Meaney has give the 132 133 school. Ms. Harrow also wished her luck as she moves on.

135

134

The board thanked Ms. Meaney.

PRESENTATIONS/REPORTS

136

- 137 <u>Assessment results</u> NECAP, SAT, etc.
- Ms. Meaney discussed what NECAP is and why the school gives the assessment. The
- NECAP scores are from the Junior class. She discussed reading scores over the last 5
- 140 years, which shows an increase in the number of proficient with distinction. SHS has
- 82% of students who are proficient and above, while the state has 64% of students
- proficient and above. She discussed the achievement gap between IEP students and those
- without IEPs. 54% of IEP students are proficient or above. She discussed SHS's
- 144 comparison to Bedford of 48% and Hollis of 47% for IEP students. She discussed gender
- analysis. The male population has climbed especially since 2008.
- Ms. Meaney stated that this is the first year the school has qualified for analyzing
- economically disadvantaged students. In this cohort, 73% are proficient or above,
- compared to the state where 60% are proficient and above. She discussed area and district
- comparisons for many other districts in the area for 2010 and 2011.
- Ms. Meaney discussed the Writing scores, which have increased since '07. SHS has 53%
- of student proficient and above and the state has 45% of its students proficient and above.
- 152 She showed the comparison to neighboring districts.
- 153 Ms. Meaney discussed how the school measures itself against its own standards. She
- explained the effective communicator assessment and showed data from last year for the
- fall and spring. 85% of students met expectations or exceeded them. The assessment
- showed improvement for all grade levels. The effective communicator and the reading
- NECAP proficiency numbers are similar. She discussed trends found by the English
- department including gains in performance in males and gains in the number of students
- who are proficient with distinction. She stated that the school would emphasize explicit
- teaching of reading and work on sharing reading and writing expectations between
- departments. The focus will be on the learner expectations effective communicator and
- skilled information processor.
- 163 Ms. Meaney discussed the Math scores where SHS has 43% of the students scoring
- proficient and above, while only 36% are proficient and above in the state. Math is low
- state wide, but this is not an excuse and the school is making strides to do better. She
- discussed the gap analysis for IEP and none IEP students. 10% of IEP students are
- proficient and above. Compared to Hollis and Bedford where only 7% of IEP students
- are proficient and above and in Milford the score is 9%. For the gender analysis, males
- remain steady and girls have seen a decrease in last two years. There were 14 females
- who missed the proficient mark by one question. That would have changed the
- percentage of girls being proficient to 53%. In the economically disadvantaged cohort,
- 172 27% of SHS students were proficient and above while only 19% of the state was
- proficient and above. She discussed area and district comparison and showed what math
- 174 classes the juniors are enrolled in. The Math program review will help to fill the
- knowledge and skill gaps. The school has also increased the rigor and skills taught in
- 176 core math 1 and core math 2.

- Ms. Meaney stated that as of last month there would be eight projected sections of Math
- 178 1 for next year. Teachers have more skills and are helping to give students an opportunity
- to accelerate using online courses. She discussed the trends in Math including geometry
- gains. Students need support with verbal representation and translating what the question
- is asking for and changing it into Math. The teachers next year will be focusing on
- concept over procedure, and on the learner expectations complex thinker and skilled
- information processor.
- Ms. Meaney shared the PSAT scores for critical reading, Math, and Writing. All junior
- students take the PSATs. She also shared ACT scores compared to the state. 50% of the
- juniors take the ACT, while only 5% of juniors in the state take the ACT. She discussed
- the benchmark from ACT test, which determines if a student is "college ready". She
- showed SHS's scores for College English, Algebra, Social Sciences, and Biology.
- Ms. Meaney discussed the SHS performance assessments. The school is using similar
- standards as the state assessments and the common core. She discussed the elimination
- of the NECAP testing and the start of the Common Core assessment in 2014. SHS is
- 192 committed to having a school assessment. The state of NH has discussed a waiver to have
- a performance assessment rather than the Common Core exam. If this waiver passes then
- 194 SHS would be a pilot school for the performance assessment. She discussed why this is a
- huge advantage and the investment of students would improve also.
- Mr. Maresco asked to see the results for the SATs. He also stated that he saw Juniors
- prepping for the PSATs and there are areas where there are issues including vocabulary
- and their approach to Math problems.
- The board and the administration discussed that there will be a full review of the SAT
- scores. They also discussed how the Math program review and the work with Professor
- 201 Sharma would help students with their math skills. They discussed that even though the
- test scores are lower that expected, 90% of SHS students are going to 4-year colleges and
- the school continues to get feedback from alumni about their great education.
- Mr. Coughlan expressed his frustration about the hard work that has been done by the
- board, administration, and staff in the last five years to see gains in the test scores. He
- discussed that teachers, based on what they are teaching design the tests yet the state
- averages are very low. If the state does poorly then SHS does poorly. He stated that
- 208 teachers do a lot of work to support students and help them improve. He wishes they
- 209 could discern a better path to see improvement. The test just does not work.
- 210 Ms. Meaney and Ms. Klipa discussed the investment of students in the NECAP testing.
- The test does not mean anything to them, while the PSATs and SATs do. They are
- continuing to try to work with students to help them understand why they should be
- 213 invested.
- 214 Mr. Warburton discussed AYP and when the scores come out how people get defensive.
- People set parameters for standards of a great education and testing. SHS is third in the
- state for students being college ready based on PSAT and SAT scores. The NECAP has

- less meaning to students compared to the SATs. He wants to sit down with students next
- year to better understand that. He discussed student's success once they leave SHS and
- 219 how important the common core waiver would be for the state and for SHS. He stated
- that they should have a comprehensive look at how kids are doing and will look at SATs
- also. He asked what are the parameters we want to set.
- Ms. Mullens stated that she agrees. The community needs to be proud of other measures
- that we do not advertise like our low drop out rate. The board needs to do a better job of
- communicating those measures with the community.
- 225 Mr. Warburton discussed how the administrative team is going to talk with the
- community about what the district is proud of. He discussed why he very proud to be the
- superintendent in this district.
- 228 Mr. Ingram discussed the importance of the school's own performance indicators. He
- stated that if the school does not set goals and measures for itself then others will. He
- discussed how we know when we are being successful and how do we share that with the
- community.
- 232 175 Day Calendar
- Ms. Mullens stated that the SAU board put a committee together 2 years ago to look at a
- calendar change. The idea was to improve the calendar so time would not have to be
- added at the end of the year when students are less productive. The committee looked at
- many schools from the area and country, surveyed parents and students, and researched
- the impact of longer school days and longer years. The committee made the
- recommendation of going to 175 day calendar and adding 20 minutes to everyday starting
- from the beginning of the year. The additional 20 minutes can only be used for
- instructional time and cannot be used for lunch, breaks, or passing time. She discussed
- the assumptions to this new calendar including days, hours, employee contracts and
- bargaining unit changes. She discussed the changes in instructional hours going from
- 243 1012 to 1041 (with 5 snow days missed the hours would be 1011).
- Mr. Maresco asked about a change in the food service contracts and how they would be
- handled based on snow day changes to the calendar.
- 246 Ms. Shankel discussed how very few food service contracts are 180 days, currently.
- Ms. Mullens stated that this would be a district wide change. The SAU board has had a
- straw vote and now the change needs to be passed by the individual boards. SHS's school
- 249 day would run from 7:30am to 2:28pm. There would still be the afterschool time before
- sports start like there is now. She discussed the following changes in other areas
- including: support staff contract adjustments and savings of \$4,396 for FY13 and the
- transportation impact is a savings because it reduces the cost by 45% for each day under
- 253 180 days till FY14 when the contract expires. After FY14 there would be more savings.
- 254 The committee is looking to implement the new calendar for FY13-14 and need to have
- decision before then for the IEPs and the extended year programs. The extended year
- programs could add an estimated cost of \$12,900 based on the students that are currently

- in the district that have extended year. She discussed the purchase of new software for the
- Department of Labor, which is not tied to making the change in the calendar. Ms.
- 259 Mullens discussed the budget impact, having a total saving for SHS of \$6,351 for 175
- 260 days and \$4,198 for 170 days.
- Ms. Shankel stated that 175 days is with no snow days so the more likely number is
- somewhere between the 175 and 170 days.
- 263 Mr. Brown and Ms. Shankel discussed the transportation contract and that this calendar
- would go into place before the contract is up.
- Ms. Mullens discussed the requirements for the implementation including negotiations
- with AEA and PPC, the timesheet software, and the professional development days being
- set in February or later.
- Mr. Ingram stated that CC is looking at adding an academic period in the day and that the
- school hopes that the board accepts this new calendar because it would give the school
- the ability to give the students more opportunity.
- Ms. Mullens stated that all employee collective barraging groups are in favor of the
- change and AMS is excited about the change also.
- Ms. Shankel stated that the advantages is that this calendar allows for a more stable date
- for the year end and is structured to give more instructional time and it can be increased
- and will not be decreased if there are more than 5 snow days.
- The board discussed that in the last five years only two years has the school exceeded the
- 277 five snow days and that was because of flooding. The board discussed why some people
- were opponents of the calendar change at first. The board discussed who has to approve
- this for the change to pass and their concerns about IEPs.
- Ms. Sparks discussed the IEP form and the calculation for 180 school days to determine
- the units of services. There is a field in the IEP form that is called "Calendar Year
- 282 Caveat". If this calendar goes through, Ms. Sparks will work with the special education
- 283 coordinators and case managers to help explain this change to parents. The children will
- not get fewer services.
- Mr. Maresco expressed his concern about teachers that are out for reasons like
- chaperoning trips over seas. Everyday a teacher is out will have a greater impact. He
- asked that the board and administration look more closely at how teachers are used and
- 288 how to limit when teachers are out.
- The board discussed that teachers are leaving sub plans that include online learning.
- They also discussed schools that are using online learning during snow days and how
- important it is that students have experience with online learning before leaving SHS.
- 292 Mr. Coughlan congratulated Ms. Mullens for all of her hard work.

293 294 295	Ms. Mullens made a motion accept the 175 day calendar with an additional 20 minutes added to the instructional day starting in FY13/14. Ms. Harrow seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.
296	DISCUSSION
297	<u>Policies</u>
298 299	Mr. Brown asked to table the EEAA – Video Surveillance on School Property policy till the committee is able to look at it again.
300 301	Ms. Harrow discussed the first line of the policy, which is about giving a blanket authorization for video surveillance or not. It is not clear what that line indicates.
302 303 304 305	Ms. Sparks stated that the revisions are in the second reading. The Office of Civil Rights has helped with the language and addresses in the policies. She discussed other changes, which make the policies more consistent. At SHS, there are an additional three policies, GBA, JB, and GBAB, which are superseded by AC and are no longer needed.
306	Mr. Brown made a motion to rescind GBA, JB, GBAB from the Souhegan policies.
307 308	Ms. Harrow expressed her concern that GBA was a concern for PPC and is not sure that the version of AC has been brought forward to PPC.
309	The board discussed the need to go by PPC even if there is a requirement for the change.
310	Ms. Sparks asked the board approve ACA because it needs to be approved by June 30 th .
311 312 313	Mr. Coughlan stated that the policies the board is talking about rescinding are not the ones that the PPC is having issues with. The federal law trumps the PPC and the board should approve the policy changes and work with PPC later.
314	Ms. Mullens seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.
315 316	Mr. Coughlan made a motion to adopt AC, ACA, ACE, JICK as proposed. Mr. Grondstra seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.
317	Mr. Brown thanked Ms. Sparks for all of her hard work with all of the policies.
318 319 320	Mr. Grondstra made a motion to go into NON-PUBLIC SESSION under RSA 91-A:3 II. (a),(b),(c). Ms. Harrow seconded the motion and the role call was all yes at 8:34pm.

293