1 2	Souhegan Cooperative School Board Thursday, November 4, 2010
3 4 5	<u>Attendance</u>
6 7 8	<u>Present</u> Chairman Steve Coughlan, Mary Lou Mullens, Jeanne Ludt, Chris Janson, Howard Brown, Fran Harrow, and Pim Grondstra.
9 10 11 12	Administrative Team Jon Ingram, Mary Jennings, Renéa Sparks and Elizabeth Shankel, Colleen Meany, Bob Thompson, Gigi Klippa, Chris LaVoie
13 14 15 16	Community Council Scott Doyle
17 18 19	Minutes Taker Beth Penney Call to Order
20 21	<u>Call To Order</u>
22 23	Mr. Coughlan called the meeting to order at 6:05pm.
24 25	<u>Announcements</u> Announcements
26 27	Pam Coughlin was presented a gift from the board as a thank you for her service.
28 29	Principal's Report
30 31	No additions or questions.
32 33	Community Council Report
34 35 36 37	Scott Doyle stated that Paul DeMinico came into talk to council. He is a superintendent of another school district and is assisting the district in finding a new superintendent. Mr. DeMinico asked the council how he could help.
38 39 40	Scott Doyle stated that a proposal to use cell phones and iPods as agendas was voted down and the proposal to have a minority report was tabled.
41 42 43	Ms. Mullens stated that the council welcomed the new members to council and the council is now full.
44 45	<u>Committee Reports</u>
46	No reports.

47	Public Time	Public Time
48 49 50 51 52	Joe Esposito stated that he tried to go online to find out information about community council and could not find it. He was specifically looking for the and authority of the council.	
53 54	Ms. Mullens stated that is a good point and that information, including the should be on the website.	bylaws,
55 56 57	Ms. Ludt stated that there was a website, but it was only available to the council. There should be a broad explanation of the bylaws.	ommunity
58 59 60	Consent Agenda	Consent Agenda
61 62 63	Ms. Harrow motioned to table the October minutes. Ms. Janson seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.	
64	Ms. Ludt asked for an explanation of the "0760 entry" in the treasurer's re	eport.
65 66	Ms. Shankel will get an explanation for "0760 entry" and come back to the	board.
67 68 69	The Consent Agenda containing the treasurer's report, manifest, and was adopted.	transfers
70 71 72	Presentations/ Reports Pr	esentations/ Reports
72 73 74	FY12 Budget – Draft 1	
75 76	Mr. Ingram presented the draft of the FY12 budget.	
77 78 79	Mr. Ingram stated that he is presenting a budget proposal with a 0.1% inc because the school and administration made responsible decisions to meeds of the school for next year.	
80 81 82	Ms. Shankel explained the difference between the Fund 10 budget and To-	tal budget.
82 83 84 85 86 87 88	Mr. Ingram continued his presentation by discussing educational program goals in technology, special education, professional development, curricul development, literary initiatives, and a program review. Additional considered discussed including interscholastic athletics, facilities, benefits, food and transportation.	um Ierations
89 90	Mr. Ingram then discussed the larger parts of budget including:	
91 92	Technology is estimated at \$295,966, which is 1.61% of the budget. The sthis is needed to give students the tools to be part of the 21^{st} century. The	

will also be used to give teachers the tools to teach how to use the technology, so the school can stay ahead of the curve.

For Interscholastic Athletics budget the coaching stipends will remain flat, the uniform replacement cycle will be maintained, there are predicted increases in officiating and registration fees and a predicted increase for facility use including rentals for ice, track, and swimming. Also the NHIAA has increased their fees and there is a prediction for an increase in transportation costs.

For the Special Education budget, the staffing is determined by the number of IEPs. There is a decrease out of district placement and related services and an increase in psychological services for at risk students.

There are few changes in staffing for FY12 from FY11, the administration is not proposing staffing cuts at this time in both certified and non-certified staff.

Ms. Shankel continued the presentation by discussing how the Facilities Study would be accomplished in the budget. For FY12, there will be a warrant article for security. Ms. Shankel showed the board a schedule for warrant articles for other items without bonding for the remainder of the facilities study. Ms. Shankel stated the bonds are being paid off during FY13/14 years so the district could bring the operating budget up to the cost of the bonds with no impact on the taxpayer. The FY12 warrant articles would include PPC negotiations, security upgrade, and add money to school maintenance Expendable trust

Dr. Jennings pointed out to the board on pg 19/21 of the packet shows the major increases and decreases to the budget for FY12.

Ms. Ludt asked about reducing the nursing staff and not keep one and 1/3 nurses if there is a decreasing student population.

Dr. Jennings stated that pg 34 shows the staffing needs and ratios for nursing staff for all of the district's schools. SHS has 654 students per nurse.

Ms. Sparks stated that she researched what the School Nurse's Association expects, which is 1 nurse per 750 students. The new federal health care legislation recommends 1 nurse per 500 students.

Ms. Ludt asked that the school watch this ratio in the future with the expected student population decline.

Ms. Ludt stated that she is concerned about the low amount of money budgeted for legal fees. The school spent over \$25,000 this year. The Amherst school district has a larger budget for legal fees.

- Ms. Shankel stated that she could look at that line item. Traditionally the district has
- not spent as much as last year in legal fees. Amherst has a larger budget because
- negotiations for contracts are done with legal council at each meeting. Ms. Shankel
- stated that she would look back at a few years and ensure there is enough money
- budgeted for legal fees.

143

Mr. Brown referred to pg 20 and the Drivers education contractors service line in the budget. He asked if the individuals taking driver's education pay for driver's education and why is the district paying anything.

147

Ms. Shankel stated that the district gets reimbursed for each student that takes
 driver's education from the state. This money comes in as a revenues and part of it
 is paid out to the provider of the driver's education.

151

The board discussed why driver's education is handled in this way, which is to try to keep costs for students and families down.

154

155 Ms. Ludt referred to pg 6 and asked what the career development academy is.

156

Ms. Meany explained that this was for professional development that included the new comers orientation, CFG coaches training, and Citi Lead, and a technology-oriented program.

160

Ms. Ludt asked if the board could get more explanation for this line item, having todo with career development.

163

164 Mr. Ingram stated that he would work on this with Ms. Heimarck.

165

Ms. Ludt referred to pg 28 and asked for more detail about the repairs to the building and asked why there is a 170% increase.

168

Ms. Shankel stated that most items are from the Gale report including a kitchen exhaust fan, a locker cluster, carpeting replacement for Division 1 & 2, metal roof and gutter repairs, vestibule dry wall replacement, and a kitchen counter and backsplash replacement.

173

Ms. Ludt referred to pg 30 and asked why there is such a significant increase in the NH retirement for non-teachers.

176

177 Ms. Shankel stated this is due to having two to three people receiving NH retirement benefits.

179

180 Mr. Brown asked is the contract for transportation increases every year.

182 Ms. Shankel stated that there is an increase every year. There is also a fuel 183 adjustment increase. Although the district did not pay any in the previous year, but 184 might this year. The contract is a 3% increase each year. 185 186 Marilyn Peterman asked about the Facility studies being budget items and if they 187 were included in the operating budget. She also asked if the debt service is going to 188 be paid off by FY14. 189 190 Dr. Jennings stated that it would be paid off in FY14. 191 192 The board, administration, and Advisory Budget Committee discussed the benefit of 193 putting the items in the facilities study in the operating budget versus trying to get a 194 bond passed. They discussed how each option would impact the taxpayer, the price 195 increase for repairs over time and how long it may take to get a new bond passed. 196 They also discussed pros and cons of both options. They discussed that by putting 197 the repairs in the operating budget is a good strategy to avoid emergency fixes and 198 to avoid paying interest on a bond. 199 200 Ms. Peterman asked to see, on paper, the comparison between a bond and the 201 proposed operating budget schedule. 202 203 The school board and the Advisory Budget Committee divided themselves into 204 smaller groups to review the draft budget closer. The groups are as follows: 205 206 Administration: Jeanne Ludt, Gail Agans, Mary Jennings, Jon Ingram 207 SPED: Fran Harrow, Andrea Baver, Renea Sparks, Karen Malio 208 Facilities: Chris Janson, Joe Esposito, Jim Miner 209 Food Service/ Transportation: Howard Brown, Peter Stearns, Danielle Collins, Karen 210 Taylor, Betty Shankel 211 212 Curriculum: Steve Coughlan, Marilyn Peterman, Nicole Heimarck, Colleen Meany 213 Technology: Mary Lou Mullens, John Rizzi, Bruce Charkin, Kathy White 214 Athletics: Pim Grondstra, Mary Mahar, Betty Shankel, Chris LaVoie 215 216 Mr. Coughlan stated that each group has to meet before the December meeting to 217 become experts on their assigned area of the budget. 218 219 Ms. Harrow stated that she is the liaison to the Advisory Budget Committee from the 220 School Board.

221222 Athletic Proposal

Chris LaVoie thanked Pim Grondstra and Mary Lou Mullens for helping to develop
 the new structure for user fees, which will increase revenue and decrease the need
 for fund raising.

- Mr. LaVoie passed out to the board the proposal for a tiered user fee and an
- explanation for the FY12 budget. He explained with a tiered user fee structure the
- district would see an increase in revenue by \$41,000. There is an increase of
- \$40,000 in the draft budget, which would be offset by the new revenues from this
- proposal if passed.

233

Mr. Grondstra stated that they looked at many options and looked to make the cost equitable between athletes because some sports have few costs compared to sports like ice hockey.

237

Mr. LaVoie stated part of the goal is to decrease the amount of fundraising happening.

240

Ms. Harrow stated that there is currently a set fee and a cap and asked what the cap currently is.

243

Mr. LaVoie stated that cap is \$400 per family.

245

A discussion between Mr. LaVoie and the board ensued about there being a cap for user fee costs and different options that could be considered.

248

The board discussed the amount of fundraising that currently happens for sports like ice hockey.

251

252 Mr. Brown asked about putting another bus on the transportation contract.

253

- Ms. Shankel stated they have looked at that and cannot renegotiate this contract.
- 255 The district can go outside and hire other bus companies to provide transportation
- when more than three buses are needed. If the district negotiated a new contract
- now it would be very expensive. The district is better off hiring outside for now and
- 258 when negotiations for a new contract begin there will be more competitive rates for
- more buses.

260

Ms. Peterman asked if a team chooses to fund raise will they be allowed to do so or will it be discouraged.

263

Mr. LaVoie stated that he approves all fundraising. Currently most teams must fund raise for necessities. If the new, tiered user fee is approved, then the budget has to be approved for fund raising to be lowered or eliminated.

267

268 Mr. LaVoie explained what necessities are fundraised for.

269

Ms. Mullens stated that if there is an increase in user fees for some particular sports it will cover most necessities. If the board cuts the athletic budget then the fund raising will still need to happen.

274 Mr. Coughlan asked if the board must commit at the December meeting to the 275 projected revenue number. 276 277 Ms. Shankel replied yes, because at the following meeting the board will need to 278 prepare for the public hearing. 279 280 Ms. Baver asked if the revenue from each of the user fee tiers matches what is 281 actually needed by those sports or will money be shifted between teams. 282 283 Mr. LaVoie stated that each sport was put in a tier based on the cost per athlete. The 284 total will cover all sports. 285 286 Mr. Brown asked if there is a concern about students that cannot afford the cost to 287 play a sport. 288 289 Mr. LaVoie stated that he does not think the extra cost is going to deter players 290 because they will not have to fund raise. Families can always apply for a waiver for 291 the user fees. 292 293 Ms. Ludt stated that she hopes if this proposal is approved that the word gets to the 294 coaches that they do not need to fund raise because parents are going to expect not 295 to have to fund raise. 296 297 Mr. LaVoie stated that if this proposal were to pass there would be some minimal 298 fundraising. 299 300 Staffing Matrix 301 302 Dr. Jennings asked the board to please call her or HR department if the board 303 members need more information as they go through the budget. 304 305 Dr. Jennings presented to the board a matrix showing key staffing ratios across all 306 the schools and districts of the SAU. 307 308 Dr. Jennings summarized the staffing matrix for the board. She discussed some of 309 the ratios in more depth to bring them to the board's attention. The matrix is based 310 on the number of teachers and students from this year. Staffing for next year will be

311312

FY11 Quarterly Report

313314

315 Ms. Shankel stated this is from July 1st to September 30th. Currently revenue is on 316 track and expenditures will have an extra amount due to new higher rates in 317 benefits and salaries. The district will have a savings in students support services.

similar and there are no proposed cuts for next year.

Mr. Esposito asked when the money from last years budget was returned to taxpayers.

Ms. Shankel stated that the savings was applied against the tax bill that was recently received.

Action Items Action Items

Community Service

Mr. Coughlan stated in October a parent of student emailed a request to the board disputing the legality of the graduation requirement of students completing 40 hours of community service. He asked if there was interest of the board to study this requirement in more detail.

The board discussed how this has been a requirement since the school opened. All of the board members agreed that the requirement was a good one and wondered if 40 hours is too few for a graduation requirement. The board discussed how the requirement benefits the students because it raises student's consciousness and awareness and students can take ownership as being part of the community. The board discussed how the requirement for community service is becoming a common practice in both colleges and middle schools. The board discussed the success of the students completing and exceeding this requirement. They also discussed how many senior projects are community service based.

Dr. Jennings suggested that Maggie Paul present to the board more detail about the community service program at Souhegan after the budget is complete.

Calendar Change

Ms. Mullens stated that the SAU board made a committee to look at the calendar because of the need to add time at end of year when school days are missed. The committee looked at many options to the calendar using schools across the country. The committee narrowed the options to those that would work for Souhegan. The committee surveyed and looked for feedback from the PPC, union, and staff. The committee settled on a 175-day calendar by adding 15 minutes to each day. This calendar would already have snow days built in and allows instructional time to be counted if the school closes early. The committee proposes to use this calendar in two years.

Mr. Brown asked that the board get a report from the principal as to how the extra time will be used before any decisions are made.

Dr. Jennings stated that the committee would like to get an idea from boards if they like this concept and then the schools will work with curriculum. The idea is to add quality time throughout the year and not just at the end of the year.

365	
366	Ms. Mullens stated that the recommendation comes with idea that the time would be
367	used for instructional time.
368	The board discussed where obstacles may occur with this new proposed calendar.
369	
370	Mr. Brown made a motion to allow the committee to move forward with this
371	proposed calendar. Ms. Harrow seconded the motion and the vote was
372	unanimous.
373	Discussion
374	<u>Discussion</u>
375	
376	Policy JAFB (check) – no changes since 1st reading
377	M D I (' (I' TADD M M II I I I
378	Mr. Brown made a motion to approve policy JAFB. Ms. Mullens seconded the
379	motion and the vote was unanimous.
380 381	Doligy III. Tryongy 1st roading
382	Policy JH- Truancy-1st reading
383	Dr. Jennings stated that this is the same policy that was presented at the SAU
384	meeting. This policy replaces an old policy that states that the school expects
385	students to come to school. The new policy gives more detail to truancy.
386	stadents to come to school. The new poncy gives more detail to traditey.
387	The board discussed if the policy will require more of the SRO and it was
388	determined it would not. The policy would require more from the parents. It is
389	required by state law to have a policy.
390	The state of the s
391	Policy JICK - Bullying - 1st reading
392	
393	Dr. Jennings stated that this policy revises the policy the board adopted in June by
394	adding a cyber-bullying clause and covers all portions of the law. The new policy
395	has to be adopted by January.
396	
397	Mr. Brown described the pieces that were added to meet the state law.
398	
399	Ms. Sparks stated that many elements of the policy and law involve commitment on
400	part of the SAU. The SAU will have a committee to look at educational training,
401	reporting forms, and curriculum. The committee will also support implementing the
402	policy.
403	Delta HCC Variable
404	Policy JICE - Yearbook
405 406	Ms. Ludt stated that this is the second warsion, which makes the language clearer
407	Ms. Ludt stated that this is the second version, which makes the language clearer.
407	The board discussed the changes in the second version. The second version defines
409	the SHS community, discussed bringing controversial matters to the school board
410	and superintendent in a timely fashion and giving guidance to the yearbook staff.
FIU	and supermeendent in a timery rasmon and giving guidance to the year book stall.

411	This policy is much more comprehensive than many other schools. The policy also	
412	discusses redacting parts of the yearbook and what strategies would be used.	
413		
414	Ms. Ludt asked the board to come with suggestions on how too improve the new	
415	policy.	
416		
417	The board discussed whether the school has a yearbook class or if the work is done	
418	after school. They discussed how students are involved in completing the yearbook.	
419	The board discussed taking out the phrase that discusses authority and	
420	accountability of the yearbook staff and that the authority and accountability should	
421	lie with the principal. The board discussed adding language about who pays for and	
422	how to revise a yearbook. The board also discussed that if there is a concern the	
423	concern should be brought to the superintended first and then to the school board.	
424		
425	Dr. Jennings suggested having the district's attorney look at this policy or get	
426	feedback from the school board service.	
427		
428	Dr. Jennings reminded the board that the community recovery group will be	
429	speaking tonight at 10pm on CNN and SHS will be highlighted. Kevin Backer and	
430	Maggie Paul were interviewed today and they did a good job making a positive	
431	statement.	
432	Motion to Non	
433	Ms. Mullens made a motion at 8:23pm to go into non-public Public Session	
434	session, RSA 91-A:3 II(a) (c). Ms. Harrow seconded the motion	
435	and during the role call all board members responded yes.	